

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية







شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

### جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

### قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٥٠ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٠٠% To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of 15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%



### بعض الوثائـــق الإصليــة تالفــة



# بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالإصل

### Morphological, Karyological and Electrophoretical Studies on the Genus *Arvicanthis* (Rodentia: Murinae) in Egypt

### **A THESIS**

Submitted to the Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Minia University

### **FOR**

The Fulfillment of the Requirements of the Master's Degree of Science in Zoology

### BY

Mahmoud Ibraheem Shoulkamy Ibraheem

(B.Sc. Zoology)

### SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr.

Associate Prof. Dr.

Abdel Tawab M. Ata

Adel A. Basyouny Shahin

**Department of Genetics** 

Department of Zoology

**Faculty of Agricalture** 

**Faculty of Science** 

**Mina University** 

2006

### **DEDICATION**

TO

My Mother,

My Brothers and

Memory of my Father

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My foremost and greatest gratitude indebtedness go to ALLAH, the most merciful and originator of earth and heavens, who has and is a constant support and guidance in my life at all times. I pray that I will be able to use my experience and knowledge to help others and in doing so glorify ALLAH through all my actions and deeds. I acknowledge without God's support nothing would have been accomplished.

I owe a special debt of my gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Adel Abdel Aleem Basyouny Shahin, Associate Professor of Evolutionary Biology and Systematics of Vertebrates, Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Minia University, for suggesting the point, providing me with his excellent experience and advice. In addition, he did his best during the final revision of the manuscript as well as his great encouragement during the development of the research.

A special deepest and warmest thanks to **Dr. Abdel Tawab Mohamed Ata, Professor of Cytogenetics, Genetics Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Minia**University, for suggesting the point, his assistance, great efforts, continuous guidance, encouragement, revising the manuscript and offering much of his time to my work.

Many thanks to Prof. Dr. Ezzat mahmoud Fadl Alla, Dean of Faculty of Science and Prof. Dr. Nagi S. Tawfek, Head of Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Minia University, for their support and encouragement.

My deepest thanks are also extended to all staff members of Genetics Departement, Faculty of Agriculture for their encouragement and assistance in the preparation of the manuscript.

Many thanks also go to Mr. Saed Toulba for collecting the specimens.

My deepest thanks are also extended to my family for their encouragement, patience and assistance in the preparation of the manuscript.

### CONTENTS

### **CONTENTS**

Subject	Page	
INTRODUCTION	1	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	7	7
1. Materials	. • • • •	7
2. Methods	, • • • •	7
2.1. Morphological Study	••••	7
2.2. Karyological Study		15
2.2.1. Conventional technique		15
2.2.2. Banding techniques		16
2.2.2.1. G-bands		16
2.2.2.2. C-bands		17
2.3. Preparation of solutions for karyological study		. 18
3. Electrophoretic Study	,	19
3.1. Laboratory techniques	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. 19
3.2. Electrophoresis techniques		
1. Enzymatic proteins		
2. Non-enzymatic proteins		
3.3 Methods employed in data analysis		

3.4. Discontinuous gel electrophoresis
3.4.1 Solutions
3.4.2. Gel preparation
3.4.3. Electrode buffer systems
3.4.4. Stain buffer system 28
3.4.5. Potential
3.4.6. Washing and fixing solution
3.4.7. Enzyme assay
3.5. Continuous gel electrophoresis
3.5.1. Gel preparation
3.5.2. Gel buffer systems
3.5.3. Electrode buffer System
3.5.4. Potential
3.5.5. Stain buffer system
3.5.6. Washing and fixing solution
3.5.7. Enzyme assay
RESULTS 39
1. Morphological Data39
1.1. Analysis of variance (ANOVA)
1.2. Principle component analysis (PCA)39
2. Karyological Data 44
2.1. Karyotype
2.2. Giemsa G-bands 52

2.3. Constitutive heterochromatin (C-bands)	58
3. Electrophoretic Data	63
3.1. Enzymatic proteins	63
3.2. Non-enzymatic proteins	81
DISCUSSION	84
1. Morphological Analysis	84
2. Chromosomal Analysis	85
2.1. Karyotype	85
2.2. G-band	86
2.3. C-band	88
3. Electrophoretic Analysis	91
4. Genetic versus Morphological and Chromosomal Data	94
SUMMARY	97
REFERENCES	10
AD ADIC CHMMADV	12

# INTRODUCTION

### MOITOUGORTKI

The murine rodents form one of the most common subfamilies of the family Muridae (Musser and Carleton, 1993). The subfamily Murinae comprises the Old World mice and rats and includes 122 genera and 529 species of the whole rodent species currently described. One of its unique features is the quasi-absence of any suprageneric arrangement, which illustrates the high phenotypic homogeneity of its components. In contrast to the related subfamily Sigmodontinae (New World mice and rats), which is subdivided into seven well-defined tribes (Reig, 1980), the only tribal units proposed for the Murinae were Conilurini, Hydromyini, and Anidomyini (Watts and Aslin, 1981: Lidicker and Brylski, 1987), all restricted to the Australian region. In particular, the suprageneric relationships of the extensive African murine fauna, which include more than 150 species arranged in approximately 30 genera, are still very poorly understood. Arvicanthine murid rodents are one of the most common groups of murine fauna in Africa and that have ever been put forward to contribute to a better understanding of their taxonomy and phylogeny.

The first author to introduce the concept of arvicanthine rodents was Misonne (1969) in his study of the evolutionary trends in the African and Indo-Australian Muridae. He created an Arvicanthis "Division" in which he grouped 12 genera, both African and Asian, namely Aethomys, Arvicanthis, Dasyms, Dephomys, Golunda, Hadromys, Hybomys, Lemniscomys, Mylomys, Pelomys, Rhabdomys and Stochomys, with the possible inclusion of Bandicota and Nesokia. This Arvicanthis "Division", named after its most representative genus, was defined almost solely on dental traits. In a later revision of the systematics of Hadromys, Musser (1987) concluded that only the genera Arvicanthis, Lemniscomys, Mylomys, Pelomys (including Desmomys), Rhabdomys and