

# (قالُواسنُبْحَانَكَلَاعِلْمَلْنَا إِلَّامَاعَلَمْتَنَا إِلَّامَاعَلَمْتَنَا إِنَّكَأَنْتَالْعَلِيمُالْحَكِيمُ)



### تحليل منحنيات استجابة محصول القمع للتسميد الأزوتي وعلاقته بتلوث البيئة

#### رسالة مقدمة من الطالبة هيام محمد سعيد محمود

بكالوريوس العلوم الزراعية \_ كلية الزراعة \_ جامعة القاهرة \_1985 دبلوم في علوم البيئة \_ معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية \_ جامعة عين شمس \_1998 ماجستير في العلوم البيئية \_ معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية \_ جامعة عين شمس \_2004

### لاستكمال متطلبات الحصول علي درجة دكتوراه فلسفة في العلوم البيئ

قسم العلوم الزراعية البيئية معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية جامعة عين شمس

#### صفحة الموافقة على الرسالة

## تحليل منحنيات استجابة محصول القمع للتسميد الأزوتيي وعلاقته بتلوث الببئة

رسالة مقدمة من الطالبة

هيام محمد سعيد محمود

بكالوريوس العلوم الزراعية \_ كلية الزراعة \_ جامعة القاهرة \_ 1985 دبلوم في علوم البيئة \_ معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية \_ جامعة عين شمس \_ 1998 ماجستير في العلوم البيئية \_ معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية \_ جامعة عين شمس \_ 2004

لاستكمال متطلبات الحصول على درجة دكتوراه فلسفة

في العلوم البيري البيري المالية البيئية

وقد تمت مناقشة الرسالة والموافقة عليها:

اللجنة: التوقيع

1- ا.د/ألفت حسن الباجوري أستاذ متفرغ بقسم المحاصيل \_ كلية الزراعة جامعة عين شمس

- 2- ا.د/نعمت عبد العزيز نور الدين أستاذ متفرغ بقسم المحاصيل كلية الزراعة جامعة عين شمس
- 3- ا.د/صدیق عبد العزیز محیسن أستاذ بقسم المحاصیل كلیة الزراعة بمشتهر جامعة بنها
- 4- ا.د/فتحي عشماوي أحمد أستاذ بالمعمل المركزي لبحوث التصميم والتحليل الإحصائي مركز البحوث الزراعية
  - 5- د./هاني صابر سيد سعودي أستاذ مساعد بقسم المحاصيل ـ كلية الزراعة جامعة عين شمس

### تحليل منحنيات استجابة محصول القمع للتسميد الأزوتي وعلاقته بتلوث البيئة

#### رسالة مقدمة من الطالبة

#### هيام محمد سعيد محمود

بكالوريوس العلوم الزراعية \_ كلية الزراعة \_ جامعة القاهرة \_ 1985 دبلوم في علوم البيئة \_ معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية \_ جامعة عين شمس \_ 1998 ماجستير في العلوم البيئية \_ معهد الدراسات والبحوث البيئية \_ جامعة عين شمس \_ 2004

لاستكمال متطلبات الحصول على درجة دكتوراه فلسفة

#### في العلوم البيئ

#### قسم العلوم الزراعية البيئية

تحت إشراف:

۱. انعمت عبد العزيز نور الدين أستاذ متفرغ بقسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة جامعة عين شمس

2- ا.د/فتحي عشماوي أحمد

أستاذ بالمعمل المركزي لبحوث التصميم والتحليل الإحصائي مركز البحوث الزراعية

3- د./هاني صابر سيد سعودي أستاذ مساعد بقسم المحاصيل ـ كلية الزراعة جامعة عين شمس

4- د./همت عبد الفتاح إبراهيم مدرس بقسم الكيمياء الزراعية حين شمس جامعة عين شمس

ختم الإجازة :

أُجيزت الرسالة بتاريخ / /2014

موافقة مجلس المعهد / /2014 موافقة مجلس الجامعة / /2014

## ANALYSIS OF THE RESPONSE CURVES OF WHEAT YIELD TO NITROGEN FERTILIZATION AS RELATED TO ENVIRONMENT POLLUTION

#### **Submitted By**

#### **Hayam Mohamed said Mahmoud**

B.Sc. of Agricultural Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, 1985
 Diploma of Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research,
 Ain Shams University, 1998

 M. Sc. of Environmental Science, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research,
 Ain Shams University, 2004

A thesis submitted in Partial Fulfillment
Of
The Requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree
In
Environmental Science

Department of Environmental Agricultural Science Institute of Environmental Studies and Research Ain Shams University

#### APPROVAL SHEET

## ANALYSIS OF THE RESPONSE CURVES OF WHEAT YIELD TO NITROGEN FERTILIZATION AS RELATED TO ENVIRONMENT POLLUTION

#### **Submitted By**

#### Hayam Mohamed said Mahmoud

B.Sc. of Agricultural Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, 1985Diploma of Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research,

Ain Shams University, 1998

M. Sc. of Environmental Science, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research, Ain Shams University, 2004

This thesis Towards a Doctor of Philosophy Degree in Environmental Science Has been Approved by:

Name Signature

#### 1-Prof. Dr. Olfat Hassan Bagoury

Emeritus Prof. of Agronomy Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

#### 2-Prof. Dr. Nemat Abd El-Aziz Nour El-Din

Emeritus Prof. of Agronomy Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

#### 3-Prof. Dr. Sadiek Abdelaziz Sadiek Mehasen

Emeritus Prof. of Crops Faculty of Agriculture Banha University

#### 4-Prof. Dr. Fathy Ashmawy Ahmed

Prof. of Applied Statistics Center Laboratory for Design & Statistics Analysis – A.R.C.

#### 5-Dr. Hani Saber Sayed Saudy

Associate Prof. of Agronomy Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

## ANALYSIS OF THE RESPONSE CURVES OF WHEAT YIELD TO NITROGEN FERTILIZATION AS RELATED TO ENVIRONMENT POLLUTION

#### **Submitted By**

#### Hayam Mohamed said Mahmoud

B.Sc. of Agricultural Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, 1985
 Diploma of Environmental Sciences, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research,
 Ain Shams University, 1998

M. Sc. of Environmental Science, Institute of Environmental Studies & Research, Ain Shams University, 2004

#### A thesis submitted in Partial Fulfillment Of

The Requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy Degree
In

**Environmental Science** 

Department of Environmental Agricultural Science Under The Supervision of:

#### 1-Prof. Dr. Nemat Abd El-Aziz Nour El-Din

Emeritus Prof. of Agronomy Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

#### 2-Prof. Dr. Fathy Ashmawy Ahmed

Prof. of Applied Statistics Center Laboratory for Design & Statistics Analysis – A.R.C

#### 3-Prof. Dr. Fathy Ashmawy Ahmed

Prof. of Applied Statistics Center Laboratory for Design & Statistics Analysis – A.R.C

#### 4-Prof. Dr. Hemat Abdel Fatah Ibrahim

Prof. of Agricultural Chemical Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

#### شكر وتقدير

أشكر السادة الأساتذة الذين قاموا بالاشراف

الأستاذ الدكتور/ نعمت عبد العزيز نور الدين الأستاذ المتفرغ بقسم المحاصيل \_ كلية الزراعة \_ جامعة عين شمس

الاستاذ الدكتور/ فتحي عشماوي احمد أستاذ — المعمل المركزي لبحوث التصميم والتحليل الإحصائي — مركز البحوث الزراعية

> الدكتور/ هاني صابر سيد سعودي أستاذ مساعد بقسم المحاصيل \_ كلية الزراعة \_ جامعة عين شمس

الدكتورة/ همت عبد الفتاح إبراهيم المدرس بقسم الكيمياء الزراعية \_ كلية الزراعة \_ جامعة عين شمس

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I express my profound thanks to **ALLAH** who supported me providing strength and patience to complete this work.

I would like to express my deep appreciation and gratitude to

**Dr. NEMAT ABD EL-AZIZ NOUR ELDIN,** Professor of Agronomy-. -Faculty of Agriculture – Ain Shams University,

**Dr. FATHY ASHMAWY AHMED,** Prof of Applied statistics Cent. Lab. For Design & stat. Analysis Res., A.R.C.,

**Dr. HANI SABER SAYED SAUDY,** Assistant Professor Of Agronomy – Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University,

**Prof. Dr. Hemet Abdel Fatah Ibrahim,** Professor of Agricultural Chemical - Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University, for offering valuable suggestion and advising in planning the thesis, kind help in the writing and discussion of the results and constructive criticism.

Thanks to all staff members of Cent. Lab. for design & stat.

Analysis Res., A.R.C., Giza, Egypt for their help during the performance of this study.

#### **CONTENTS**

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| ABSTRACT                                       | 1    |
| INTRODUCTION                                   | 3    |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE                           | 4    |
| 1-Effect of cultivars                          | 4    |
| 2- Effect of N fertilizer level                | 7    |
| 3- Correlation and path analysis               | 13   |
| MATERIALS AND METHODS                          | 17   |
| 1- Analysis of variance                        | 20   |
| 2- Response curve analysis                     | 20   |
| 3- Economic analysis                           | 21   |
| 4- Multivariate procedures                     | 22   |
| 4 -1- Simple correlation                       | 22   |
| 4 -2- Path-coefficient analysis                | 22   |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION                         | 23   |
| 1- Vegetative growth                           | 23   |
| a. Effect of cultivars                         | 23   |
| b. Effect of nitrogen                          | 25   |
| c. Effect of cultivars X nitrogen interactions | 26   |
| 2- Yield and its components                    | 28   |
| a. Effect of cultivars                         | 28   |
| b. Effect of nitrogen                          | 33   |
| c. Effect of cultivars X nitrogen interactions | 34   |
| 3-Response curve analysis                      | 37   |
| 3-1-Financial appraisal                        | 47   |
| 3-2-National Profits                           | 48   |
| 4-Simple correlation analysis                  | 49   |
| 5-Path-coefficient analysis                    | 52   |
| SUMMARY  | 55   |
| REFERENCES                                     |      |
| الملخص   |      |
| المستخلص                                       |      |

| No | List of Tables   | Page |
|----|--|------|
| 1  | Mechanical analysis of the soil of the experiment in the   | 17   |
|    | two seasons of 2009/2010 and 2010/ 2011.   |      |
| 2  | Chemical analysis of the soil of the experiment in the two   | 18   |
|    | seasons of 2009/2010 and 2010/ 2011.   |      |
| 3  | Vegetative growth of wheat as affected by cultivars and N  | 25   |
|    | levels in the two seasons of 2009/2010 and 2010/2011.  |      |
| 4  | Vegetative growth as affected by the interaction of  | 27   |
|    | cultivars and N fertilizer levels in the seasons of 2009/2010  |      |
|    | and 2010/2011.   | 20   |
| 5  | Wheat yield as affected by cultivars and nitrogen  | 30   |
|    | fertilization in $2009/2010(S_1)$ and $2010/2011(S_2)$ .   | 0.1  |
| 6  | Wheat yield as affected by cultivars and N levels  | 31   |
|    | fertilization in $2009/2010(S_1)$ and $2010/2011(S_2)$ seasons.  | 26   |
| 7  | Wheat yield and its components as affected by the  | 36   |
|    | interaction of cultivars and N levels in the seasons of  |      |
| 0  | 2009/2010 and $2010/2011$ .  | 40   |
| 8  | Values of coefficient of determination (R <sup>2</sup> ), standard error of estimate (SE) and calculated F for models describing | 40   |
|    | wheat grain yield response to N fertilizer for the tested  |      |
|    | wheat cultivars in the 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 seasons.  |      |
|    | (N=18)   |      |
| 9  | Estimated parameters of fitted models to the data  | 42   |
|    | describing relationship between grain yield and N fertilizer   | 72   |
|    | levels for the tested wheat cultivars in the seasons of  |      |
|    | 2009/2010 and 2010/2011.   |      |
| 10 | Quadratic regression equations, economic optimum N rate,   | 49   |
|    | corresponding yield and net return for wheat cultivars in  |      |
|    | the seasons of 2009/2010 and 2010/2011.  |      |
| 11 | Simple correlation coefficients among grain yield/fad and  | 51   |
|    | some agronomic characters (Combined over the seasons of  |      |
|    | 2009/2010 and 2010/2011).  |      |
| 12 | Direct and indirect effects of some agronomic characters   | 54   |
|    | and their relative importance in grain yield of wheat over   |      |
|    | 2009/2010 and 2010/2011seasons.  |      |

| No | List of Figures  | Page |
|----|--|------|
| 1  | Response of grain yield of wheat cultivar Giza 168 to    | 43   |
|    | nitrogen fertilization in the season of 2009/2010.       |      |
| 2  | Response of grain yield of wheat cultivar Sakhaa 94 to   | 43   |
|    | nitrogen fertilization in the season of 2009/2010.       |      |
| 3  | Response of grain yield of wheat cultivar Gemmeiza 10 to | 44   |
|    | nitrogen fertilization in the season of 2009/2010.       |      |
| 4  | Response of grain yield of wheat cultivar Sids 12 to     | 44   |
|    | nitrogen fertilization in the season of 2009/2010.       |      |
| 5  | Response of grain yield of wheat cultivar Giza 168 to    | 45   |
|    | nitrogen fertilization in the season of 2010/2011.       |      |
| 6  | Response of grain yield of wheat cultivar Sakha 94 to    | 45   |
|    | nitrogen fertilization in the season of 2010/2011.       |      |
| 7  | Response of grain yield of wheat cultivar Gemmeiza 10 to | 46   |
|    | nitrogen fertilization in the season of 2010/2011.       |      |
| 8  | Response of grain yield of wheat cultivar Sids 12 to     | 46   |
|    | nitrogen fertilization in the season of 2010/2011.       |      |

#### **ABSTRACT**

Hayam Saed Mohamed .Analysis of yield response curve of wheat yield to nitrogen fertilization as related to environment pollution Unpublished Doctor of Philosophy in Agriculture environment Science, Inst. of Environ. Studies and Res., Ain shams univ.2014.

Two field experiments were conducted at Giza Agric. Res. Station, Agric. Res. Cent., Giza Governorate, Egypt during 2009/2010 and 2010/2011 seasons. The experiments aimed to study the response of some wheat cultivars namely: Giza 168, Sakha 94, Gemmeiza 10 and Sids 12 to six different N fertilizer levels (0, 25, 50, 75, 100 and 125 kg N/fad) and determine the degree of the response of grain yield to N fertilizer levels in order to estimate the economic optimum N rate. Also, to investigate the relation between grain yield and some related characters using some multivariate procedures *i.e.* correlation and path-coefficient analysis. The design used was strip-plot in three replicates where wheat cultivars were arranged in the vertical strips while N fertilizer levels were in the horizontal strips.

The results could be summarized as follows:

- 1- The tested wheat cultivars significantly affected growth characters, yield components, biological, grain and straw yields but insignificantly affected harvest index.
- 2- The increase in N fertilizer level significantly affected growth, yield components, biological, grain and straw yields but harvest index was not significantly influenced by N level.
- 3- The quadratic model worked well for describing the relation between grain yield and N fertilizer levels.

- 4- The economic optimum N rate ranged from 55 to 87.47 Kg N/fad.
- 5- Grain yield exhibited highly significant and positive correlation with each of plant height, flag leaf area, number og =f spikes/m², spike length, number of spikelets/spike, kernels/spike, kernel weight/spike and 1000-kernel weight.
- 6- Weight of 1000 kernels and number of spikelets/spike were the most important contributing characters in the total variability of grain yield.

#### INTRODUCTION

Increasing wheat production is a national target in Egypt to fulfill the food security for the people. This target could be achieved by increasing wheat area in the Delta and the Valley as well as in the new reclaimed lands and by growing high yielding cultivars and developing more adequate practices.

Fertilizer Nitrogen is among the most important factors having profound effects on yield of wheat. Selection of the most appropriate nitrogen fertilization rate is a major decision affecting the profitability of wheat production and the effect of agriculture on the environment. This has been done via fitting some type of response models to the yield data collected when several rates of fertilization are applied over several environments (sites and years). These models are fitted to help in predicting economic optimum nitrogen fertilizer rate and maximum yield or either one. These decisions are more important now than ever before because using fertilizer nitrogen has greatly increased during the last decades and because there is increasing concern about environmental impacts associated with the use of this fertilizer

Yield is a very complicated variable. It is a final outcome of several components. Hence, it is necessary to determine the variables having the greatest effect on the yield and estimate their relative importance to the total yield variation. The objectives of the current study were to: 1) Investigate the response of some wheat cultivars to various levels of nitrogen application. 2) Determine the degree of the relationship between wheat grain yield and N fertilizer level. 3) Estimate the economic optimum N rate. and 4) Determine the most important contributing variables in the total variability of wheat grain yield.