



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
DESIGN AND PRODUCTION ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

**Mechanical and Physical Behavior of Marble and Granite
Dust with Polyethylene and Polypropylene Composite**

A Thesis Submitted In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement of
the Degree of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

By

Ahmed Hassan Ibrahim Awad

Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering

(Manufacturing Engineering Program)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2014

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Hazem Abd El-latif

Dr. Ramadan Badawy ElGamasy

Dr. Ayman Aly Abd El-Wahab

Cairo – 2017

Examiners Committee

The undersigned certify that he have read and recommended to the Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University for acceptance a thesis entitled **"Mechanical and Physical Behavior of Marble and Granite Dust with Polyethylene and Polypropylene Composite"**, submitted by **Ahmed Hassan Ibrahim Awad**, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering.

Name

Signature

1. Prof. Dr. Yehia Ahmed Bahei-El-Din

Vice President for Research and Postgraduate Studies

2. Prof. Dr. Hala Abd El-Hakim Abd El-Hady

Professor of Production Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

3. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Hazem Abd El-latif

Professor of Production Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Date:
/2/2017

Statement

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfillment of Master of Science degree in mechanical engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other scientific entity.

Signature

Ahmed Hassan Ibrahim Awad

Researcher Data

Name	Ahmed Hassan Ibrahim Awad
Date of birth	17-9-1991
Place of birth	KSA
Academic Degree	Bachelor
Field of specialization	Manufacturing Engineering
University issued the degree	Ain Shams University
Date of issued degree	2014
Current job	Teacher Assistant

Thesis Summary

The properties of polypropylene (PP) and high density polyethylene (HDPE) reinforced with marble and granite dust particles was investigated.

In this work, particle shape, thermal analysis and chemical composition of the marble and granite dust were determined.

The effect of marble and granite dust particles content on the mechanical and physical properties of the composites was obtained at 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 and 70 weight percentage. Also, the effect of the dust particle size on the properties 37, 387, 450 and 1350 μm was obtained. The fracture surface of the tested specimens was studied using scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

Key words: Marble and granite dust, Polymer, Polymeric composites, thermal analysis, chemical composition, physical analysis, mechanical properties, scanning electron microscope.

Acknowledgement

Thank **God** who guided me and helped me to finish this study hoping him to provide benefits in the industry fields and the exploitation of industrial waste.

I would also like to thank **Prof. Dr. Mohammed Hazem Abd El-Latif** on his guidance and his supervision as well as providing information and advices that contributed to the end of the study.

My thanks also go to **Dr. Ramadan El-Gamasy** and **Dr. Ayman AbdulWahab** on the cooperation and encouragement me to finish this study.

At last, I thank my **family** for their continuous support.

Table of Contents

Examiners Committee	i
Statement.....	ii
Researcher Data.....	iii
Thesis Summary	iv
Acknowledgement.....	v
List of Abbreviations.....	x
List of Tables.....	xi
List of Figures	xii
Table of Contents	vii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: Literature Survey	3
2.1. Introduction	4
2.2. Composites.....	4
2.2.1. Particles used as a reinforcement.....	5
2.3. Marble and Granite.....	5
2.4. Polymers.....	14
2.5. Composites Fabrication.....	17
2.6. Previous Studies	22
Chapter 3: Experimental Work	243
3.1. Introduction	24
3.2. Materials	24
3.2.1. Particles	24
3.2.2. Polymers	24
3.3. Work strategy	26
3.4. Particles	26
3.4.1. Particles Preparation.....	26
3.4.2. Particles Shape	28
3.4.3. Chemical Analysis.....	29
3.4.3.1. Atomic Absorbing Spectroscopy (AAS)	29
3.4.3.2. X-ray fluorescence (XRF).....	29
3.4.3.3. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	29
3.4.3.4. X-Ray Powder Diffraction (XRD).....	30
3.4.5. Thermal Analysis	31
3.5. Polymers.....	32
3.5.1. Polymer Preparation	32
3.5.2. Manufacturing of Polymeric Samples	32
3.6. Composites.....	35
3.6.1. Composites Preparation	35
3.6.2. Preparing of mechanical test specimens	37
3.6.2. Coding of Composites Test Specimens	37
3.6.3. Mechanical Tests	38

3.6.3.1. 3-point bending:	38
3.3.3.2. Compression:	40
3.6.3.3. Impact Test:	40
3.4.4.5. Hardness.....	41
3.6.4. Physical Tests	41
3.6.4.1. Density	41
3.6.4.2. Water Absorption.....	42
3.6.5. Thermal Analysis.....	43
3.6.5.1. Thermogravimetry Analysis (TGA)	43
3.6.5.2. Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC)	44
3.6.5.3. Thermomechanical Analysis (TMA)	45
3.6.6. SEM Investigation	45
Chapter 4: Result and Discussion	476
4.1. Introduction	47
4.2. Assessment of the Properties of M&G Dust Particles	47
4.2.1. SEM Study of M&G dust	47
4.2.2. EDX of dust particles	48
4.2.3. AAS of dust particles.....	49
4.2.4. XRF of dust particles	49
4.2.5. FTIR of dust particles	51
4.2.6. XRD of dust particles	51
4.2.7. Thermal Analysis of dust particles	53
4.3. Assessment of Polymer Properties.....	55
4.3.1. Mechanical Properties	55
4.3.1.1. 3-Point Bending	55
4.3.1.2. Compression	56
4.3.1.3. Impact strength.....	56
4.3.1.4. Hardness.....	57
4.3.2. Physical Properties	57
4.3.3. Thermal Analysis.....	57
4.3.3.1. TGA	57
4.3.3.2. DSC.....	60
4.3.3.3. TMA.....	61
4.4. Mechanical and physical properties of PP/ M&G dust (PP/M&G) composites .	63
4.4.1. 3-Point Bending	63
A: Bending Strength	63
B: Bending Modulus	64
C: Bending Toughness.....	66
4.4.2. Compression Test	67
A. Compression Strength.....	67
B: Compression Modulus	69
4.4.3. Impact strength	71
4.4.4. Hardness	72

4.4.5. Physical Properties	73
A. Density of the PP/M&G composites.....	73
B. Water Absorption of PP/M&G composites.....	74
4.4.6. Thermal Analysis.....	76
A. TGA of the PP/M&G composites	76
B. DSC of the PP/M&G composites.....	77
C. TMA of the PP/M&G composites.....	78
4.4.7. SEM Study.....	79
4.5. Mechanical and physical properties of HDPE/ M&G dust (HDPE/M&G) composites.....	83
4.5.1. 3-Point Bending.....	83
A: Bending Strength	83
B: Bending Modulus	84
C: Bending Toughness.....	85
4.5.2. Compression Test	86
A. Compression Strength.....	86
B: Compression Modulus	89
4.5.3. Impact Strength.....	90
4.5.4. Hardness	92
4.5.5. Physical Analysis.....	93
A. Density of HDPE/M&G composites.....	93
B. Water Absorption of HDPE/M&G composites.....	94
4.5.6. Thermal Analysis.....	95
A: TGA of HDPE/M&G composites.....	95
B: DSC of HDPE/M&G composites.....	97
C: TMA of HDPE/M&G composites	98
4.5.7. SEM Study.....	99
Chapter 5: Conclusion and Future Recommendations.....	103
5.1. Conclusions	104
5.2. Future Recommendations.....	106
List of References	107
Appendix	10713

List of Abbreviations

AAS	Atomic absorption spectroscopy
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CTE	Coefficient of Thermal Expansion
DSC	Differential Scanning Calorimetry
DTA	Differential Thermal Analysis
DTG	Derivative Thermogravimetric Analysis
EDX	Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Microanalysis
EPRI	Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
HDPE	High Density Polyethylene
JCPDS	Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards
LOI	Lost on Ignition
M&G	Marble and Granite
NRC	National Research Centre
PP	Polypropylene
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
STA	Simultaneous Thermal Analysis
STCE	Science and Technology Center of Excellence
T _m	Melting temperature
TGA	Thermal Gravimetric Analysis
TMA	Thermomechanical Analysis
X _r	Crystallinity
XRD	X-Ray Powder Diffraction
XRF	X-ray Fluorescence
ΔH*	Heat flow of the sample

List of Tables

No.	Description	Page
Table 2.1:	Repeat unit of some polymer materials [27].	15
Table 2.2:	Mechanical properties of some polymer materials [29].	16
Table 2.3:	Trade names and application of some polymer materials [30].	17
Table 3.1:	Basic properties of HDPE [44].	25
Table 3.2:	Basic properties of PP [44].	25
Table 3.3:	Particle size for M&G dust.	27
Table 3.4:	Thermal sigma mixer with extruder machine specifications.	33
Table 3.5:	C-frame hydraulic press main specifications.	35
Table 3.6:	Main specification of WDW-10 machine test.	39
Table 4.1:	eZAF Smart Quant Results of M&G dust.	48
Table 4.2:	Quantitative determination of some solid elements in the M&G dust sample using AAS method.	49
Table 4.3:	Quantitative analysis of M&G dust using WDX-ray fluorescence Spectrometer.	50
Table 4.4:	XRD Pattern List.	53
Table 4.5:	Thermogravimetric data for PP and HDPE pellets.	59
Table 4.6:	Thermal properties of PP and HDPE.	61
Table 4.7:	The CTE and dimension change % of PP and HDPE.	62
Table 4.8:	Thermogravimetric data for PP and PP/M&G composites.	77
Table 4.9:	Thermal Properties of PP and PP/M&G composites.	78
Table 4.10:	The TMA results of PP and PP/M&G PP composites.	79
Table 4.11:	Thermal Properties of HDPE and HDPE/M&G composites.	97
Table 4.12:	the TMA results of HDPE and HDPE/M&G composites.	98

List of Figures

No.	Description	Page
Figure 2.1:	Composite material classification according to reinforcement phase [7].	5
Figure 2.2:	Ruby in Marble, white marble with red ruby from Jegdalek, Saroby, Afghanistan [11].	5
Figure 2.3:	Pink marble, the color came from iron [12].	5
Figure 2.4:	Granite, pink grains came from orthoclase feldspar and smoky ones are muscovite or quartz [10].	6
Figure 2.5:	Quarry makers and their share of the stone, 2009 [14].	6
Figure 2.6:	M&G exporting countries and its share, 2009 [15].	7
Figure 2.7:	Geographical location of the factories and quarries in Egypt [16].	7
Figure 2.8:	M&G producers' cities in Egypt and its share, 2012 [18].	8
Figure 2.9:	Traditional and intermediate techniques used in marble quarrying [13].	9
Figure 2.10:	Fully mechanized techniques used in marble quarrying [13].	9
Figure 2.11:	Traditional and intermediate techniques used in granite quarrying [13].	10
Figure 2.12:	Production procedure of marble slabs [13].	10
Figure 2.13:	Production procedure of marble tiles [13].	11
Figure 2.14:	Production procedure of granite slabs [13].	12
Figure 2.15:	Production procedure of granite tiles [13].	13
Figure 2.16:	Polymer chains (a) linear (b) branched (c) cross-linked (d) 3D network structure [28].	16
Figure 2.17:	Contact molding (a) hand lay-up (b) spray-up [34].	18
Figure 2.18:	Bag molding (a) vacuum bag (b) pressure bag [34].	19
Figure 2.19:	Extrusion [34].	19
Figure 2.20:	Injection molding [34].	20
Figure 2.21:	Filament winding [34].	21
Figure 2.22:	Pultrusion [34].	21
Figure 2.23:	Compression molding [34].	22
Figure 3.1:	flow chart of the work strategy.	26
Figure 3.2:	Dust particles: (a) dust that did not pass through the first sieve (b) dust with a particle size of 1350 μm (c) with a particle size of 475 μm (d) with a particle size of 37 μm .	27
Figure 3.3:	Oven.	28
Figure 3.4:	Quanta FEG-250 microscopy.	28
Figure 3.5:	WD-XRF, PANalytical XRF test machine.	29
Figure 3.6:	JASCO FT/IR-6100 Spectrometer.	30
Figure 3.7:	(a) Diffractometer (b) PANalytical X'PERT Pro XRD machine.	30
Figure 3.8:	TA Instruments: STA-Q600.	31
Figure 3.9:	A flow chart shows the procedure for manufacturing of polymeric samples.	32
Figure 3.10:	Thermal sigma mixer with extruder machine. (a) Machine mechanism (b) Entire Design. Pictures are taken from Autodesk Inventor.	32
Figure 3.11:	Thermal sigma mixer with extruder machine. (a) The two rotating shafts and heater of the machine (b) The entire machine.	33
Figure 3.12:	Hot pressing die mounted on C-frame press.	34
Figure 3.13:	C-frame Hydraulic press.	34

Figure 3.14: Composites preparation steps. (a) polymer softening (b) Addition of M&G dust (c) Mixing (d) Hot pressing (e) Composites board.	36
Figure 3.15: 3 point bending attachment mounted on TE universal testing machine with span length of 80 mm.	39
Figure 3.16: TE universal testing machine.	39
Figure 3.17: Compression attachment mounted on TE universal testing machine.	40
Figure 3.18: XJJU-5.5/50J Impact tester equipment.	41
Figure 3.19: (a) Instrumentation ADAM PW 240 including density determination kit; (b) schematic drawing of density kit.	42
Figure 3.20: Procedure of density determination (a) Attachment Installation (b) Sample weighing in air (c) Sample weighing in liquid.	42
Figure 3.21: MUL-TEC KR-204 electronics balance.	43
Figure 3.22: TGA Q500.	43
Figure 3.23: TA instrument DSC Q2000.	44
Figure 3.24: Tzero Press.	45
Figure 3.25: TA instrument TMA Q400.	45
Figure 4.1: (a) Typical SEM surface images of M&G dust particles at magnification x3000 (b) close-up image of angular structure at x12,000 magnification.	47
Figure 4.2: (a) Another SEM surface images of M&G dust particles at magnification x2400 (b) close-up image of angular structure at x15,000 magnification.	47
Figure 4.3: EDX Spectrum the M&G Dust Particles.	48
Figure 4.4: FTIR spectrum of M&G dust particles.	51
Figure 4.5: Search/Match (S/M) analysis.	52
Figure 4.6: X-ray diffraction pattern of M&G dust particles.	52
Figure 4.7: DSC and TG traces of M&G dust.	54
Figure 4.8: DTG and DTA traces of M&G dust.	54
Figure 4.9: Flexural stress-strain curve for PP and HDPE.	55
Figure 4.10: Compression stress-strain curve for PP and HDPE.	56
Figure 4.11: Toughness of PP and HDPE.	56
Figure 4.12: The TG and DTG results generated on the PP pellets.	58
Figure 4.13: The TG and DTG results generated on the HDPE pellets.	58
Figure 4.14: TG thermographs of the PP and HDPE pellets.	59
Figure 4.15: DTG thermographs of the PP and HDPE pellets.	59
Figure 4.16: DSC cycle (heating and cooling) of HDPE sample at heating rate of 10 °C/min. The plot shows the heat flow as a function of sample temperature.	60
Figure 4.17: DSC thermographs of the PP samples and HDPE samples an applied heating rate of 10 °C/min.	61
Figure 4.18: The final length variation of PP and HDPE versus temperature.	62
Figure 4.19: Typical flexural stress-strain curve of PP/M&G composites.	63
Figure 4.20: The effect of the dust weight percentage on the flexural strength of PP/M&G composites at a particle size of 387 μm	64
Figure 4.21: The effect of the dust particle size on the flexural strength of PP/M&G composites at a weight percentage of 40%.	64
Figure 4.22: The effect of the dust weight percentage on the bending modulus of PP/M&G composites at a particle size of 387 μm	65
Figure 4.23: The effect of the dust particle size on the bending modulus of PP/M&G composites at a weight percentage of 40%.	65

Figure 4.24: The effect of the dust weight percentage on flexural toughness of PP/M&G composites at a particle size of 387 μm	66
Figure 4.25: The effect of the dust particle size on the flexural toughness of PP/M&G composites at a weight percentage of 40%.	66
Figure 4.26: Typical compression stress-strain curve of PP/M&G composites.	67
Figure 4.27: The effect of the dust weight percentage on the offset yield strength of PP/M&G composites at a particle size of 387 μm	68
Figure 4.28: The effect of the dust particle size on the offset yield strength of PP/M&G composites at a weight percentage of 40%.	68
Figure 4.29: The effect of the dust weight percentage on the compression strength at specific strain of PP/M&G composites at a particle size of 387 μm	69
Figure 4.30: The effect of the dust particle size on the compression strength at specific strain of PP/M&G composites at a weight percentage of 40%.	69
Figure 4.31: The effect of the dust weight percentage on the compression modulus of PP/M&G composites at a particle size of 387 μm	70
Figure 4.32: The effect of the dust particle size on the compression modulus of PP/M&G composites at a weight percentage of 40%.	70
Figure 4.33: The effect of the dust weight percentage on the absorbed energy of PP/M&G composites at a particle size of 387 μm	71
Figure 4.34: The effect of the dust particle size on the absorbed energy of PP/M&G composites at a weight percentage of 40%.	72
Figure 4.35: The effect of the dust weight percentage on the Shore D hardness of PP/M&G composites at a particle size of 387 μm	73
Figure 4.36: The effect of the dust particle size on the Shore D hardness of PP/M&G composites at a weight percentage of 40%.	73
Figure 4.37: The effect of the dust weight percentage on density of PP/M&G composites at a particle size of 387 μm	74
Figure 4.38: The effect of the dust particle size on the density of PP/M&G composites at a weight percentage of 40%.	74
Figure 4.39: The effect of the dust weight percentage on the water absorption percentage of PP/M&G composites at a particle size of 387 μm	75
Figure 4.40: The effect of the dust particle size on the water absorption percentage of PP/M&G composites at a weight percentage of 40%.	75
Figure 4.41: TG thermographs of the PP and 40, 70wt% PP/M&G composites.	76
Figure 4.42: DTG thermographs of the PP and 40, 70wt% PP/M&G composites.	77
Figure 4.43 DSC heating curve of PP, 30, 50 and 70 wt% PP/M&G composites.	78
Figure 4.44: The change in length variation of pure PP, 30 and 50 % weight of M&G dust particles versus temperature.	79
Figure 4.45: SEM microscopy of fracture surface of 20% M&G dust PP composites at a particle size of 387 μm . (a) at 6000x (b) focused area at 2700x.	80
Figure 4.46: SEM microscopy of fracture surface of 50% M&G dust PP composites at a particle size of 387 μm . (a) at 500x (b) focused area at 1800x.	81
Figure 3.47: SEM microscopy of fracture surface of 50% M&G dust PP composites at a particle size of 387 μm at 500x.	82
Figure 4.48: Typical flexural stress-strain curve of HDPE/M&G composites.	83
Figure 4.49: The effect of the dust weight percentage on the flexural strength of HDPE/M&G composites at a particle size of 387 μm	84