

Comparison of Broth Micro Dilution and Disk Diffusion Methods for Susceptibility Testing of Dermatophytes

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree in Clinical Pathology

Presented by

Sara Abdel Hamid Ahmed Habib
M.B., B.Ch Faculty of Medicine – Ain shams university
Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Manal Abd El Alim

Professor of Clinical Pathology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Rania Mohamed Abdel Halim

Lecturer of Clinical Pathology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2017



مقارنة بين طريقة التخفيف الدقيق للمرق وطريقة انتشار القرص لإختبار الحساسية للديرماتوفايتس

رسالة

توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستير في الباثولوجيا الأكلينيكية

مقدمة من

🗌 سارة عبد الحميد أحمد حبيب/الطبيبة

بكالوريوس الطب و الجراحة

كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

تحت إشراف

□أد/ منال عبد العليم

أستاذ الباثولوجيا الأكلينيكية

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

د/ رانيا محمد عبد الحليم

مدرس الباثولوجيا الأكلينيكية

كلية الطب- جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب

جامعة عين شمس

7.17



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



First and foremost thanks to ALLAH, the Most Merciful.

I would like to express my deepest thanks and respect to **Prof. Manal Abd El Alim,** Professor of Clinical Pathology, Ain Shams University, for her valuable supervision, guidance and kind advice throughout this work.

Special thanks and deepest gratitude to **Dr. Rania Mohamed Abdel Halim,** Lecturer of Clinical Pathology, Ain
Shams University, for her good support, continuous supervision
and unlimited help during this

Special thanks and deepest gratitude to **Dr. Omnia**Mohamed El Nabawy Lecturer of Clinical Pathology, Ain

Shams University, for her good support, continuous supervision
and unlimited help during this

Last and not least, I want to thank all my family, my colleagues,, for their valuable help and support.

Finally I would present all my appreciations to my patients without them, this work could not have been completed.

Contents

Subjects	Page
• List of Abbreviations	I
List of table	III
List of Figures	IV
• Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Review of literature:	
Chapter 1: Dermatophytes	5
Chapter 2: Laboratory Diagnosis of Dermatophy	tes
and Antifungal Susceptibility Testing	17
Chapter 3: Treatment and prevention	
dermatophytes	42
Patients And Methods	47
• Results	59
• Discussion	71
Summary	78
• Conclusion	82
Recommendation	84
References	85
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

ABTS: 2,2'-azino-di-3-ethyl-benzthiazoline sulfonate

BaCl₂: Barium chloride

BCP : Bromocresol purple

BLA : Borelli's lactrimel agar

BMD: Broth micro-dilution

C : Chloramphenicol

CHX: Cycloheximide

DD: Disk diffusion

DLSO: Distal lateral subungual onychomycosis

DMSO: Dimethyl sulfoxide

DTM: Dermatophyte test medium

E : Epidermophyton

ELISA: Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay

EO : Endonyx onychomycosis

FLC: Fluconazole

FTIR-S: Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

GMS : Gomori methenamine silver

GRI: Griseofulvin

HIV: <u>Human Immunodeficiency Virus</u>

ITR: Itraconazole

LPCB: lactophenol cotton blue

M : Microsporum

MALDTI- Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-

TOF MS flight mass spectrometry

MHA: Mueller-Hinton Agar

MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration

MPO: Mixed pattern onychomycosis

PAS : Periodic acid Schiff

PCR: Polymerase chain reaction

PDA : Potato dextrose agar

≰List of Abbreviations

PSO: Proximal subungual onychomycosis

SDA : Sabaroud dextrose agar

SEM : Scanning Electron microscopy

SO : Superficial onychomycosis

T : Trichophyton

TDO : Total dystrophic onychomycosis

TER: Terbinafine

Vitek MS: Vitek Mass Spectrometry

∠List of Table

List of Table

Tab. No.	Subject	Page
Table (1)	Subspecies of dermatophytes	5
Table (2)	Tinea Unguium (Dermatophyte Onychomycosis): clinical features	12
Table (3)	Common Topical Antifungal Medications and Their Forms	44
Table (4)	Classification of systemic antifungal drugs with mechanism of action	46
Table (5)	Potencies and symbols of antifungal sensitivity discs	51
Table (6)	Interpretation of zone diameters according to CLSI 2009 guidelines	56
Table (7)	The minimum inhibitory (MIC) breakpoints of tested antifungal agents	57
Table (8)	Demographic data among patients	59
Table (9)	Identification of different dermatophytes on Potato dextrose agar (PDA)	60
Table (10)	Distribution of dermatophyte species among clinically diagnosed cases	62
Table (11)	Agreement between Disk diffusion method and Broth micro-dilution sensitivity method for fluconazole	69
Table (12)	Agreement between Disk diffusion method and Broth micro-dilution sensitivity method for Itraconazole	69
Table (13)	Agreement between Disk diffusion method and	69

∠List of Table

	Broth terbina	micro-dilution fine	sensitivity	method	for	
Table (14)	method	vity and specification to life to the second	MIC broth			

€List of Figures

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Subject	Page
Fig. (1)	Interdigital tinea pedis	9
Fig. (2)	(A) Black dot. (B) Kerion	10
Fig. (3)	(A) Total dystrophic onychomycosis, (B) subungual onychomycosis of several fingers	12
Fig. (4)	Tinea corporis	13
Fig. (5)	Tinea cruris	14
Fig. (6)	Scanning Electron Microscope micrographs of diseased nails (A) (arrow): Pseudohyphaes budding. (B) (arrow): Smooth hyphaes located between a layer of keratinocytes	22
Fig. (7)	In vitro hair penetration test. T. mentagrophytes	28
Fig. (8)	Trichophyton rubrum (A): Culture of Trichophyton rubrum on Sabouraud's dextrose agar. (B): Microscopy of T. rubrum showing macroconidia and microconidia spaced along the hyphae	34
Fig. (9)	Culture of T. mentagrophytes on Sabouraud's dextrose agar (A): front (B): Reverse	36
Fig. (10)	Microscopy of T. mentagrophyes (A) showing cigar shaped macroconidia and rounded microconidia born in clusters on hyphae (B) showing spiral hyphae	36
Fig. (11)	Epidermophyton floccosum (A):Culture of Epidermophyton floccosum on mycobiotic agar. (B):Macroconidia of Epidermophyton floccosum	37
Fig. (12)	(A) Microsporum canis (front) (B) Microsporum	39

∠List of Figures

Fig. No.	Subject	Page
	canis (reverse)	
Fig. (13)	Microscopic picture shows macroconidia and microconidia of Microsporum canis	39
Fig. (14)	Culture of M. Canis on PDA A: Front B: Reverse C: Scotch tape technique showing macroconidia of microsporum canis	61
Fig. (15)	Culture of T. tonsurans on PDA A: White fluffy cotton like colony B: Brown suede like colony	62
Fig. (16)	Comparison between cases with different clinical diagnoses as regard age	63
Fig. (17)	Results of antifungal disc diffusion sensitivity testing	64
Fig. (18)	Results of antifungal disc diffusion sensitivity testing T. Rubrum: Resistant to terbinafine T. Mentegrophytes: Sensitive to the four drugs	64
Fig. (19)	Results of MIC broth micro-dilution method	66
Fig. (20A)	Trichophyton Tonsurans: Resistant to the four drugs	66
Fig. (20B)	T. Tonsurans: sensitive to fluconazole, resistant to itraconazole and terbinafine	67

ABSTRACT

Background: Dermatophytes are responsible for the majority of the fungal infections involving skin, hair and nails. There has been a remarkable increase in the number of fungal infections especially in those people whose immune system is compromised by aging, HIV infection, organ transplantation or cancer therapy.

Objective: The aim of this study was to compare both broth microdilution method & disk diffusion method for in-vitro activity of some antifungal drugs (Terbinafine, Fluconazole, Itraconazole) against different species of dermatophytes.

Patients and Method: This study was performed on 50 dermatophyte isolates recovered from various clinical specimens (skin, hair and nail) collected from dermatology outpatient clinic of Ain Shams University Hospital. All samples were cultured on sabarouds. Isolates recovered from SDA were subcultured on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) & incubated at 28°C for 7 days to enhance sporulation. The growth was harvested in sterile saline & the conidial and hyphal suspension was adjusted to 0.5 macfarland. Then antifungal susceptibility was done using: Disk diffusion (DD) method and Broth micro dilution (BMD) method.

Results: There was a highly significant agreement between the antifungal susceptibility testing of fluconazole, itraconazole and terbinafine by disk diffusion method and Broth micro-dilution method. In our study agreement between both methods for itraconazole was 1.00 (kappa), for terbinafine was 0.947, and for fluconazole was 0.878. The factors that may affect the results of BMD or DD are type and size of inoculum, composition of the media, temperature and duration of incubation and disc strength.

Conclusion: There was a highly significant agreement between the antifungal susceptibility testing of fluconazole, itraconazole and terbinafine by disk diffusion method and Broth micro-dilution method.

Keywords: Dermatophytes, Disk diffusion, Broth micro-dilution

Introduction

Dermatophytes are responsible for the majority of the fungal infections involving skin, hair and nails (*Chinelli et al.*, 2003).

There has been a remarkable increase in the number of fungal infections especially in those people whose immune system is compromised by aging, HIV infection, organ transplantation or cancer therapy (*Kannan et al.*, 2006).

The organisms are transmitted either by direct contact with infected host (human or animal) or by direct or indirect contact with infected exfoliated skin or hair in combs, hair brushes, clothing, furniture, theatre seats, caps, bed linens, towels, hotel rugs, and locker room floors. Depending on the species the organism may be viable in the environment for up to 15 months. There is an increased susceptibility to infection when there is a pre-existing injury to the skin such as scars, burns, marching, excessive temperature and humidity (*Bokhari*, 2009).

Clinically, dermatophytes (ringworm) can be classified depending on the site involved. These include Tinea capitis (scalp), Tinea corporis (non-hairy skin of the body), Tinea cruris (groin), Tinea pedis (foot) or athlete's foot and Tinea barbae or barber's itch (bearded areas of the face and neck). Favus is a chronic type of ringworm

involving the hair follicles (Ananthanarayan and Paniker, 2009).

According to the genera, dermatophytes can be classified into Trichophyton which affect mainly the skin and nails, Microsporum which affect mainly the hair and Epidermophyton which affect mainly the skin (*El-Gohary et al.*, 2014).

Trichophyton rubrum, Trichophyton tonsurans and Trichophyton mentagrophytes are the most common dermatophytes. Trichophyton rubrum affect face, trunk, nails. feet and groin area Trichophyton mentagrophytes affect the surface of the hair (large spore ectothrix) which manifest clinically as kerion. Trichophyton tonsurans invade the hair shaft (endothrix) which manifest clinically as black dot infection. Another common dermatophyte is Microsporum canis, which is transmitted from animals such as cats and dogs to humans causing small spore ectothrix which manifest clinically as scally ringworm (Parija, 2011).

Though there are several antifungal drugs used to treat dermatophytosis, some infections respond well to topical antifungal therapy, whereas others like tinea capitis, tinea unguium (nail infection), and more extensive or severe types may require systemic therapy (*Pakshir et al.*, 2009).

The concurrent increase in fungal infections with increase in the use of antifungal drugs mostly for prolonged

periods has led to development of resistance to antifungal drugs (*Jain et al.*, 2008).

Antifungal susceptibility testing is performed to provide information for clinicians to select appropriate antifungal agents useful for treating a particular fungal infection. For a definitive therapy also, it is essential to evaluate the resistant dermatophytes using a standardized, simple and reproducible in vitro assay to determine the antifungal activity of drugs against isolates. In vitro antifungal susceptibility tests are now mainly used for epidemiological surveys, determination of the degree of antifungal activity, and the prediction of clinical outcome based upon an optimization of antifungal therapy (*Pakshir et al.*, 2009).

Various methods, such as broth macro and microdilutions, agar dilution, E-test, Sensititre colorimetric microdilution panels and disk diffusion have been used for determining the susceptibility of dermatophytes to antifungal agents (*Perrins et al.*, 2005).