



**PUBLIC OPEN SPACES - LANDSCAPE SETTING:
WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO USER
PARTICIPATION**

By

Sherouk Saad Ayoub

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Architectural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017



**PUBLIC OPEN SPACES - LANDSCAPE SETTING:
WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO USER
PARTICIPATION**

By

Sherouk Saad Ayoub

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In partial fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Architectural Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ahmed M.Amin

Assoc.prof. Dr. Mohamed Anwar

Professor of architecture and landscape
Architecture Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Associate Professor
Architecture Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

**PUBLIC OPEN SPACES - LANDSCAPE SETTING:
WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO USER
PARTICIPATION**

By

Sherouk Saad Ayoub

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
In
Architectural Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Ahmed M.Amin, Thesis Main Advisor
Professor of Architectural and landscape, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University.

Assoc.Prof. Dr. Mohamed Anwar, Thesis Advisor
Assoc. Professor of Architectural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo
University.

Prof. Dr. Rowaida M. Reda Kamel, Internal Examiner
Professor of Architectural and Housing, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University.

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Esmat ElAttar, External Examiner
Professor of Architecture and landscape, Faculty of Engineering, Mansoura University,
BUE University.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

Engineer's Name: Sherouk Saad Ayoub
Date of Birth: 07/08/1989
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: sherouk.ayoub89@gmail.com
Phone: +201008004125
Address: 39 Ahmed kasseem gouda,
Abbas el Akkad Nasr city



Nasr City,
Giza, Egypt
Registration Date: 01/10/2012
Awarding Date: 2017
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Architectural Engineering

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Ahmed M. Amin
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Anwar Abdallah

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Ahmed M. Amin (Main advisor)
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohamed Anwar Abdallah (Advisor)
Prof. Dr Rowaida M. Reda Kamel (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr Mohamed Esmat ElAttar
Faculty of Engineering, Mansoura Shams University.
(External examiner)

Title of Thesis:

PARTICIPATION IN PLANNING AND DESIGN
OF PUBLIC OPEN SPACE

Key Words:

Participation, Landscape, Urban Design

Summary:

Landscape evaluation is a part of our daily life, every day we perceive, evaluate, and estimate landscapes in a process that both influences and is influenced by our Behavior, method and attitudes. This two-way process results in the importance of participation of creating their neighboring landscape. Although the awareness of human needs plays an important role in inspiring public participation to the urban development, Researchers state that in Egypt, the level of public participation in the planning process is extremely far from being acceptable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

قال تعالى : { وَمَا تَوْفِيقِي إِلَّا بِاللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ تَوَكَّلْتُ وَإِلَيْهِ أُنِيبُ }

سورة هود 88

And my success is not but through Allah. Upon him I have relied, and to Him I return (Holy Quran, 11:88)

This work is a result of endless personal and professional interactions; I apologize for forgetting anyone who contributed directly or indirectly to it.

First and foremost, all praise and thanks be to Allah, Lord of the Worlds- لله الحمد

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. Ahmed Amin for his continuous support whom i was honored by his supervision and I thank him for not only being my supervisor but also my life mentor. I learned a lot from you, personally and professionally, thank you for setting an example for who I should be and A. Prof. Mohamed Anwar for his help and for keeping up with my broken deadlines and giving me all the support needed.

I would also like to thank all my professors and colleagues for their time filling in the survey and for the validation of the derived principles. I would also like to thank Prof. Dr. Rowaida M. Reda Kamel and Prof. Dr. Mohamed Essmat El Attar for their valuable comments to bring this thesis out in a better form.

I thank my best friend Dalia Abdel Fatah for her assistance and encouragement since day one of this research, for listening to my scattered ideas in the beginning of this research, and your support afterwards, thank you for letting me know that I can reach you whenever I need.

The completion of the research would not have been possible without the help of my lifetime friends Khalid Ghaith ,Hoda el Halaby ,Ahmed Amer ,Salma Ghanem and Sarah Samir for putting the research in its' final form.

Nevertheless I would like to thank my mother Nehal Ayoub, sister shaymaa and brother Mohamed who supported and encouraged me along the thesis journey.

I ask Allah, the most high, the Knower of all, to make this work beneficial for humanity.

Verily, He is the One who grants success.

Thank you جزاكم الله خيرا

And above all thank God. الحمد لله

DEDICATION

To my father's soul ... Your empty space would never be filled.

To my wonderful mother ... I owe you a debt of gratitude and thanks that I can never repay.

Every bit of me is a little bit of you.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Acknowledgment	v
Dedication.....	vi
Table of Content	vii
List of Tables.....	xiii
List of Figures	xiv
Abstract	xvii
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Problem Formulation.....	2
1.3. Aim and Objectives	2
1.4. Research Questions	3
1.5. Methodological Framework	3
1.6. Research Components	4
CHAPTER 2 : URBAN LANDSCAPE AND OPEN SPACES	7
2.1. Introduction	7
2.2. Definitions.....	8
2.3. The role of urban design.....	10
2.4. Urban spaces: Definition, Importance Values and Typology	12
2.4.1. Values and meanings of urban spaces.....	12
2.4.1.1. Abstract Values: Cultural, Political, Symbolic and Social	13
2.4.1.2. Functional Values: Economic, Environmental, Healthcare and Welfare	13
2.5. Differences between Urban Design and PLace Making	13
2.6. Urban and Landscape Design.....	15
2.7. Evaluating Quality of Urban Public space design.....	16
2.8. Qulaity of Life and Urban Design.....	17
2.9. Comparing Urban Public Places and Spaces.....	18
2.10. Principles to Evaluate Practices and Activities of a Space	20
2.11. Concluding Summary.....	20
CHAPTER 3 : OVERVIEW ON PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.....	23
3.1. Introduction	23
3.2. Definition of Participation.....	23
3.3. Definition of Participatory Design	24
3.4. Definition of Public Participation	24
3.5. Public Participation Assumbption.....	26
3.5.1. Objectives for Public Participation	26

3.5.2.	Principles of Public Participation.....	27
3.6.	Democratic Process.....	27
3.6.1.	Principles to Encourage Democratic Process.....	27
3.6.2.	Involve Important Viewpoints	28
3.6.3.	Allow People’s Participation.....	28
3.6.4.	Raise Multiple Methods of Knowing.....	28
3.6.4.1.	Guarantee suitable Discussion.....	28
3.6.4.2.	Establish ongoing participatory processes	29
3.6.4.3.	Practice proposals as grist	29
3.6.4.4.	Help people feel full heard	29
3.6.5.	Tips for conducting community meetings.....	29
3.7.	Concepts of Public Participation	30
3.7.1.	Public Deliberation and Coproduction.....	30
3.7.2.	Passive Participation	31
3.7.3.	Participation in Information Exchange	31
3.7.4.	Participation by Consultation.....	32
3.7.5.	Participation for Material Motivation	32
3.7.6.	Functional Participation	32
3.7.7.	Self-Mobilization	32
3.8.	Engage the public in the participation Process.....	32
3.8.1.	Why the Public should be Involved for Participation in the Planning process?	33
3.8.2.	Why Participate in Creating this Process?	33
3.8.3.	Differences Exist in Participants Affecting Participation Process.....	35
3.9.	Levels of Participation	35
3.10.	Helping People Take Part in Your Project.....	37
3.10.1.	Informing.....	38
3.10.2.	Benefits on Informing People	38
3.10.3.	Tips and Ways of Informing	39
3.10.4.	Consulting	39
3.10.4.1.	Benefits of consulting	40
3.10.4.2.	Tips of consulting.....	40
3.10.5.	Deciding Together.....	41
3.10.5.1.	Benefits of deciding together	41
3.10.5.2.	Acting together	41
3.10.5.3.	Benefits of acting together	41
3.10.5.4.	Tips on acting together	42
3.10.6.	Supporting Others Take the lead.....	43

3.10.6.1. Benefits of supporting others to take the lead.....	43
3.10.6.2. Tips of supporting others to take the lead	43
3.11. What is a Community Plan?.....	43
3.11.1. Designing the process of participation.....	44
3.12. Design Guidelines for Public Participation According to Bryson and John	45
3.13. Psycho Social Effect on Participatory Landscape Open Spaces Development	45
3.14. Landscape Open Spaces Meets Psychology.....	46
3.15. Psychological Knowledge for Landscape Open Spaces Perception	49
3.16. Humans and Their Environment	49
3.16.1. Personal Identity and Lifestyle.....	49
3.16.2. Mental/ Cognitive Maps.....	49
3.16.3. Psychology of the Visual Landscape	50
3.17. Factors Influencing People's Willingness to participate and discuss Landscape open spaces Development	50
3.17.1. Social belonging and people's willingness to participate.....	50
3.17.2. Building Institutional Trust and Willingness to Participate.....	50
3.17.3. Self-Efficiency Effect om People's Willingness to Participate	51
3.18. The Five Extreme Types of Participatory Behavior.....	52
3.18.1. Adaptation type	52
3.18.2. Resistance type.....	53
3.18.3. Withdrawal type	53
3.18.4. Distance type	53
3.18.5. Participation type	54
3.18.6. Communicative instruments to promote participatory activity.....	54
3.19. Concluding Summary.....	56
CHAPTER 4 : INTERNATIONAL EXAMPLES.....	57
4.1. Introduction	57
4.2. Case Study Selection Criteria.....	57
4.3. Methodologies, Guidelines and Tools of Assessment	57
4.4. Study Area: Buchanan Neighbourhood Openspace, San Francisco	58
4.4.1. Participants: Stakeholders and Local Community	59
4.4.2. Procedures	60
4.4.2.1. Project goals.....	60
4.4.2.2. Project process.....	60
4.4.3. Project Results and Outcomes.....	63
4.5. Study Area: Ghent Openspace, belgium	63
4.5.1. Participants: Stakeholders ad Local Community	64
4.5.2. Procedures	64

4.5.2.1.	Project goals.....	64
4.5.2.2.	Project process.....	64
4.5.3.	Results	66
4.6.	Study Area: Fremantle Esplanade Open Space, Western Austria.....	66
4.6.1.	Participants: Stakeholders and Local Community	67
4.6.2.	Procedures	68
4.6.2.1.	Project goals.....	69
4.6.2.2.	Project process.....	70
4.6.3.	Project Results and Outcomes.....	72
4.7.	Monitoring Table of the Urban Guidelines through International Case Studies Error! Bookmark not defined.	
4.8.	Guidelines Driven from Literature and International Case Studies	73
4.8.1.	Socially.....	73
4.8.1.1.	Bring residents together at key moments to create enthusiasm, awareness and involve them in the process of creating green spaces in the neighborhood	73
4.8.1.2.	Allow residents to take part in design construct and maintain their community opens spaces to care and respect their green open areas as their own.....	74
4.8.1.3.	Engage users to participate in design process.....	74
4.8.1.4.	Ensure local community to choose their own planting	74
4.8.2.	Urbanely	75
4.8.2.1.	Focusing on having accessible recreational green spaces.....	75
4.8.2.2.	Encourage gardening the plots of temporarily vacant land	75
4.8.2.3.	Enhance socio-urban cohesion.....	75
4.8.2.4.	create inviting open spaces	76
4.8.2.5.	Start the design process with an inventory of what exists on the site (or what once existed).....	76
4.8.2.6.	A Create compatible functions within the open space activities	76
4.8.2.7.	Integration of the natural environment into urban and participatory landscape design.....	77
4.8.3.	Environmentally	77
4.8.3.1.	Promote managed and meaningful public participation to achieve participatory budgeting strategy	77
4.8.3.2.	Promotes local democracy	77
4.8.3.3.	Creating environmental responsible community and bringing green into the city by participation methods.....	78
4.8.3.4.	Strengthen ecological consideration in design process.....	78
4.8.3.5.	Protect and manage the natural and built environment.....	79
4.8.4.	Politically	79

4.8.4.1.	Participatory as a decision-making process.....	79
4.8.4.2.	Implementing urban policies	79
4.8.4.3.	Create political leadership with appropriate legal and institutional frameworks	80
4.8.4.4.	Ensure community contribution in policy making	80
4.8.4.5.	providing an outlet for citizens to contribute to policy making	80
4.8.4.6.	Facilitate and articulate political decisions based on different scenarios..	80
4.8.5.	Economically.....	80
4.8.5.1.	Sustained economic growth through participatory landscape development	80
4.8.5.2.	Create a more livable neighborhood for the residents.	81
4.8.5.3.	Promotes public private partnership	81
4.8.5.4.	Enabling framework for new economic opportunities.....	81
4.9.	Monitoring Table of the Urban guidelines through International Case Studies	81
4.10.	Concluding Summary	83
CHAPTER 5 : LOCAL CASE STUDIES		85
5.1.	Introduction	85
5.2.	Case study Selection Criteria:	85
5.3.	Case Study Objectives.....	85
5.4.	Case Study Methodology	85
5.5.	First Case Study: Masaken Othman	86
5.5.1.	Participants: Stakeholders and Local Community	87
5.5.2.	Procedures	88
5.5.2.1.	Site Selection	88
5.5.3.	project Goals	91
5.5.3.1.	Participation as an empowerment and inclusive process	91
5.5.3.2.	The Right to Play	92
5.5.3.3.	Use the Available Local Resources and Environmental Sensitivity.....	92
5.5.4.	Project Process	93
5.5.4.1.	The Participatory Project Design Sessions.....	93
5.5.5.	The Initial Design and its Development.....	97
5.5.5.1.	Design process of play elements:	98
5.5.5.2.	Work on Feedback Sessions.....	99
5.5.5.3.	Setting the Final Design	101
5.5.6.	Project results and outcomes	102
5.6.	Second Case Study: Houch Ygamaana	108

5.6.1.	Participants: Stakeholders and Local Community	110
5.6.2.	Procedures	110
5.6.2.1.	Project Goals	111
5.6.2.2.	Project process.....	113
5.6.2.3.	Outreach methodology.....	114
5.6.3.	Workshop Methodology.....	115
5.6.4.	Project Results and Outcomes.....	116
5.7.	Verification of principles	121
5.7.1.	Survey Objectives	121
5.7.2.	Methodology of the surveys.....	122
5.7.3.	Experts Questionnaire	122
5.7.4.	Results of the Experts Survey for Professionals in this Field	124
5.7.5.	Conclusion of the Expert Survey	126
5.7.5.1.	Social aspects in public participation in open spaces	126
5.7.5.2.	Urban Aspects in Public Participation in Open Spaces	127
5.7.5.3.	Political aspects in public participation in open spaces.....	128
5.7.5.4.	Economic aspects in public participation in open spaces.....	129
5.7.6.	Public Questionnaire	129
5.7.6.1.	Results of the validation survey.....	134
5.8.	Concluding Summary.....	134
CHAPTER 6 : DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS.....		135
6.1.	Introduction	135
6.2.	Conclusions	135
6.2.1.	Theoretical Approach Conclusion.....	135
6.2.2.	The Applicable Approach Conclusions	137
6.3.	Recommendations	137
6.3.1.	Recommendations Oriented Towards Public Participation	138
6.3.2.	Recommendations Oriented Towards Guidelines for Landscape Open Spaces	138
6.4.	Prorospects for Future Research	138
References		139

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1 Monitoring table of the urban guidelines with international case studies...	81
Table 5.1 The children's' points of view	95
Table 5.2 Women Participants points of view in Masaken Othman "Geneina".	95
Table 5.3 Adult participants responses.....	100
Table 5.4 Children participants' responses	100
Table 5.5 Monitoring table of the social, environmental, political and economical guidelines.....	103
Table 5.6 Participatory sessions.	110
Table 5.7 Team Structure	116
Table 5.8 Experts Questionnaire Analysis. Part I	124
Table 5.9 Experts Questionnaire Analysis. Part II	125
Table 5.10 Public questionnaire analysis Part I	131
Table 5.11 Public questionnaire analysis Part II	132
Table 5.12 Public questionnaire analysis Part III.....	133

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Methodological Framework	6
Figure 2.1 Understanding Urban Design	7
Figure 2.2 The Activities	10
Figure 2.3 Amsterdam Netherlands, Source:	11
Figure 2.4 Values of spaces	12
Figure 2.5 Personal well- being characteristics	15
Figure 2.6 Bryant Park, New York	15
Figure 2.7 Quality of Life	17
Figure 2.8 SWOT Analysis Diagram	18
Figure 2.9 Place Making Diagram	20
Figure 3.1 Participation Design Process Cycle	24
Figure 3.2 Public Deliberation Processes	30
Figure 3.3 Helping People take part.....	31
Figure 3.4 Different Stages of Stakeholder Involvement	35
Figure 3.5 Deciding together	36
Figure 3.6 Hearing Session	37
Figure 3.7 IAP2 Spectrum of Public Participation	38
Figure 3.8 Informing Citizens.....	39
Figure 3.9 Consulting.....	40
Figure 3.10 Acting Together	42
Figure 3.11 Program of Designing Public Participation.	44
Figure 3.12 Iterative Tasks in Design Process	45
Figure 3.13 Psychological Landscape.....	46
Figure 3.14 Relations between Landscape and Other Vital Elements.....	47
Figure 3.15 Static model of the society, landscape and interaction.....	52
Figure 4.1 The Buchanan neighbourhood openspace re-design	59

Figure 4.2 The Buchanan neighborhood open space seating elements, gardens, and lighting embody the team’s design values of safety, beauty, and nature.	60
Figure 4.3 The Buchanan neighborhood open space. Source	61
Figure 4.4 The Buchanan neighborhood open space.	62
Figure 4.5 The living open space activities	65
Figure 4.6 People are the soul of the open space	65
Figure 4.7 Insert Caption	66
Figure 4.8 Fremantle Esplanade Youth Plaza, located in Fremantle, Australia, specially caters to young people Photo via City of Fremantle.	67
Figure 4.9 Fremantle Esplanade open space redesign	67
Figure 4.10 The traditional council meetings with local community.	68
Figure 4.11 Fremantle Esplanade open space redesign	69
Figure 4.12 Fremantle Esplanade open space redesign	70
Figure 4.13 Skate park	73
Figure 5.1 Case study location.....	87
Figure 5.2 Discussion group	88
Figure 5.3 Site Location.....	89
Figure 5.4 Picture of the selected site at Masaken Othman	89
Figure 5.5 Events held in the site	91
Figure 5.6 Discussion groups with children	92
Figure 5.7 The right of children to play and the use of th available recycled materials	93
Figure 5.8 Children design session.	94
Figure 5.9 A&B Products of the Children discussion session.	94
Figure 5.10 Women session result	97
Figure 5.11 Part of the designed playground	99
Figure 5.12 A&B: Final Design.....	102
Figure 5.13 Location of Houch Ygamaana in New Damietta.....	109
Figure 5.14 The process.	111