



Properties of Normal and High Strength Fiber Reinforced Concrete Using Recycled Aggregate and Different Fibers

By

ALSHIMAA ABD EL MONEM HUSSEIN

**A thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In partial fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING**

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY

GIZA, EGYPT

2015

Properties of Normal and High Strength Fiber Reinforced Concrete Using Recycled Aggregate and Different Fibers

By

ALSHIMAA ABD EL MONEM HUSSEIN

**A thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In partial fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING**

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Adel G. El-attar
Professor
Structural Engineering Dept.,
Faculty of Engineering,
Cairo University

Dr. Ghada D. Abd El-hameed
Associate Professor,
Housing and Building
National Research Center

Prof. Dr. Hamed M. Hadhood
Professor
Structural Engineering Dept.,
Faculty of Engineering,
Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY

GIZA, EGYPT

2015

Properties of Normal and High Strength Fiber Reinforced Concrete Using Recycled Aggregate and Different Fibers

By

ALSHIMAA ABD EL MONEM HUSSEIN

**A thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In partial fulfillment of the
Requirements for the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING**

Approved by the

Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Hossam Zakaria El-Karmoty, External examiner

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mahmoud Ragab , Internal examiner

Prof. Dr. Adel Galal El-Attar, Advisor

Prof. Dr. Hamed Mohamed Hadhood, Advisor

Associate Prof. Ghada Dina Abd El-Hameed, Advisor

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2015**

Engineer : Alshimaa Abd El-Monem Hussien
Date of Birth : 09/03/1985
Nationality : Egyptian
E-mail : Eng07alhussien@gmail.com
Phone : 01221485900
Address : 17 Elnour street, Dokki- Giza Egypt
Registration Date : 01/10/2010
Awarding Date :
Degree : Master of Science
Department : Structural Engineering
Supervisors : Prof. Dr. Adel Galal El-Attar
Prof. Dr. Hamed Mohamed Hadhood
Associate Prof. Ghada Diaa Abd El-Hameed



Examiners :
Prof. Dr. Hossam Zakaria El-Karmoty (External examiner - HBRC)
Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mahmoud Ragab (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Adel Galal El-Attar (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Hamed Mohamed Hadhood (Thesis advisor)
Dr. Ghada Diaa Abd El-Hameed (Thesis advisor)

Title of Thesis: **Properties of Normal and High Strength Fiber Reinforced Concrete using Recycled Aggregate and Different fibers**

Key Words : Recycled aggregate, normal strength concrete, high strength concrete, synthetic fibers, concrete properties.

Summary :
The aims of the current research are to determine the influence of using recycled aggregate on the properties of both normal and high-strength concrete and to investigate the effect of adding synthetic fibers to recycled aggregate concrete mixes in order to provide a solution for current application requirements and better performance. This investigation was carried out on forty mixes divided into two phases: phase-I of cement content 400 kg/m^3 for normal strength concrete and phase-II of cement content 600 kg/m^3 for high strength concrete. Four recycled aggregate replacement percentages (0%, 25%, 50%, 100%) with different types and volume fractions of synthetic fibers (0.05%, 0.1%, 0.2% of fibermesh300 and 0.1% polypropylene fiber) were used in the both phases. The experimental program included assessment of fresh and hardened concrete properties using tests of workability, air content, compressive strength, indirect tensile (splitting tensile) strength, flexural strength, static modulus of elasticity, impact resistance, abrasion resistance and drying shrinkage .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, thanks to ALLAH for the blessing and opportunity for me to finish this scientific research.

The author would like to express her sincere thanks to Prof. Dr. Adel Galal El-Attar , Prof. Dr. Hamed Mohamed Hadhood and Dr. Ghada Diaa Abd El-Hameed for providing their immeasurable guidance, assistance and advice during the research program.

Thanks are also extended to the staff of Structural Engineering Department, Cairo University and Building Materials Research & Quality Control Institute, Housing & Building National Research Center for their help.

Special thanks are owed to my professors in National Water Research Center for their generous guidance and encouraging, sincere help and their support by all means through all stage of my work.

The author cannot forget materials laboratory members (Housing & Building National Research Center) who assisted in preparing the tested specimens.

Finally, the author wishes to express her deeply-felt gratitude to her parents made all this possible. The tremendous support, patience and guidance of my father, and my mother cannot be praised enough; to my wonderful family this thesis is dedicated.

ABSTRACT

In Egypt, the efficient use of the construction and demolition wastes by recycling would reduce the costs and definitely lead to conservation of the invaluable non-renewable sources of energy and hence it should be given considerable importance.

Nowadays, the rate of demolition and removal of existing structures is increasing due to various reasons i.e. reconstruction for achieving more economic gains , damages in structures due to natural disasters and wars. Also, there are countries as well as Egypt that rebuild and develop their cities for the purpose of revolution especially in the Middle East region. Therefore, recycled concrete aggregate produced by crushing demolished concrete can be easily obtained. There are already various applications of recycled aggregate concrete that has been extremely executed in the United States and European construction industries due to its economic and environmental benefits such as base or fill for drainage structures, bank protection, embankments and road construction or as noise barriers. Although, the use of recycled concrete aggregate is considered to be the prospective application in construction as a substitute for natural aggregates, this idea is still limited in most of the developing countries including Egypt as one of these nations.

Users of recycled concrete aggregate should take customary precautions to make sure that the material is suitable for the intended application. The aims of the current research are to determine the influence of using recycled concrete aggregate on the properties of both normal and high-strength concrete and to investigate the effect of adding synthetic fibers to recycled aggregate concrete mixes in order to provide a solution for current application requirements and better performance. This investigation was carried out on forty mixes divided into two phases: phase-I of cement content 400 kg/m³ for normal strength concrete and phase-II of cement content 600 kg/m³ for high strength concrete. Four recycled aggregate replacement percentages of (0%, 25%,50%,100%) with different types and volume fractions of synthetic fibers of (0.05%, 0.1%,0.2% of fibermesh300 and 0.1% polypropylene fiber) are used in the both

phases. The experimental program included assessment of fresh and hardened concrete properties using tests of workability , air content, compressive strength, indirect tensile (splitting tensile) strength, flexural strength, static modulus of elasticity, impact resistance , abrasion resistance and drying shrinkage .

Based on the findings, it was found that both normal and high strength recycled aggregate concretes, as the replacement percentage of recycled aggregate increases, the mechanical properties of concrete with and without fibers decrease. Also, it can be observed by increasing the cement content and reducing water/cement ratio the mechanical properties of conventional and recycled aggregate concrete significantly improved. However, most of the mechanical properties of high strength concrete decreased more by increasing the recycled aggregate content than the normal strength concrete. Thus, recycled concrete aggregate is more suitable to be used in lower grade concretes. On addition of fibers, the two types of synthetic fibers used in this research with volume fraction up to 0.2% enhanced the mechanical properties of both normal and high strength concrete mixes with natural and recycled coarse aggregate. However, research results indicate that the fibermesh300 performs better than polypropylene fibers in flexure strength, impact strength and controlling drying shrinkage of concrete at the same volume fraction.

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgements	i
Abstract.....	ii
Table of contents	iv
List of tables	vii
List of figures	ix
 CHAPTER (1):INTRODUCTION	
1.1 General	1
1-2 Aim and Scope	2
1-3 Thesis Layout	3
 CHAPTER (2):LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2-1 General	4
2-2 Recycled Concrete Aggregates	4
2-2-1 Properties of Recycled Concrete Aggregates	5
2-2-2 Effect of RCA on Fresh Properties of Concrete	8
2-2-3 Effect of RCA on Hardened Properties of Concrete.....	8
2-3 The Recycling Process	14
2.4 Current Application of RCA	15
2.5 Advantages and Disadvantages of RCA Concrete	15
2-6 Fiber Reinforced Concrete	16
2-6-1 Types of Fibers used in Concrete	17
2-6-1-1 Steel Fibers	17
2-6-1-2 Synthetic Fibers	18
2-7 The Effect of Synthetic Fibers on Concrete Properties.....	20
2-7-1 Effect of PP Fibers on Fresh Properties of Concrete	20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2-7-2 Effect of PP Fibers on Hardened Properties of Concrete	21
2-8 Advantages and Disadvantages of Fiber Reinforced Concrete-	25
2-9 Application of Fiber Reinforced Concrete	26
 CHAPTER (3):EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM.....	28
3-1 General	28
3-2 Research Program	29
3-3 Materials Properties.....	30
3-3-1 Fine Aggregates (Sand)	30
3-3-2 Coarse Aggregates	31
3-3-3 Recycled concrete Aggregates	32
3-3-4 Cement	34
3-3-5 Water	33
3-3-6 Silica Fume	33
3-3-7 High-range Water Reducer (superplasticizer)	34
3-3-8 Fiber	35
3-4 Concrete Mix.....	36
3-5 Testing of Concrete	37
3-5-1 Fresh Concrete Tests.....	40
3-5-2 Hardened Concrete Tests	40
3-5-2-1 Compressive Strength Test.....	41
3-5-2-2 Splitting Tensile Strength Test	41
3-5-2-3 Flexural Strength Test	42
3-5-2-4 Static Modulus of Elasticity Test.....	42
3-5-2-5 Impact Resistance Test	43
3-5-2-6 Abrasion Resistance Test.....	44
3-5-2-7 Dry Shrinkage Test.....	44
 CHAPTER (4):RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	45
4-1 General	45

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4-2 Test Results	45
4-2-1 Fresh Concrete Test Results :Phase I	45
4-2-1-1 Slump Test.....	45
4-2-1-2 Air Content	46
4-2-2 Hardened Concrete Test Results :Phase I.....	49
4-2-2-1 Compressive Strength.....	49
4-2-2-2 Tensile Strength.....	58
4-2-2-3 Flexural Strength	62
4-2-2-4 Modulus of Elasticity	66
4-2-2-5 Impact Resistance	70
4-2-2-6 Abrasion Resistance	74
4-2-2-7 Drying shrinkage	78
4-2-3 Fresh Concrete Test Results :Phase II	82
4-2-3-1 Slump Test.....	82
4-2-3-2 Air Content	82
4-2-4 Hardened Concrete Test Results :Phase II.....	85
4-2-4-1 Compressive Strength.....	85
4-2-4-2 Tensile Strength.....	94
4-2-4-3 Flexural Strength	98
4-2-4-4 Modulus of Elasticity	102
4-2-4-5 Impact Resistance	106
4-2-4-6 Abrasion Resistance	110
4-2-4-7 Drying shrinkage	114
 CHAPTER (5):CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	 118
5-1 Conclusion.....	118
5-2 Recommendations for future researches	121
 REFERENCES	 122

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
CHAPTER (2): LITERATURE REVIEW	
Table (2-1): Typical properties of synthetic fibres.....	19
CHAPTER (3):EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM	
Table (3-1): Physical Properties of Fine Aggregate	31
Table (3-2):Physical and Mechanical Properties of Natural Coarse Aggregate.....	31
Table (3-3):Physical and Mechanical Properties of Recycled Concrete Aggregates	32
Table (3-4): Physical Properties of Cement	33
Table (3-5): Physical Properties of the used Silica Fume	34
Table (3-6): Chemical Analysis of the used Silica Fume	35
Table (3-7): Superplasticizer (ADDICRETE BVF) Technical Data.....	35
Table (3-8): Superplasticizer (ViscoCrete® -20HE) Technical Data.....	35
Table (3-9): Properties of Fibermesh 300 Synthetic Fiber	35
Table (3-10):Properties of C.M.B Polypropylene Fibers.	36
Table (3-11): The Mix Proportions of the Tested Mixes by Weigh Quantities Phase I	38
Table (3-12): The Mix Proportions of the Tested Mixes by Weigh Quantities Phase II.	39
CHAPTER (4): RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
Table (4-1): The Air content % of Concrete Mixtures, phase I.....	47
Table (4-2): The Compressive strength of Concrete Mixtures, phase I.	49
Table (4-3): The Tensile Strength of Concrete Mixtures, phase I.....	58
Table (4-4): The Flexure Strength of Concrete Mixtures, phase I.	62
Table (4-5): The Modulus of elasticity Concrete Mixtures, phase I.	66

LIST OF TABLES

Table (4-6): The Impact Energy of Concrete Mixtures, phase I.....	70
Table (4-7): The Average Loss thickness of Concrete Mixtures, phase I.	74
Table (4-8): The shrinkage strains of Concrete Mixtures, phase I.	78
Table (4-9): The Air content % of Concrete Mixtures, phase II.	83
Table (4-10): The Compressive strength of Concrete Mixtures, phase II.....	85
Table (4-11): The Tensile Strength of Concrete Mixtures, phase II.....	94
Table (4-12): The Flexure Strength of Concrete Mixtures, phase II..	98
Table (4-13): The Modulus of elasticity Concrete Mixtures, phase II..	102
Table (4-14): The Impact Energy of Concrete Mixtures, phase II.	106
Table (4-15): The Average Loss thickness of Concrete Mixtures, phase II.....	110
Table (4-16): The shrinkage strains of Concrete Mixtures, phase II.....	114

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
CHAPTER (1): INTRODUCTION	
Figure (1-1) Recycled aggregate	1
CHAPTER (3): EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM	
Figure (3-1): Phase I with cement content 400 kg/m^3	29
Figure (3-2): Phase II with cement content 600 kg/m^3	30
Figure (3-3): Crusher machine	32
Figure (3-4): Concrete mixture	37
Figure (3-5): Casting concrete in molds	37
Figure (3-6): Slump test apparatus	40
Figure (3-7): Air content pot apparatus	40
Figure (3-8): Compression test	41
Figure (3-9): Splitting tensile test	41
Figure (3-10): Flexural strength test	43
Figure (3-11): Static modulus of elasticity test	43
Figure (3-12): Impact test machine	43
Figure (3-13): Abrasion Test Machine	44
Figure (3-14): Dry shrinkage test	44
CHAPTER (4): RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
Figure (4-1): Effect of using 25%, 50%, 100% replacement percentage of RCA with different fiber content on air content % as compared to conventional concrete (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m^3)	48
Figure (4-2): Effect of using 25%, 50%, 100% replacement percentages of RCA with different fiber content on compressive strength at 7 days as compared to conventional concrete (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m^3)	50
Figure (4-3): Effect of using 25%, 50%, 100% replacement percentages of RCA with different fiber content on compressive strength at 28 days as compared to conventional concrete (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m^3)	50

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure (4-4): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with different fiber content on compressive strength at 90 days as compared to conventional concrete (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³).....	51
Figure (4-5): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA without fiber content on compressive strength at 7,28,90 days as compared to conventional concrete (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³).....	52
Figure (4-6): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with 0.05% FM300 on compressive strength at 7,28,90 days as compared to natural aggregate concrete with the same fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	53
Figure (4-7): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with 0.1% FM300 on compressive strength at 7,28,90 days as compared to natural aggregate concrete with the same fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	53
Figure (4-8): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with 0.2% FM300 on compressive strength at 7,28,90 days as compared to natural aggregate concrete with the same fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	54
Figure (4-9): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with 0.1%PP on compressive strength at 7,28,90 days as compared to natural aggregate concrete with the same fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	54
Figure (4-10): Effect of using 0.05% FM300 , 0.1% FM300 , 0.2 % FM300, 0.1% PP fiber content on compressive strength of natural aggregate concrete at 7,28,90 days, as compared to conventional concrete (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	56
Figure (4-11): Effect of using 0.05% FM300 , 0.1% FM300 , 0.2 % FM300, 0.1% PP fiber with 25% replacement percentage of RCA on compressive strength at 7,28,90 days, as compared to corresponding recycled aggregate concrete without fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	56
Figure (4-12): Effect of using 0.05% FM300 , 0.1% FM300 , 0.2 % FM300, 0.1% PP fiber with 50% replacement percentage of RCA on compressive strength at 7,28,90 days, as compared to corresponding recycled aggregate concrete without fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	57
Figure (4-13): Effect of using 0.05% FM300 , 0.1% FM300 , 0.2 % FM300, 0.1% PP fiber with 100 % replacement percentage of RCA on compressive strength at 7,28,90 days, as compared to corresponding recycled aggregate concrete without fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	57

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure (4-14): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with different fiber content on tensile strength compared with conventional concrete(phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	59
Figure (4-15): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with fibers on tensile strength , as compared to natural aggregate concrete with the same fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	60
Figure (4-16): Effect of using 0.05% FM300 , 0.1% FM300, 0.2 % FM300, 0.1% PP fiber on tensile strength of natural and recycled aggregate concrete mixes, as compared to corresponding concrete mixes without fiber content(phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	61
Figure (4-17): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with different fiber content on flexural Strength compared with conventional concrete.(phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	63
Figure (4-18): Effect of using,25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with fibers on flexural Strength , as compared to natural aggregate concrete with the same fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	64
Figure (4-19): Effect of using 0.05% FM300 , 0.1% FM300 , 0.2 % FM300, 0.1% PP fiber on flexural Strength of natural and recycled aggregate concrete mixes, as compared to corresponding concrete mixes without fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	65
Figure (4-20): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with different fiber content on modulus of elasticity compared with conventional concrete(phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	67
Figure (4-21): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with fibers on modulus of elasticity , as compared to natural aggregate concrete with the same fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	68
Figure (4-22): Effect of using 0.05% FM300 , 0.1% FM300 , 0.2 % FM300, 0.1% PP fiber on modulus of elasticity of natural and recycled aggregate concrete mixes, as compared to corresponding concrete mixes without fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	69
Figure (4-23): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with different fiber content on impact resistance compared with conventional concrete(phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	71
Figure (4-24): Effect of using 25%,50%,100% replacement percentages of RCA with fibers on impact resistance , as compared to natural aggregate concrete with the same fiber content (phase I-cement content 400 kg/m ³)	72