# Validity of Prognostic Scoring Systems in Egyptian Patient with Chronic Myeloid Leukemia

Thesis
Submitted for Fulfillment of Master Degree
In Clinical Haematology

#### BY

#### Douaa Ramadan Attya Abdelkarim

(M.B.B.CH.)

Faculty of Medicine -Cairo University
Supervised By

#### Prof. DR. Mohamed Osman Azzazi

Professor of Internal Medicine,

Clinical Haematology & Oncology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

#### Prof. DR. Hany Mohamed Ab-Allah

Professor of Internal Medicine,

Clinical Haematology & Oncology

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

## DR. Emad Abd El-Mohsen Abd El-hady

Assistant professor of Clinical Haematology & Oncology
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University
Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University
2016

# صلاحية الأنظمة التنبؤية للتطبيق علي مرضي سرطان الدم النقوي المزمن في مصر

توطئة للحصول على درجة الماجستيرفي أمراض الدم

مقدمة من الطبيبة حاء رمضان عطية عبدالكريم بكالوريوس الطب والجراحة العامة كلية الطب حامعة عن شمس

# تحت إشراف أ. د/ محمد عثمان عزازي

أستاذ الباطنة وأمراض الدم الاكلينيكية والأورام كلية الطب عين شمس

# أ.د/هاني محمد عبدالله حجاب

أستاذ الباطنة وأمراض الدم الاكلينيكية والأورام كلية الطب عين شمس

# الدكتور/عماد عبدالمحسن عبدالهادي

أستاذ مساعد أمراض الباطنة وأمراض الدم الاكلينيكية والأورام كلية الطب عين شمس كلية الطب كلية الطب كلية الطب جامعة عين شمس جامعة عين شمس

7.17



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



First of All, I thank Allah for allowing me to finnish this work.

In a few grateful words, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to Professor **Dr. Mohamed Osman Azzazi.,** Professor of Internal Medicine, Clinical Haematology& Oncology Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his unlimited help, kind encouragement and generous support throughout this whole work. It was a great honor for me to work under his guidance and supervision.

I also would like to express my gratitude to **Dr. Hany Mohamed Abd-Allah.**, Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine, Clinical Haematology & Oncology, Faculty of Medicine — Ain Shams University for her great help, sincere guidance and valuable advice throughout this work.

I also would like to express my gratitude to **Dr**. **Emad Abd El-Mohsen Abd El-hady**, Assistant Lecturer of Clinical Haematology & Oncology, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University for her great help, sincere guidance and valuable advice throughout this work.

I am deeply indebted to my family for their generous support and continuous encouragement.

## **List of Contents**

Title	Page
-------	------

• List of Table	I
• List of Figure	V
• List of Abbreviation	VIII
• Introduction	1
Aim of the Study	4
• Review of Literature	•••••
• Chapter (1): Chronic myeloid leukemia	5
• Chapter (2): ABL1 fusion genes	76
• Chapter (3): Scoring Systems	89
Subjects And Methods	115
• Results	126
• Discussion	156
• Summary	169
• Conclusion	170
• Recommendations	171
• References	172
Master Sheet	225
Arabic Summary	

# List of Tables Review of Literature

Title Page

Table 1: CML incidence of eight different population-based registries or surveys
Table 2: Criteria for accelerated phase according to         IBMTR, and WHO       25
Table 3: Definitions of blastic crisis    27
Table 4: Frequency of adverse effects among patients         receiving imatinib for chronic myeloid leukemia
Table 5: Results of dasatinib and nilotinib followingimatinib failure due to resistance orintolerance
Table 6: Treatment Options Basedon BCR-ABL Kinase         Domain Mutation Status
Table 7: The EBMT prognostic scoring system for patients with CMLtreated with HSCT 59
Table 8: Definitions of hematologic, cytogenetic, and molecular response in chronic myeloid leukemia.         61

## ZList of Tables

Table	9:	Monitoring	Intervals:(guidelines	of	ELN	&
	N	ICCN)		•••••	6	9
Table	10:	Response ass	essment in CML	•••••	7	0
Table	11:	Follow up the	erapy recommendation	1S	7	1
Table	12:	Calculation o	of Risk Score	•••••	7	4
Table	13:	Comparison	of the Sokal, Hasford,	, and	l EUT	OS
	S	cores		•••••	10	2
Table	14:	EUTOS score	e validation studies	•••••	10	15
Table	15:	AmpliMaste	r kit component		12	0.

## Results

Title Page
Table 1: Characteristics of all CML patients
<b>Table 2:</b> Baseline laboratory data of all CML patients 128
Table 3: Baseline Sokal, Hasford, and EUTOS scores of         CML patients at diagnosis
<b>Table 4:</b> Outcome measures at 3 months according to ELN         criteria 2013
Table 5: Outcome measures to TKIs therapy at 6 months
<b>Table6:</b> Relation between the Sokal score and response to treatment
<b>Table 7:</b> Relation between the Hasford score and response to treatment
<b>Table 8:</b> Relation between the EUTOS score and response to treatment
<b>Table 9:</b> Predictive Power of the 3 Scores for CHR / CCyR/ EMR at 6 months
<b>Table 10:</b> Prediction of OR and falure at 6 months according to ELN criteria using the 3 Scores.145
<b>Table 11:</b> Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for prediction of optimal response by

## ZList of Tables

ELN criteria at 6 months using the So Hasford score, or EUTOS score	
<b>Table 12:</b> Receiver-operating characteristic (RC analysis for prediction of failure by EL at 6 months using the Sokal score, Hasf	N criteria ford score,
Table 13: Relation between Sokal score and response	
months by ELN criteria <b>Table 14:</b> Abbreviations, definitions, and	
categories in discussion	168

## **List of Figures**

Title Page	Title
<b>Figure 1:</b> Annual incidence per 100,000 inhabitants in different age groups of CML patients (n=1039 diagnosed in 2002-2012	d
<b>Figure 2:</b> Age-standardised annual incidence (adjusted to WSP) of CML diagnosed in 1970–2010 10	O
Figure 3: Estimated prevalence of chronic myeloid leukemia in the USA by calendar year, from Huang et al	Figure 3:
Figure 4: The Philadelphia chromosome: Reciprocal ranslocation between the long arms of chromosomes 9and 22 [t(9;22) (q34;qll) j	Figure 4:
Figure 5: Evolution of CML with prophases –a proposed hypothesis	Figure 5:
Figure 6: Survival with imatinib mesylate versus interferon	Figure 6:
Figure 7: Summary of potential mechanisms of resistance to imatinib	Figure 7:
Figure 8: European Leukemia Net definitions of failure or suboptimal response detected in patients in CML-CP treated with irnatinib	S

Figure 9: Schematic representation of the ABL1 gene and
the break point sites of the seven types of fusion
genes identified thus far black rectangles
represent exons
<b>Figure 10:</b> Schematic representation of the ABL1 proten and the fusion proteins
<b>Figure 11:</b> Percentage of patients treated with imatinib mesylate (Glivec) or nilotinib (Tasigna) 127
<b>Figure 12:</b> Baseline Sokal, Hasford, and EUTOS scores in the study population
<b>Figure 13:</b> Outcome measures to TKIs therapy at 3 months
Figure 14: Outcome measures at 6 months
<b>Figure 15:</b> Response to treatment by ELN criteria at 6 months
<b>Figure 16:</b> Relation between the Sokal score and response to treatment at 6 months by ELN criteria 137
<b>Figure 17:</b> Relation between the Hasford score and response to treatment at 6 months by ELN criteria
<b>Figure 18:</b> Relation between the EUTOS score and response to treatment at 6 months by ELN criteria

## ZList of Figures

Figure 19: Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves								
1	based	on th	e m	ultivar	riable	binary	logis	tic
1	regress	ion m	odels	for	predict	ion of	optin	nal
1	respons	se by E	LN c	riteria	at 6 n	nonths u	sing 1	the
	Sokal s	core		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		14	8
Figure 20: Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves								
1	based	on th	e m	ultivar	riable	binary	logis	tic
1	regress	ion mo	dels	for pr	edictio	n of fa	ilure	by
-	ELN c	riteria a	at 6 n	nonths	using	the Sok	al sco	ore
				• • • • • • • • • •			15	0

#### **List of Abbreviations**

**ABL**····· Abelson protonocogene

ACA ..... Additional chromosomal anomalies

AP ..... Accelerated phase

**ATP**..... Adenosine triphosphate

BCR ..... Break point cluster region gene

**BP** ..... Blastic phase

CBL····· Casitas B linage c lymphoma protein

**CCR** ..... Complete cytogenetic response

CML ..... Chronic myeloid leukemia

CP ..... Chronic phase

**Der**····· Derivatinve

**EFS** ..... Event-free survival

ELN ..... European leukemia net

**EUTOS** ..... European Treatment and Outcome Study

FDA ..... Food & drug administration

FFS ..... Failure free survival

FISH ..... Flouresceneinsitu hybridization

HLA ······ Human Leukocytic Antigen

**HMRN**.....The British Haematological Malignancy Research Network

**HS** ..... Highly significant

i17 ····· Isochromosome 17

IBMT ····· International Bone marrow

Transplantation

**IFN-** $\alpha$  ·······Interferon-alpha

IM .....Imatinib mesylate

#### ∠List of Abbreviations

Inversion

IRIS ..... International ranomised study of

interferone

JUN ..... Oncogene

Kb ..... Kilobase

KD ..... Kinase domain

KDa ······ Kilo Dalton

MDA ..... MD Anderson

**MMR** ..... Major: Molecular response

MYC ····· Oncogene

NCCN ...... National comprehensive cancer network

NS ..... No significant

NW ······Northern

OS ..... Overall survival

PCR ..... Polymerase chain reaction

PFS ..... Progression-free survival

**PGDFR**..... Platelet derived growth factor receptor

**Ph**····· Philadelphia chromosome

RAF ..... Rapidly accelerated fibroblastoma

RAS ..... Rat sarcoma

RAS-MAPK ······ RAS mitogen activated protein oncogene

RB1 ..... Retinoblastma protein

RBP ..... Receptor bound protein

SCT ..... Stem cell transplantation

SEER..... Surveillance epidemiology end results

**SPSS**..... Statistical program for social science

**SRC** ..... Protooncogene for sarcoma

#### ∠ List of Abbreviations

**STAT** ..... Signal transducers & activators of transcription

Sw ·····Swedish

TFS .....Transformation-free survival

TK · · · · Tyrosine kinase

**TKI** ..... Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

WHO ..... World Health Organization

WSP ..... World Standard Population