



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

# جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

## قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
على هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



## يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15 – 20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of  
15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



# بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات

لم ترد بالأصل

B 10951

# **Diagnosis of Streptococcal Pharyngitis In Children Under Five Years, Clinical Prediction Versus Throat Culture and Rapid Antigen Detection**

## ***Thesis***

*Submitted in partial fulfillment of  
Master D  gree in Pediatrics*

By

**Esam Ahmed Abd-El-Megeed**  
M.B., B. CH.

***Supervised by***

**Dr./ Nabil Gamil Mohammed**

*Assistant professor of Pediatric  
Faculty of Medicine – El-Minia University*

**Dr./ Amgad Ibrahim Abd-El-Rahman**

*Assistant professor of Microbiology  
Faculty of Medicine – El-Minia University*


**Dr./ Mohamed Farouk Afify**

*Lecturer of pediatric  
Faculty of Medicine – El-Minia University*

*Faculty of Medicine*

*El-Minia University*

**2001**



﴿ وما أوتيتم من العلم إلا قليلا ﴾

بسم الله  
الرحمن الرحيم

سورة الإسراء آية (٨٥)

*To My Parents*  
*To My Family*



# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, praise is due to "ALLAH" who helped me to accomplish this work..

Grateful appreciation goes to Prof. Dr./ **Salem Ahmed Sallam**, Professor and head of department of Pediatric department, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University for his sincere help and great support all through this work..

It is a great pleasure to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to Prof. Dr. / **Nabil Gamil Mohamed** Assistant Professor of Pediatric, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University. He had been most generous with his help and time inspite of his busy schedule. Beside, his kind guidance, keen supervision, valuable advice, and expert efforts throughout all the steps of his research did a lot to facilitate the accomplish of this work.

Deep thanks are also conferred my Prof Dr./ **Amgad Ibrahim Abd El-Rahman**, Assistant Professor of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University, who I must appreciate him for giving very valuable advises, intence kind efforts and guiding lights. Moreover, his special interest is this work and his suggestion were invaluable. I cannot envision the better relation for advice and help from him.

Also, I will never forget the great effort of my reference Prof. Dr./ **Mohammed Farouk Afifi**, Lecture of Pediatric. Faculty of Medicine. Minia University. My highest appreciation, and sincere thinks for her supervision, guiding, continuous support and encouragement all over the work. He, very generously, lent me all the required facilities to accomplish this work., certainly, I would like to send her all hinds of respect and appreciation.

---

My meaning of love to all my professors; members of the department of Pediatric, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University, For their continuous support and love.

*Essam Ahmed Makady*

*Minia 2001*

---

# LIST OF CONTENTES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| INTRODUCTION   | 1  |
| AIM OF THE WORK  | 2  |
| REVIEW   |    |
| - <i>Definition of streptococci</i>                                | 3  |
| - <i>Classification of streptococci</i>                            | 3  |
| - <i>General characters of streptococci</i>                        | 4  |
| - VIRULENCE FACTORS OF STREPTOCOCCI                                | 6  |
| - <i>Polysaccharide antigen</i>                                    | 6  |
| - <i>M protein</i>   | 6  |
| - <i>Opacity factor</i>  | 7  |
| - <i>T and R substances antigens</i>                               | 7  |
| - <i>Nucleoproteins</i>  | 7  |
| - TOXINS AND ENZYMES   |    |
| - <i>Streptolysin O (SLO)</i>                                      | 8  |
| - <i>Streptolysin S (SLS)</i>                                      | 8  |
| - <i>Streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxins</i>                         | 8  |
| - <i>Deoxyribonucleases</i>  | 8  |
| - <i>Hyaluronidase</i>   | 9  |
| - <i>Streptokinase</i>   | 9  |
| - <i>Pneumolysins</i>  | 9  |
| - <i>Autolysins</i>  | 9  |
| - GROUP B $\beta$ HEMOLYTIC STREPTOCOCCI                           | 9  |
| - GROUP C $\beta$ HEMOLYTIC STREPTOCOCCI                           | 10 |
| - GROUP D $\beta$ HEMOLYTIC STREPTOCOCCI                           | 10 |
| - GROUP F $\beta$ HEMOLYTIC STREPTOCOCCI                           | 10 |
| - GROUP G $\beta$ HEMOLYTIC STREPTOCOCCI                           | 11 |
| - EPIDIMIOLOGY & PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF ACUTE PHARYNGITIS              | 12 |
| - INCIDENCE OF ACUTE PHARYNGITIS.                                  | 12 |
| - PATHOGENESIS OF GABHS  | 13 |
| - CLINICAL PRESENTATION OF ACUTE PHARYNGITIS.                      | 14 |
| - <i>Symptoms of GABHS infection.</i>                              | 14 |
| - <i>Non group A <math>\beta</math> hemolytic strep infection.</i> | 15 |
| - <i>Respiratory tract diphtheria</i>                              | 15 |
| - <i>Viral infection</i>   | 16 |
| - <i>Infectious mononucleosis.</i>                                 | 16 |
| - <i>Fungal infection.</i>   | 17 |
| - <i>Inhalant pharyngitis</i>                                      | 17 |
| - <i>Allergic rhinitis</i>   | 17 |
| - COMPLICATIONS OF GABHS.  |    |
| - <i>Early complications.</i>                                      | 19 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| - <i>Late complications.</i>                  | 19 |
| - <i>Rhematic fever</i>                       | 19 |
| - <i>APSGN.</i>                               | 20 |
| - IDENTIFICATION OF STREPTOCOCCI              | 21 |
| - <i>Direct gram stained smears.</i>          | 21 |
| - <i>Throat culture.</i>                      | 21 |
| - <i>Bacitracin disk test.</i>                | 22 |
| - <i>Rapid antigen detection tests.</i>       | 22 |
| - <i>New antigen detection tests.</i>         | 23 |
| - <i>Serological tests.</i>                   | 24 |
| - <i>Presumptive identification of strep.</i> | 24 |
| - <i>Susceptibility to shufamethoxazole.</i>  | 25 |
| - <i>Pyrolidonyl arylamidse (PYR)</i>         | 25 |
| - <i>Commercial presumptive.</i>              | 26 |
| -DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS OF ACUTE PHYRINGITIS  | 27 |
| - <i>Treatment of GAPHS</i>                   | 29 |
| - <i>Penicillins</i>                          | 29 |
| - <i>Cephalosporins</i>                       | 31 |
| - <i>Macrolids</i>                            | 31 |
| - <i>Newer Macrolids</i>                      | 32 |
| - <i>Agents not recommended.</i>              | 32 |
| - TREATMENT FAILURE                           | 33 |
| - PROGNOSIS OF GABHS INFECTION                | 34 |
| - SCORING SYSTEM FOR STREPTOCOCCAL DIAGNOSIS  | 35 |
| - <i>NINE FACTOR SCORING SYSTEM.</i>          | 36 |
| - <i>THIRTEEN FACTOR SCORING SYSTEM</i>       | 37 |
| - PATIENTS AND METHODS                        | 41 |
| -RESULTS                                      | 45 |
| -DISCUSSION                                   | 62 |
| -SUMMARY                                      | 69 |
| - RECOMMENDATIONS                             | 71 |
| - REFERNCES                                   | 72 |
| - ARABIC SUMMARY                              | I  |

## LIST OF TABLES

|                    |  | Page |
|--------------------|--|------|
| <b>Table 1</b>     | Comparison of streptococcus and other major gram positive bacterial group  | 4    |
| <b>Table 2</b>     | Results of presumptive   | 25   |
| <b>Table 3</b>     | Nine factor scoring system relation of score to assigned streptococcal diagnosis on occurring of such diagnosis                  | 39   |
| <b>Table 4</b>     | Nine factors scoring system occurring of physician's prediction in relation to streptococcal culture results                     | 40   |
| <b>Table 5</b>     | Nine factor scoring system comparison of occurring of physicians and scoring system in prediction of streptococcal culture swab. | 40   |
| <b>Table (6):</b>  | Scoring system for diagnosis of GABHS  | 42   |
| <b>Table (7):</b>  | Distribution by age of the study sample  | 45   |
| <b>Table (8):</b>  | Relation between age of patient and positivity in cultures for GABHS   | 46   |
| <b>Table (9):</b>  | Relation between sex distribution and positivity in cultures for GABHS   | 46   |
| <b>Table (10):</b> | Frequency distribution of symptoms in all patients and each study group  | 47   |
| <b>Table (11):</b> | Frequency distribution of signs in all patients and each study group   | 50   |
| <b>Table (12):</b> | Comparison between the results of rapid antigen detection in relation to throat cultures   | 53   |
| <b>Table (13):</b> | Sensitivity, specificity, predictive values and diagnostic accuracy of rapid antigen detection                                   | 53   |
| <b>Table (14):</b> | Comparison between the results of ASOT in relation to throat cultures  | 54   |
| <b>Table (15):</b> | Sensitivity, specificity, predictive values and diagnostic accuracy of ASOT.   | 55   |
| <b>Table (16):</b> | Comparison between the results of CRP in relation to throat cultures   | 56   |
| <b>Table (17):</b> | Sensitivity, specificity, predictive values and diagnostic accuracy of CRP   | 57   |
| <b>Table (18):</b> | Nine-factors scoring system: relation of scores to culture results and accuracy of such score                                    | 58   |
| <b>Table (19):</b> | Nine-factors scoring system: the cut off point (score 4) and its relation to percent of positive cultures                        | 58   |
| <b>Table (20):</b> | Comparison between the results of scoring system (at cut off = 4) in relation to throat culture                                  | 60   |
| <b>Table (21):</b> | Sensitivity, specificity, predictive values and diagnostic accuracy of clinical scoring system                                   | 60   |
| <b>Table (22):</b> | Comparison of sensitivity, Specificity, Predictive value and diagnostic accuracy of different study diagnostic tools.            | 61   |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|        |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| Fig. 1 | Distribution of patients regards sex  | 45   |
| Fig. 2 | Distribution of occurrence of symptom in group I and II   | 48   |
| Fig. 3 | Distribution of signs   | 51   |
| Fig. 4 | Distribution of patients by swab culture  | 51   |
| Fig. 5 | Distribution of patients by antigen detection   | 52   |
| Fig. 6 | Distribution of patients by ASOT  | 54   |
| Fig. 7 | Distribution of patients by CRP   | 56   |
| Fig. 8 | Distribution of patients by clinical scoring system (at cut off 4) in relation to culture results | 59   |