

Trials for control of some bacterial infections in cultured Tilapia fish

Thesis

Presented by

Walaa Samir Tawfeek Ahmed

(B.V.Sc., 2004, Cairo University) (M.V.Sc., 2008, Cairo University)

For the degree of Ph.D. of

Vet. Medical science

(Fish Diseases and Management)

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Moustafa Mohamed Moustafa

Professor of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd El Aziz Ahmed

Professor and Head of the Dept. of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

Dr. Mona Moustafa Husien

Chief researcher of Fish Diseases .Animal Health Research Institute Dokki, Giza

Supervision sheet

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Moustafa Mohamed Moustafa

Professor of Fish Diseases and Management,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd El Aziz Ahmed

Professor and Head of the Dept. of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Mona Moustafa Husien

Chief researcher of Fish Diseases Animal Health Research Institute Dokki, Giza

لجنة الاشراف

الأستاذ الدكتور / محمد مصطفى محمد مصطفى

أستاذ أمراض الأسماك و رعايتها- كلية الطب البيطري- جامعة القاهرة

الأستاذ الدكتور /محمد عبد العزيز احمد

استاذ ورئيس قسم امراض الاسماك ورعايتها- كلية الطب البيطري -جامعة القاهرة

الأستاذ الدكتور / منى مصطفى حسين

رئيس بحوث أمراض الأسماك-معهد بحوث صحة الحيوان - الدقى

Abstract

Cairo University
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Department of Fish Disease and Management

Name: Walaa Samir Tawfeek Ahmed Date and place of birth: 21/12/1982- Cairo

Nationality: Egyptian

Title of thesis

Trials for control of some bacterial infections in cultured Tilapia fish

Ph.D. of Veterinary Medical Science (Fish Disease and Management)

2016.

Under the supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Moustafa Mohamed Moustafa

Professor of the department of fish diseases and management, Faculty of Vetererinary Medicine, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd El Aziz Ahmed

Professor and Head of the Dept. of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University

Dr. Mona Moustafa Husien

Chief researcher of Fish Diseases Department Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki. Giza.

This study was conducted to investigate the antibacterial effect of aqueous and ethanol, extracts of Spirulina platensis and Nigella sativa on some fish pathogenic bacterial Gram-negative bacteria (Aeromonas hydrophila, A. sobria, Flavobacterium columnare, Vibrio paraheamoliticus,) Gram-positive bacteria (Enterococcus fecalis and Streptococcus dysgalactiae). And evaluate the effect of Spirulina platensis, Nigella sativa and both of them at rate (0.75%, 0.5% and mix of them) respectively as feed additive for 8 weeks on growth performance, blood picture and immune system in Oreochromis niloticus (O. niloticus) before and after challenge with A. hydrophila .and resistance against Aeromonas hydrophila and Streptococcus dysgalactiae. The results obtained revealed that aqueous extract of Spirulina platensis and Nigella sativa has no antibacterial effect on both Grampositive and negative bacteria. Ethanol extract of Both Spirulina platensis and Nigella sativa have antibacterial effect E. faecalis and St. dysgalactiae as well as V. parahemolyticus, Fl. columnare, A. hydrophila and A. sobria). Besides E. faecalis was more sensitive to ethanol extract than other bacteria . and The results also indicated that the addition of Spirulina or Nigella to diet improve growth performance, health condition, enhancement cellular and humoral immune responses, specifically (phagocytic activity, lysozyme, nitric oxide, total protein and its fraction especially y globulin) either before and after challenged tests and increase resistance against bacterial infection. The combination of Spirulina platensis and Nigella sativa showed the highest values of growth performance, immunological parameters and give the lowest mortality percent against bacterial infection.

Key words: Spirulina platensis, Nigella sativa, immunostimulants, antibacterial effect

Dedicated to.....

My Dear family

My Dear husband

My Dear son Yousef

My Dear daughter Jana

Every one helps me

Acknowledgement

First of all, I want to confess that all words are disabling to thanks **Allah** who supported me to finish this work.

I wish to express my high appreciation and sincere thanks to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Moustafa**, **Mohamed Moustafa** Professor of the Department of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of

Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, who gave me much of his experience, and support during

the supervision of this work.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd El Aziz Ahmed** Professor and Head of the Dept. of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University who gave me much of his experience, valuable assistance and guidance all through this work.

I would like to express my great thanks and appreciation to **Prof.Dr.Mona. Moustafa,** Chief Researcher of Fish Diseases Department, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki. for her supervision, valuable advice, and kindness all over this work.

I would like to express my thanks and gratitude to **Dr. Naglaa El Kalamawey** senior Researcher, molecular path. Unit. Pathology Dept Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki. Who helped me in the histopathological study of this work

I would like to respect, deep appreciation and my sincere thanks to **Dr. Nermeen Moustafa**, Lecturer of the Dept. of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University. for her valuable help and cooperation in immunological study of this work.

I am grateful to **Dr. Nashwa Samir Elias** Chief Researcher of Fish Diseases Department, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki. Who gave me her experience and support during this work. I will never forget her beautiful heart.

I am much grateful to Dr. **Ahmed Taha Ali** chief Researcher of Fish Diseases Department, Animal

Health Research Institute, Dokki for his great and kind help.

CO NTENTS

Introduction	1
Review of literature	4
Material and methods	35
Results	53
Discussion	86
Summary	98
conclusion	101
References	102
Arabic summary	

List of tables

Tables	page
Table (1): Experimental design of fish groups	43
Table (2): Antibacterial activities of different extracts of Spirulina	53
platensis and Nigella Sativa	
Table (3): Minimum inhibitory concentrations of ethanol extract of	55
Spirulina platensis and Nigella Sativa	
Table (4). Growth performance of different fish groups during	59
experimental period (8 weeks	
Table (5) Showed RBC count, Haemoglobin and PCV% of	62
different O. niloticus groups before and after challenge with	
A.hydrophila	
Table (6) WBCs count and differential leukocytes count of	64
different treated O. niloticus groups before and after challenge	
with A.hydrophila	
Table (7) Total protein, albumin, globulin and A/G ratio of	67
different treated O. niloticus groups before and after challenge	
with A.hydrophila	
Table (8). Serum protein electrophoresis of different treated O.	70
niloticus groups before and after challenge with A.hydrophila	
Table (9) serum ALT and AST of different O. niloticus groups	72
before and after challenge with A.hydrophila	
Table (10) phagocytic assay of different O. niloticus groups	73
before and after challenge with A.hydrophila	
Table (11) nitric oxide production of different <i>O. niloticus</i> groups	75
before and after challenge with A.hydrophila	
Table (12) lysozyme activity of different O. niloticus groups	76
before and after challenge with A.hydrophila	
Table (13) showing mortality % of all fish groups challenged with	77
A. hydrophila at the end of experimental period.	
Table (14) mortality % of all fish groups challenged with S .	78
dysgalactiae at the end of experimental period	

List of Figures

Figures	Page
Fig (1) Zone of inhibition exhibited by ethanol extract of (1)	54
Spirulina against V.parahymoliticus, (2) Nigella sativa against V.	
parahymolyticus,, (3) (A) Spirulina (B) Nigella sativa against E.	
faecalis (4) (A) Spirulina (B) Nigella sativa against S. dysgalactia.	
Fig (2).A ninety six well microtiter plate showing the inhibition of	55
growth	j
Fig (3).Experimentally infected O.niloticus with A.hydrophila	56
showing external hemorrhages, detached scales and skin	j
discolouration	j
Fig (4).Experimentally infected O.niloticus with A.hydrophila	56
showing (A) inflamed congested vent, tail rot and internally (B)	j
showing pale liver.	j
Fig (5). Experimentally infected O.niloticus with A.hydrophila	57
showing (A) external hemorrhage, detached scales and (B)	j
showing congestion of internal organ.	j
Fig (6). Experimentally infected O.niloticus with A.hydrophila	57
showing fin and tail erosion	j
Fig (7). Experimentally infected O.niloticus with S. dysgalactiae	57
showing tail rot and detached scales	j
Fig (8). Experimentally infected O.niloticus with S. dysgalactiae	58
showing dark skin, Tail rot and congestion of internal organs	j
Fig (9). Experimentally infected O.niloticus with S. dysgalactiae	58
showing, congested gills, muscle and internal organs, empty	j
intestine Ti (10) Find the fi	7 0
Fig (10). Experimentally infected O.niloticus with S. dysgalactiae	58
showing external hemorrhage, detached scales and tail erosion.	
Fig (11) weights (g) of <i>O.niloticus</i> groups during the experimental	60
period	
Fig (12) weight gains (g) of O.niloticus groups during the	60
experimental period	

Fig (13) specific growth rate (SGR %) of O.niloticus groups	60
during the experimental period	
Fig (14) RBCs count x 10 ⁶ of <i>O.niloticus</i> groups before and after	62
A.hydrophila challenge	
Fig (15) Hb (g/dl) of O.niloticus groups before and after	63
A.hydrophila challenge	
Fig (16) PCV% of O.niloticus groups before and after	63
A.hydrophila challenge	
Fig (17) WBCs count $x10^3$ of <i>O.niloticus</i> groups before and after	65
A.hydrophila challenge	
Fig (18) lymphocytes % of O.niloticus groups before and after	65
A.hydrophila challenge.	
Fig (19) heterophils % of O.niloticus groups before and after	65
A.hydrophila challenge	
Fig (20) monocytes% of O.niloticus groups before and after	66
A.hydrophila challenge	
Fig (21) total protein (g/dl) of <i>O.niloticus</i> groups before and after	68
A.hydrophila challenge	
Fig (22) Albumin (g/dl) of <i>O.niloticus</i> groups before and after	
A.hydrophila challenge	
Fig (23) Globulin (g/dl) of <i>O.niloticus</i> groups before and after	68
A.hydrophila challenge.	
Fig (24) A/G ratio of O.niloticus groups before and after	69
A.hydrophila challenge	
Fig (25) protein fractions of <i>O.niloticus</i> groups before	71
A.hydrophila challenge.	
Fig (26) protein fractions of <i>O.niloticus</i> groups after <i>A.hydrophila</i>	71
challenge.	
Fig (27) serum ALT and AST of <i>O.niloticus</i> groups before and	72
after A.hydrophila challenge	
Fig (28) phagocytic percentage % of <i>O.niloticus</i> groups before and	74
after A.hydrophila	
Fig (29) phagocytic index of <i>O.niloticus</i> groups before and after	74
A.hydrophila	

	7.4
Fig (30) phagocytic cell of <i>O.niloticus</i> (A) treated with <i>Spirulina</i>	74
platensis (group A) before challenged with A.hydrophila engulfed	
more than one C. albicns (B) treated with combination of	
Spirulina platensis and Nigella sativa (group C) before challenged	
with A.hydrophila engulfed more than one C. albicns (C) treated	
with Nigella sativa (group B) after challenged with A.hydrophila	
engulfed more than one C. albicns (D)group C after challenged	
with A.hydrophila engulfed more than one C. albicns (Giemsa	
stain X-1000)	
Fig (31) Nitric oxide (umol/L) of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish groups before	75
and after A.hydrophila	
Fig (32) lysozyme activity (µg/ml) of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish groups	77
before and after A.hydrophila	
Fig (33) mortality % of <i>O.niloticus</i> fish groups after challenged	78
with A.hydrophila	
Fig (34) mortality % of O. niloticus fish groups after challenged	78
with S. dysgalactiae	0.1
Fig (35) Photomicrograph of Liver of <i>O.niloticus</i> challenged with	81
A.hydrophila showing (A) moderate hydropic degeneration of hepatocytes and pancreatic tissue showed degenerative and	
necrotic change (B) sever hydropic degeneration of hepatocytes	
(H&E.x200).	
Fig (36) Photomicrograph of kidney of <i>O. niloticus</i> challenged	81
with A.hydrophila showing; (A), peri- glomerular edema,	
hydropic degeneration and mild hyaline degeneration. (B), sever	
hydropic degeneration and infiltration of mononuclear	
inflammatory cells (H&E X200).	
Fig (37) photomicrograph of spleen of <i>O. niloticus</i> challenged	81
	01
with A.hydrophila showing; depletion of lymphatic corpuscles	
(H&E X200).	0.2
Fig (38) photomicrograph of Liver of <i>O.niloticus</i> treated with	82
Spirulina platensis challenged with A.hydrophila showing; mild	
vacuolar degeneration with infiltration of melanomacrophage cells	
(H&E x200).	
Fig (39) photomicrograph of kidney of O. niloticus treated with	82
Spirulina platensis and challenged with A.hydrophila showing;	
focal area of aggregated melanomacrophages (H&E x200).	

Eig(40) photomical and had bidness of O wiletimes tracted with	92
Fig(40) photomicrograph of kidney of O. niloticus treated with	82
Spirulina platensis and Nigella sativa (group C) challenged with	
A.hydrophila showing; focal area of melanomacrophage cells and	
infiltration of mononuclear inflammatory cells (H&E X200)	
Fig(41) photomicrograph of spleen of O. niloticus treated with	83
Spirulina platensis and Nigella sativa challenged with	
A.hydrophila showing; activation of melano macrophage centers	
with focal area of melanomacrophage cells (H&E X400).	
Fig (42)) photomicrograph of spleen of O. niloticus treated with	83
Spirulina platensis challenged with A. hydrophila showing;	
increase of melano macrophage cells (H&E X200).	
Fig(43) photomicrograph of intestine of <i>O. niloticus</i> treated with	83
Spirulina platensis and Nigella sativa (group C)and challenged	
with A. hydrophila showed branching of villi with normal	
structure (H&E x400).	
Fig(44) photomicrograph of intestine of <i>O. niloticus</i> treated with	84
Spirulina platensis (group A)and challenged with A. hydrophila	
showing; marked proliferation of intestinal villi and folding with	
increase of inflammatory cells (H&E X200).	
Fig(45) photomicrograph of intestine of <i>O. niloticus</i> treated with	84
Nigella sativa (group A)and challenged with A. hydrophila	0.
showing; mild increase mucous secreting cells and mild	
submucosal infiltration of inflammatory cells (H&E x400).	
Fig (46) Photomicrograph of spleen of <i>O. niloticus</i> showing	85
activation of melanomacrophage cells (1) treated with <i>Spirulina</i>	0.5
platensis (group A) (H&E X400). (2) treated with Nigella sativa	
(group B). (3) Nigella sativa and Spirulina platensis (group C) (
H&E x200).	0.7
Fig(47) photomicrograph of intestine of <i>O. niloticus</i> treated with	85
Spirulina platensis and Nigella sativa showing normal intestinal	
structure with marked submucosal infiltration of inflammatory	
cells and activation of mucine secreting cells (H&E x400).	

Introduction

Fish is an excellent source of high quality animal protein and essential fatty acids, especially long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (LCPUFA) and micronutrients, which are much greater in fishes than in animal-source foods (FAO-WHO, 2011).

Aquaculture is the farming of aquatic organisms including fish, molluscs, crustaceans and aquatic plants with some sort of intervention in the rearing process to enhance production, such as regular stocking, feeding, and protection from predators, parasites and pathogens. (FAO, 2013).

Under the conditions intensive of production, aquatic subjected to high-stress condition, increasing species are incidence of diseases (Patricia et al., 2012). The control of diseases can be achieved by many methods, including the use of drugs and antibiotics, good management practices, genetic selection or stress and disease tolerant strains, and prevention by the use of vaccines. Prevention of diseases is much more desirable than intervention to stop and reverse disease processes once they have begun (Howes, 1994), Antibiotic provide a useful means of helping to control many bacterial diseases but there are many problems associated with the development of antibiotic resistance recurrent outbreaks necessitating further, costly treatment (Galindo-Villegas and Hosokawa, 2004).

Also control of diseases can be achieved by using immunostimulants which are promising dietary supplements to potentially aid in disease control of several organisms and increase disease resistance (Galindo-Villegas and Hosokawa, 2004), probiotic,

prebiotic, synbiotic, phytobiotic and other functional dietary supplements play role as a dietary supplementation strategies in cultured fish and shell fish all over the world in which various health, immunostimulants and growth promoting. (**Deney, 2008**).

Spirulina platensis (SP) is a photosynthetic, filamentous, blue-green microalgae and is generally regarded as a rich source of vitamins, essential amino acids, minerals, essential fatty acids and antioxidant pigments such as carotenoids and phycocyanin (Jaime et al., 2006). SP is a rich source of protein (60–70%), so Algae gained attention as a possible alternative protein source for cultured fish, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions where algae production is high (El-Hindawy et al., 2006). Also it improved the growth performance, immunity and disease resistance in *Oreochromis niloticus* when used in diet at 10g/kg for 2months (Mai et al., 2013).

The other immunostimulant in the scope of attention is *Nigella sativa (NS)*. It is herbaceous plant belonging to family *Ranunculaceae*. This herb is widely spread in the Mediterranean countries It is known as black cumin or black seed and it cultivated for its seeds, which are used for different medicinal purposes as antimicrobial (**Hanafy and Hatem**, 1991). Some researchers have used black cumin seeds as enhancer for performance, the growth and immune system of some fish species (**Abd Elmonem** *et al.*, 2002; **John** *et al.*, 2007; **Diab** *et al.*, 2008 and **Dorucu** *et al.*, 2009).

From the aforementioned facts, this work was planned to evaluate the expected effects of *Spirulina platensis*, *Nigella sativa*, separately and in combination on *Oreochromis niloticus* through the following:

- 1. Evaluation of antibacterial effects of *Spirulina platensis*, *Nigella sativa* against some important bacterial fish pathogens.
- 2. Studying the using of *Spirulina platensis*, *Nigella sativa* separately and in combination as a feed additive on fish performance
- 3. Assessment of immunomodulatory effects of *Spirulina platensis*, *Nigella sativa* separately and in combination on *Oreochromis niloticus*.