

127, 17 27, 17 (20) 77, 17 (20









جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



نقسم بللله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأفلام قد اعدت دون آية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15-20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of 15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



ثبكة المعلومات الجامعية





Information Netw. " Shams Children Sha شبكة المعلومات الجامعية @ ASUNET بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأص

STUDIES ON EAR AND KERNEL ROT OF MAIZE CAUSED BY ASPERGILLUS AND FUSARIUM SPP.

BY

EL SAID MOHAMED EL SHABRAWI

B.Sc. (Plant Pathology) 1996

Faculty of Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh

Tanta University

Thesis
Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirement for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE
IN
PLANT PATHOLOGY

Department of Agricultural Botany
Faculty of Agriculture
Tanta University
2001

1-VJ2

APPROVAL SHEET

Thesis Title: STUDIES ON EAR AND KERNEL ROT OF
MAIZE CAUSED BY ASPERGILLUS AND
FUSARIUM SPP.

Name

: EL SAID MOHAMED EL-SAYEED EL SHABRAWI

Degree

: MASTER OF SCIENCE

APPROVED BY

Dr.

Dr

 $D_{\mathbf{r}}$

Committee in charge

Date:

/ 2001

Advisors committee

Prof. Dr. M. A. Abdel-hady

Professor of Plant Pathology,
Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh,
Tanta University.

Dr. K. E. Ghoniem

Lecturer of Plant Pathology,
Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh,
Tanta University.

Dr. A.M. Ismael

Researcher,
Plant Pathology Research Institute,
Agricultural Research Center.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, my unlimited thanks to (GOD)

The investigator wishes to express his sincere gratitude to **Pof. Dr. Mohamed A. Abdel-hady**, Professor of Plant Pathology, Agricultural Botany Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University, for his supervision, great assistance, valuable criticism, and encouragement throughout this study.

Many thanks and valuable gratitude are due to **Dr. Kamal E. Ghoniem**, Lecturer of Plant Pathology, Agricultural Botany
Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta
University, for his cooperation, suggesting the problem and kind

supervision.

Cordial indent to **Dr. Abou-Serie M. Ismael**, Researcher, Maize and Sorghum Diseases Research Section, Plant Pathology Research Institute, A.R.C., for his supervision, great assistance advice and encouragement throughout this work.

The author is very grateful to the staff members of Agricultural Botany Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-sheikh, Tanta University and Maize & Sorghum Diseases Research Section, Plant Pathology Research Institute, A.R.C., for their help and providing facilities needed throughout executing this investigation.

Finally, I would like to express my thanks and gratitude to my family for their encouragement and patience during this

study and for my entire friend.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION
REVIEW OF LITERATURE
MATERIALS AND METHODS
1- Maize ear sample collection
2- Isolation and identification of casual agents
3- Pathogenicity test
4- Inoculum preparation and inoculation
5- Methods of inoculation with Fusarium moniliforme and Aspergillus flavus.
6- Evaluation of maize genotypes against F moniliforme and A flavus and
under artifical infestation
7- Detection and determination of mycotoxins in the fungal culture and maize
grainsgrains.
7.1 Survey of aflatoxin in naturally rotted maize grains
7.2 Screening and detecting of aflatoxin produced by Isolates of A. flavus.
7.3 Screening of Fusarium spp. for producing Zearalenone
7.4 Determination of zearalenone on grains produced by Fusarium spp
8- Quantification of mycotoxins.
9- Storage of maize grains.
RESULTS
1– Description of ear rots of maize.
2– Isolation and identification of casual agents.
3– Pathogenicity tests.
4– Methods of inoculation with <i>F.moniliforme</i> and <i>A.flavus.</i>
5- Detection and determination of mycotoxins produced by A.flavus &
Fusarium spp
5.1-Screening for mycotoxin production by the fungal isolates
5.2-Determination of aflatoxin produced by A.flavus isolates
5.3-Evaluation of maize genotypes for susceptibility to <i>F. moniliforme &</i>
A.flavus and mycotoxin production.
6- Storage of maize grains under different conditions of temperature and
relative humidity.
6.1- Isolation and kernel viability study
6.2- Effect of storage on production of aflatoxin.
DISCUSSION
SUMMARY
REFERENCES
ARABIC SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays L.) is considered one of the most important cereal crops in Egypt. The cultivated area of maize is about two million feddans yielding almost 5.5 million tons according to the annual report of the Statistical Department, Ministry of Agriculture, A.R.E (1999).

Maize is subjected to the attack by several diseases. Some major diseases threat maize productivity. Grain mold in Egypt is one of the most prevalent diseases of maize. The disease may be caused by any one (or more) of several fungi, depending on locality and variety. Surveying harvested maize grains allover the country were done by maize pathologists throughout the National Campaign for Maize Productivity in Egypt (1997& 1998) revealed that the grain samples collected frommaize growing fields were contaminated with molds that caused visible and invisible deterioration. Fusarium spp. and Aspergillus spp. were found to be the most common fungi that could be recovered from the affected ears. These molds are known to be increased under bad storage (Halloin, 1975) along with the produced mycotoxins. conditions Aflatoxins as serious metabolites to human and animal that could be produced by some strains of Aspergillus flavus and A.parasiticus have been extensively studied by previous investigators.

This investigation was carried out to determine storage conditions that badly affect the stored maize grains expressed as kernel contamination with stored fungi as well as toxin production. Isolation and identification of ear and grains-rotting fungi and studying methods of artificial inoculation were studied. Also, the commercial maize hybrids

were screened for their susceptibility to infection with ear and grain molds under field conditions.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

From ripening through harvest and storage, maize grains are especially vulnerable to attack by microorganisms by process commonly reffered to as weathering (Halloin, 1981) and storage deterioration (Christensen & Kaufmann, 1969). Fungi that play an important role in deterioration can be divided into field and storage fungi, based on their occurrence on cereal grains. Field fungi are those that contaminate or invade grains in the field, often during or after ripening, and during harvesting operations. These include genera such as Fusarium, Alternaria and Helminthosporium on grains. Storage fungi develop on grains during storage and commonly fall into two genera, Aspergillus & Penicillium. Field fungi can increase on grains under exceptional moist storage conditions (Halloin, 1975). Grain moisture content, temperature and relative humidity around stored grains, cracked kernels, length of time the grain is to be stored, and amount of insect and mite activity in grains are the major factors that determine when stored grains will be damaged by storage fungi (Scott, 1997). There are two major mechanisms by which microorganisms (primarily field and stored fungi) damage grains. These are production of exocellular enzymes and toxins. Various fungi, especially Aspergillus & Fusarium spp., produce mycotoxins, components toxic to animal and human.

Lutey & Christensen (1963) reported that filed fungi, such as Alternaria, Fusarium, and cladosporium, require higher moisture contents which amount to 24-25 %; they can be eliminated by storage at 14% moisture content at 30°C for 16 weeks. Stored fungi grow most rapidly at a temperature of 30°C, but some are thermophilic that can grow at temperature more than 30°C (Christensen and Kaufmann, 1969 and Muling & Chesters, 1970).

Gamal El-Din et al. (1987) reported that the most dominant fungi in maize grains could be arranged descendingly as Fusarium moniliforme, Nigrospora oryzae, Fusarium graminearum, Penicillium spp. Aspergillus flavus, Aspergillus niger, Helminthosporium spp., Rhizopus spp., Mucor sp. and Alternaria spp. They mentioned that severity and percentage of infection by these fungi increased by increasing moisture content and storage period. Prolonging storage periods at 18 °C generally resulted in reduced weight of both the germ and hull in either healthy or infected grains by Nigrospora oryzae, whereas no difference occurred when infected by Fusarium moniliforme.

Fusarium moniliforme J. Sheld is a widely distributed pathogen of maize (Zea mays L.), causing seedling disease, root rot, stalk rot, and ear or kernel rot (Kommedahl and Windels, 1981; Nelson, 1992 and Munkvold, 1994).

Saubois et al. (1996) identified Fusarium strains isolated from maize at harvest during 1993 in different fields of the northern-center area of the province of Santa Fe, Argentina, to the species level. Their distribution in the samples was evaluated and studies of prevalence were performed in maize grains from this region. The prevalence of Fusarium spp. In the samples was 100% and 29% were colonized by > 1 spp. F. moniliforme (Gibberella fujikuroi) was identified in 52.6% of the samples, F. subglutinans (G. fujikuroi var. subglutinans) and F. graminearum (G. zeae) in 29% and F. oxysporum in 2.6%. G.fujikuroi presented the highest levels of colonized grains (7.5-52.5%).

Caldwell et. al. (1981) suggested that Fusarium moniliforme is a better competitor in preharvested maize than Pencillium funiculosum. Initial kernel infection by the first pathogen may serve as an important deterrent to subsequent kernel invasion by other seed-infecting molds. However, from 15 species of Pencillium tested in the field, only there