



THE ANALYSIS OF STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR IN A PLATE WITH DIFFERENT TYPES OF CRACKS USING BOUNDARY ELEMENT METHOD

By

Osama Elsayed Gamea Abdlsamea

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Engineering Mechanics

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

THE ANALYSIS OF STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR IN A
PLATE WITH DIFFERENT TYPES OF CRACKS USING
BOUNDARY ELEMENT METHOD

By
Osama Elsayed Gamea Abdlsamea

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Engineering Mechanics

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. **Mohamed Mohamed
Nassar**

Prof. Dr. **Mustafa Ahmed Abdeen**

.....
Professor of Engineering mechanics
Engineering mathematics and physics
department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Professor of Engineering mechanics
Engineering mathematics and physics
department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Dr. Tamer H. Kasem

.....
Assistant Professor
Engineering mathematics and physics
department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

THE ANALYSIS OF STRESS INTENSITY FACTOR IN A
PLATE WITH DIFFERENT TYPES OF CRACKS USING
BOUNDARY ELEMENT METHOD

By
Osama Elsayed Gamea Abdlsamea

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Engineering Mechanics

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Mohamed Nassar, Thesis Advisor

Prof. Dr. Mustafa Ahmed Abdeen, Thesis Advisor

Prof. Dr. Ali Abdlazeem, Hassan Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. ElSayed Saad Abdelsalam, External Examiner
(Professor at faculty of engineering–Zagazig University)

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

Engineer's Name: Osama Elsayed Gamea Abdlsamea
Date of Birth: 18/3/1989
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: osamagamea@eng.cu.edu.eg
Phone: 01006740496
Address: Faculty of Engineering-Cairo University,
Giza, Egypt
Registration Date: 1/3/2012
Awarding Date: 2016
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Engineering Mathematics and Physics



Supervisors:
Prof. Mohamed Mohamed Nassar
Prof. Mustafa Ahmed Abdeen
Dr. Tamer Heshmat Kasem

Examiners:
Prof. Mohamed Mohamed Nassar (Advisor)
Prof. Mustafa Ahmed Abdeen (Advisor)
Prof. Ali Abdlazeem Hassan (Internal examiner)
Prof. ElSayed Saad Abdelsalam (External examiner),
professor of Engineering, Zagazig University

Title of Thesis:

The analysis of stress intensity factor in a plate with different types of cracks using boundary element method

Key Words:

Boundary element method; Stress intensity factor; Singular stress element;

Summary:

In this thesis we use the multidomain boundary element method to study the stress intensity factor around an inclined crack in a plate under uniform static tension. We study the variation of the stress intensity factor with two parameters; the inclination angle of the crack, and the crack width. A singular stress element is used beside the crack to represent the singularity of stress at the crack tip.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank “Allah” a lot (firstly and lately), then I would like to thank Prof. Mohamed Nassar for his enthusiasm and support throughout the duration of this work. Without his help and vision this thesis would have never been realized. I am grateful to Prof. Mustafa Abdeen for his precious support and providing the necessary directions for this thesis. Also, I would like to thank Dr. Tamer Heshmat a lot for his comments, guidance, and help.

I would like to thank all my family’s members for their patience and continuous support.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	i
Table of Contents	ii
List of Tables	iv
List of Figures.....	v
Nomenclature	viii
Abstract.....	ix
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: Literature Review	5
2.1 Fracture mechanics development	5
2.2 Boundary element methods.....	14
2.3 Stress intensity factor evaluation	17
2.4 The use of boundary element method in elastostatics.....	18
Chapter 3: Linear Elastic Fracture Mechanics	21
3.1 Introduction	21
3.2 Westergaard's solution.....	21
3.3 Irwin's development.....	22
3.3.1 The complex function $Z(z)$	22
3.3.2 The complex function $Z(z)$	23
3.3.3 Stress components	24
3.3.4 Stress intensity factor	25
Chapter 4: Single Domain Elastostatic Problem using Boundary Element Method 27	
4.1 Introduction	27
4.2 State of stress at a point.....	27
4.3 State of strain at a point.....	28
4.4 Constitutive relations	29
4.5 Plane strain and plane stress.....	30
4.6 Constitutive relations for plane strain and plane stress	30
4.7 Boundary element method	32
4.7.1 Fundamental solution	32
4.7.2 Betti's reciprocal identity	39
4.7.3 Boundary integral equations.....	40
4.7.4 Displacements and stresses at internal points	42
4.8 Numerical solution procedure	43
4.8.1 Introduction	43
4.8.2 Numerical implementation.....	44
4.9 Quarter point element in crack tip stress analysis	49
4.10 Numerical integration of fundamental solution using Gaussian quadrature method 53	

4.10.1	Introduction	53
4.10.2	Gaussian quadrature method	53
4.10.3	Numerical integration of kernels.....	54
4.11	Program analysis	56
4.11.1	Main program	57
4.11.2	Subroutine I.....	58
4.11.3	Subroutine II.....	58
4.11.4	Subroutine SLPND.....	61
4.12	Single domain program verification.....	63
4.12.1	Cantilever beam with a distributed load at tip.....	63
4.12.2	Square plate under uniform tension with a centered hole	66
4.12.3	Rectangular plate with an edged crack under bending.....	70
4.12.4	Stress intensity factor for a plate with a centered flat crack.....	74
Chapter 5:	Multidomain Elastostatic Problem using Boundary Element Method	
	77	
5.1	Introduction	77
5.2	Multidomain procedure	78
5.3	Multidomain program	80
5.3.1	Introduction	80
5.3.2	Main program	80
5.3.3	Solving system of equations.....	83
5.4	Multidomain verification	85
5.4.1	Cantilever problem	85
5.4.2	Stress intensity factor for a plate with a centered flat crack.....	86
Chapter 6:	SIF for a square plate under tension with an inclined crack using	
	multidomain BEM	89
6.1	Introduction	89
6.2	Problem formulation	89
6.3	Analytical solution	90
6.4	Numerical method	90
Chapter 7:	Effect of the inclination angle of a crack on the stress intensity factor	
	93	
Chapter 8:	Effect of change of width of a slanted crack on the stress intensity	
factor	99	
Chapter 9:	Conclusions	105
References		107

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Bibliographic database search based on the Web of Science	16
Table 4-1: Gaussian integration weighting values	53
Table 4-2: Variables used in the main program	57
Table 4-3: Cantilever problem results	64
Table 4-4: results of a square holed plate under tension	67
Table 5-1: variables of multidomain program.....	80
Table 5-2: cantilever problem results using multidomain program	85
Table 7-1: Stress intensity factor values for different inclination angles	93
Table 8-1: SIF values for different crack width	99

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: modes of crack (I, II and III).....	7
Figure 2.2: Finite element domain discretization	15
Figure 2.3: Boundary element discretization.....	15
Figure 2.4: Number of journal articles published by the year on the subject of BEM, based on the <i>Web of Science search</i> . (Search date: May 3, 2004)	17
Figure 3.1: modeling an infinite domain with a crack under tension	21
Figure 4.1: stress state at a point	27
Figure 4.2: Deriving fundamental solution by assuming unit force at source point (Q) and evaluating resulting displacements at influence point (P)	34
Figure 4.3: Deriving the solution at a general corner boundary point (P).....	40
Figure 4.4: Quadratic element with local coordinate zeta	45
Figure 4.5: Boundary discretization using quadratic elements	46
Figure 4.6: Quarter point element resulting from moving the mid-node to the quarter of the length	50
Figure 4.7: Numerical integration on a quadratic element	54
Figure 4.8: local coordinate ζ	56
Figure 4.9: Structure of global coefficient matrices of fundamental solution.....	60
Figure 4.10: Flow chart of subroutine II	62
Figure 4.11: Cantilever beam under end load	63
Figure 4.12: relative error with number of elements	64
Figure 4.13: contour plot σ_x inside domain (Kpa).....	64
Figure 4.14: contour plot σ_y inside domain (Kpa)	65
Figure 4.15: contour plot τ_{xy} inside domain (Kpa)	65
Figure 4.16: One quarter of a square plate with a centered hole under tension	66
Figure 4.17: stress distribution	67
Figure 4.18: relative error with number of elements	68
Figure 4.19: internal contour stresses σ_x (Kpa).....	68
Figure 4.20: internal contour stresses σ_y (Kpa).....	69
Figure 4.21: internal contour stresses τ_{xy} (Kpa).....	69
Figure 4.22: One half of square plate with an edged crack under bending load	70
Figure 4.23: Vertical stress distribution ahead of crack tip	71

Figure 4.24: Stress intensity factor ahead of crack tip	71
Figure 4.25: internal stresses σ_x (Kpa)	72
Figure 4.26: internal stresses σ_y (Kpa)	72
Figure 4.27: internal stresses τ_{xy} (Kpa)	73
Figure 4.28: One quarter of a square plate with a centered crack under tension	74
Figure 4.29: Vertical stress distribution ahead of crack tip.....	75
Figure 4.30: Stress intensity factor ahead of crack tip	76
Figure 5.1: Multidomain problem boundaries definition	77
Figure 5.2: H matrix modification.....	81
Figure 5.3: G matrix modification.....	82
Figure 5.4: H and G matrices final form	83
Figure 5.5: Multidomain program flow chart.....	84
Figure 5.6: cantilever beam under end load	85
Figure 5.7: One half of a plate with a centered crack under uniform tension	86
Figure 5.8: stress distribution ahead of crack tip.....	87
Figure 5.9: Stress intensity factor ahead of crack tip	87
Figure 6.1: square plate with a slanted crack under tension.....	89
Figure 6.2: Vertical stress concentration on the two tips of the crack	90
Figure 6.3: Stress intensity factor ahead of the crack tip	91
Figure 7.1: Stress intensity factor change with inclination angle.....	94
Figure 7.2: Relative error in stress intensity factor with inclination angle	94
Figure 7.3: Stress concentration at the two crack tips	95
Figure 7.4: Stress intensity factor ahead of the crack tip	95
Figure 7.5: Stress concentration at the two crack tips	96
Figure 7.6: Stress intensity factor ahead of the crack tip	96
Figure 7.7: Stress concentration at the two crack tips	97
Figure 7.8: Stress intensity factor ahead of the crack tip	97
Figure 7.9: Stress concentration at the two crack tips	98
Figure 7.10: Stress intensity factor ahead of the crack tip	98
Figure 8.1: Stress intensity factor change with width ratio	100
Figure 8.2: Relative error in stress intensity factor with width ratio.....	100
Figure 8.3: Stress concentration at the two crack tips	101
Figure 8.4: Stress intensity factor ahead of the crack tip	101

Figure 8.5: Stress concentration at the two crack tips	102
Figure 8.6: Stress intensity factor ahead of the crack tip	102
Figure 8.7: Stress concentration at the two crack tips	103
Figure 8.8: Stress intensity factor ahead of the crack tip	103

Nomenclature

u, v	The displacement components in x and y directions
ε	Strain component
σ	Stress component
λ, μ	Lame constants
G	Modulus of rigidity
τ	Shear stress component
ξ, η	Orthogonal coordinates
K_I	Stress intensity factor mode I crack
T	Stress fundamental solution
Γ	Boundary of the problem
Ω	Domain of the problem
r	Vector connecting source and influence points
U	Displacement fundamental solution
b	Body forces
δ	Dirac delta function
N	Shape function
E	Modulus of elasticity
ν	Poisson ratio
β	The inclination angle of a crack between the crack and loading direction
ϕ, ψ	Components of the Galerkin vector ($\underline{G}$)

Abstract

In this thesis we are going to use the boundary element method to analyze the stress intensity factor, deformation and stress distribution in a plate with an inclined crack of linear homogenous media. First we derive the equations used in the boundary element method. The approach depends on the reciprocal identity of work of Betti.

In this approach we reach the famous identity of reciprocity. This identity relates the displacement at a point with the values of tractions and displacements on the whole domain.

The domain can be discretized into sub regions. This case is forced in some situations. One is in crack problems to avoid the double definition at the same point that causes singularity.

Constant, linear, quadratic and higher order elements can be used to discretize the boundary. For good results quadratic elements are used. We present the quadratic element used in discretizing the boundary to apply the boundary integral equations on it.

Normal quadratic elements can be used to solve elastostatic problems with good accuracy. But in the case of crack presence the normal quadratic elements fail to fully present the variation of stresses and displacements in the line with cracks. A special singular element is used. The midpoint of the element is relocated in the quarter length of the element. Using this element at the crack tip provides a good representation of the stress distribution.

A brief introduction of linear elastic fracture mechanics is also presented. The definition and the evaluation of stress intensity factor are presented. The analytical solution of fracture elastostatic problems is very consuming.

After discretizing the boundary, a system of algebraic equations is generated. Boundary conditions are then introduced. The solution of this system using gauss

elimination method gives the unknown values of tractions and displacements on the boundary.

A program was written to solve both single and multidomain problems. To verify its results a number of problems were solved and accurate results were obtained compared to the exact solution.

The stress intensity factor was evaluated by using extrapolation method for different types of cracks and different loading types. Satisfying results are obtained compared to linear elastic fracture solution.

Chapter 1: Introduction

The failure of mechanical structure is considered a field of great importance. The failure of bridges, steel structures, tunnels, airplanes and others took a lot of research to avoid such catastrophes [1]. These accidents may cause a big number of deaths and have a disastrous effect on the companies' names related. Many theories appeared to explain the possible reasons that caused failure in structures. The most common causes for such failures fall onto the following categories:

- 1- Faults during design, construction or operation of structures.
- 2- New materials and modern designs which are tried recently.

The first cause greatly depends on humans and can be neglected by good practicing. Although modern designs and materials can have great advantages they can also hide catastrophic behavior. So, any new design or material should be put under sever and various testing conditions to be approved in any application.

A common result of using new designs and materials is the occurrence of brittle fracture in structures. Great efforts were exerted to save lives and damage to property that resulted from failures of such fractures. Fracture mechanics helps to prevent both causes of structure failures.

A great progress was achieved in the 1960s when the fundamentals of linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) appeared and researchers focused on the stress concentration at the crack-tip. Researches started to deal with this stress concentration issue. In the past when a material is selected for a certain design, the material was assumed suitable if its strength is greater than the expected applied stress. This approach could resist brittle failure with the use of safety factor on stress. In fracture mechanics two factors are considered to avoid brittle fracture. These factors are flaw size and fracture toughness which replaces material strength.

There are two approaches to fracture analysis, the energy criterion and the stress intensity approach. The energy approach says the failure happens when the available energy for crack growth is enough to overcome the material strengths (surface energy, plastic work, etc...). Griffith and Irwin developed the present version of this approach.