

127, 17 27, 17 (20) 77, 17 (20









جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



نقسم بللله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها علي هذه الأفلام قد اعدت دون آية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15-20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of 15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



ثبكة المعلومات الجامعية





Information Netw. " Shams Children Sha شبكة المعلومات الجامعية @ ASUNET بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالأص

DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR DETERMINATION OF WATER CONTENT IN SATURATED NATURAL GAS

THESIS

Submitted to Department of Chemical and Petroleum Refining Engineering, Faculty of Petroleum and Mining Engineering, **Suez Canal University**

in

Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for The Degree of Master Science by

Ahmed Haridy Tolba

B.Sc. Petroleum Refining Eng.

Under The Supervision of Prof. Mohamed Shaker AZaZ Dr. Mostafa E. Awad M. E AWAD

Dr. Khaled Abd-El Fattah

Dr. Farouk Kenawy

1999

1.1.51

ABSTRACT

A new mathematical model for estimating the water content in saturated natural gas has been developed, it is applicable for both sweet and sour gas. It was tested by applying it for different mixtures of natural gas containing H2S and CO2 and the calculated results were compared by published experimental data, the average absolute error of calculated results is 2.5 % for sweet gas where the average absolute error ranged from 3.1 to 5.5 % for natural gas (containing H2S and CO2 up to 30 %). The new model results compared with ten of the current methods and the average absolute error % of the new model is the lowest one for natural gas containing up to 30 sour gas.

This model is based on partial fugacity relationship at equilibrium and using the definitions of fugacity coefficient and fugacity of pure liquid to derive relation between water mole fraction in natural gas, liquid molar volume, vapor pressure, fugacity coefficient, gas temperature and pressure.

This relation is used to develop new mathematical model for estimating the water content in saturated natural gas at given pressure (total gas pressure) and temperature (which is the water dew point at this pressure).

The development of the new model based on improvement of accuracy of calculated water liquid molar volume and water vapor pressure, this improvement was carried out by introducing a new equation for calculating water liquid molar volume and correction for water vapor pressure which calculated by Riedl Method. The fugacity coefficient of water in gas mixture is calculated using Soave Redlich Kwong equation of state.

Background

The accuracy of estimating the water content in natural gas is extremely important for designing processing units and piping systems for natural gas to avoid the harmful action caused by presence of water in natural gas as hydrate formation, corrosion etc., especially the water is companion with natural gas from its initial production until its ultimate consumption.

The water content depends on pressure, temperature and gas composition. Many methods had developed for estimating the water content in natural gas from 1950 till now, these methods can be divided into analytical and graphical, a number of famous methods are presented here they are:

- 1- Macarthy et all Chart method (1950)[6].
- 2- Katz Chart Method (1956)[11].
- 3- Sharma and Cambpell Methods (1969)[4, 22].
- 4- Cambpell Chart Method (1970)[4].
- 5- GPSA Method (1972)[7].
- 6- Robinson et all Method. (1976)[20].
- 7- Sloan Method (1986) [23].
- 8- Gordon Chart Method . (1993)[27].
- 9- Kasim Method. (1996)[10].
- 10- Dalton's Law Method[4, 11].

Each one of these methods has advantages and disadvantages.

In this work a new mathematical model has been developed to improve the accuracy of estimating the water content in saturated natural gas and to cover a wide range of conditions than that available by the old methods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my best appreciation and gratitude to Dr. Mostafa E. Awad, Dr. Khaled Abd-El Fattah and Dr. Farouk Kenawy for their supervision, encouragement and continuous sincere guidance and help without which this work would not have been achieved.

I would like to express my best appreciation and gratitude to Gulf of Suez Petroleum Company (GUPCO) and its management who so kindly offered their help encouragement for accomplishing this work..

Thanks and appreciation are extended to my colleagues at GUPCO for their help and cooperation particularly Eng. Ahmed Saad Abol-Enain.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	
NOMENCLATURE	
INTRODUCTION	
Harmful Action of Water in Natural Gas	
Saturated Natural Gas Water Content	
Application of Determining Natural Gas Water Content	-
The Current Methods for Estimating Natural Gas water Content	•
OBJECTIVE OF THIS STUDY	
CHAPTER (1) LITERATURE REVIEW	-
(1.1) Introduction	
(1.2) Definition of Water Content Of Natural Gas	
(1.3) Historical Development of Natural Gas Water Content	-
(1.4) Natural Gas Water Content Variables	
(1.4.1) Effect of Pressure	
(1.4.2) Effect of Temperature	
(1.4.3) Effect of Composition	
(1.5) General Review of The Current used Methods	
for Estimating Natural Gas Water Content	
(1.5.1) MacCarthy et all Chart Method	
(1.5.2) Katz Chart Method	
(1.5.3) GPSA Method	
(1.5.4) Sharma and Campbell Method	-
(1.5.5) Campbell Chart Method	
(1.5.6) Rerbinson et all Method	
(1.5.7) Sloan Method	

Control of the second second

(1.5.8) Gordon Chart Method	32
(1.5.9) Kasim Method	35
(1.5.10) Dalton 's Law Method	36
Summary of The Current Methods of Estimating	
Natural Gas Water Content	37
CHAPTER (2) Development of The New Mathematical Model for	
Estimating Natural gas Water Content	38
(2.1) Introduction	38
(2.2) Steps of Deriving The New Model Relationship	38
(2.3) Improvement of The Calculating Variables Accuracy	40
(2.4) Improvement of The Accuracy of Calculating	
Water Liquid Molar Volume	41
(2.4.1) Creating New Equation For Estimating Water	
Liquid Molar Volume	41
(2.4.2) Procedures Steps Of Deriving The New Equation for	
Calculating Water Liquid Molar Volume	42
(2.4.3) Evaluation of The new Equation of calculating water	
Liquid Molar Volume	44
(2.5) Improvement of The Accuracy of Calculating	
Water Vapor Pressure	48
(2.5.1) The Methods of Determining Vapor Pressure	48
(.2.52) Modification for Reidl Method for Calculating	
Water Vapor Pressure	48
(2.5.3) Evaluation of The Modification of Riedl Method	49
(2.6) Improvement of Calculating Fugacity Coefficient of Water	50
in Natural Gas	56
(2.7) The Procedure Steps of Calculation of The New Model for	50
Estimating Natural Gas Water Content	58

 $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{R}$

CHAPTER (3) EVALUATION of THE NEW MATHEMATICAL	
MODEL FOR ESTIMATING NATURAL GAS WATER CONTENT	63
(3.1.1) Introduction	63
(3.1.2) The Used Data for The Evaluation	63
(3.1.3) The Determination of New Model Accuracy	64
(3.2) Results and Discussion	65
(3.2.1) Sweet Natural Gas	65
(3.2.2) Natural Gas Containing CO2	121
(3.2.3) Natural Gas Containing H2S	137
(3.2.4) Natural Gas Containing CO 2 and H2S	156
CONCLUSION	169
REFERENCES	171
ADDENDICES	173

LIST OF TABLES

Tabl	e	PAGE	
1-1	Kasim Constant	3	5
1-2	Summary of The Current Methods for Estimating Natural Gas Water Content	3	7
2-1	Water Specific Volume at Six Points of temperature	4:	3
3-1			
	Methods for Sweet Natural Gas	· 6	6
3-2	Comparison Between The Error % of The New and The current Methods for		
	Calculating Sweet Natural Gas water Content		67
3 -3	Experimental and Calculated Water Content by The New and Current Methods f	or	
	Natural Gas Containing CO2		122
3-4			
	Calculating water Content Natural Gas Containing CO2		123
3-5	Experimental and Calculated Water Content by The New and Current Methods	for	
	Natural Gas Containing H2S		138
3-6	Comparison Between The Error % of The New and The current Methods for		
	Calculating Water Content in Natural Gas Natural Gas Containing H2S		139
3-7	7 Experimental and Calculated Water Content by The New and Current Methods	for	
	Natural Gas Containing H2S and CO2		157
3-8	3 Comparison Between The Error % of The New and The current Methods for		
	Calculating water Content in Natural Gas Containing H2S and CO2		158
В-	1 Summary for epremental data used for the evaluation of the new model results		182
В.:	2 Kij Values For The Soave Modification of The Redlich-Kwong		183
В.:	3 Error % of Calculated Molar Volume By Gunn-Yamada method and The New E	quation	184
	4 Comparison Between Experimental and Calculated values of Water Liquid		
	Molar Volume By Gunn-Ymada Method and The New Equation		186
В.	5 Comparison Between The Error % of Water Vapor Pressure Estimating Method	s	188
	6 Comparison Between Experimental and Calculated values of Water vapor		
_,	pressur by c. riedel and current methods		190

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	PAGE
1-1 Influnce of temperature upon the composition of dew point gas	10
1-2Composition of dew point gas in methane - water system	10
1-3 Water Content of CO2	11
1-4 Water Content of H2S	1 [*]
1-5 Experimental values for Water Content of Acid Gases	12
1-6 Saturated Water Content of CO2 - Rich Mixtures at 100 of	1;
1-7 Water Vapor Content of Saturated Natural Gas	1
1-8 Water Content of Natural Gas in equilibrium with Liquid Water (Katz Chart)	1
	- 1
1-9 Natural Gas Water Content of Mekketa Chart Method	
1-10 Effective Water Content of H2S in Natural Gas Mixtures Versus Temperature at	varies press. 1
1-11 Effective Water Content of CO2 in Natural Gas Mixtures Versus Temperature at	
varies press.	
1-12 Constant (K) as a Function of Pressure and Temperature	
1-13 Water Fugacity as a Function of Pressure and Temperature	
1-14 General Fugacity Coefficient Chart	
1-15 Correlation of Tc / Pc and EMR	
1-16 Correlation of Tc / Pc and EMR	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
1-17 Compressibility Factor	
1-18 Water Content of Sweet , lean Natural Gas	
1-19 Effective Water Content of H2S in Natural Gas	
120 Effective Water Content of CO2 in Natural Gas	p = = = 10 p = = = 0
1-21 Water Content of Natural Gas to 2000 psia	
1-22 Water Content of Natural Gas to 6000 psia	***
1-23Equilibrium Water Vapor Content of Sweet Natural Gas	
1-24 Water Content Ratio (R)	
2-1 Comparison Between . Error % of Estimated Water Liquid Molar	
Volume by New Equation and Gunn- Yamada	,
2-2 Comparison Between Max. Error % of Estimated Water Liquid Molar	
Volume by New Equation and Gunn- Yamada	
2-3 Comparison Between . Error % of Estimated Water Vapor Pressure	
by New Equation and Current Methods	
2-4 Comparison Between Max. Error % of Estimated Water Vapor Pressure	
by New Equation and Current Methods	

2-5 Water content for sweet natural gas (in PPMv)
2-6 Water content for sweet natural gas (in Lb / MMscf)
2-7 Water content for natural gas contains 20 % CO2 (in PPMv)
2-8 Water content for natural gas contains 20 % H2S (in Lb / MMscf)
3-1 Experimental Water content Versus Calculated by the new model Case 1
3-2 Average ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1
3-3A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.1
3-3B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.1
3-4A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.2
34B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.2
35A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.3
3-5B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.3
3-6A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.4
3-6B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.4
3-7A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.5
3-7B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.5
3-8A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.6
3-8B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.6
3-9A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.7
3-9B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.7
3-10A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.8
3-10B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.8
311A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.9
3-11B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.9
3-12A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.10
3-12B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.10
3-13A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.11
3-13B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.11
3-144 Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.12
3-14B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.12
3.154 Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.13
3-15B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.13
3-16A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.14
3-16B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.14
3-17A Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.15
3-17 ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.15
2 184 Experimental and Calculated Gas Water Content Case 1.16
3-18B ABS Error % of Calculated NGWC by The New and Current Methods Case 1.16