

Ain Shams University

Faculty of Engineering

Department of Engineering Physics and Mathematics

Modeling of radiation effects in modern deep submicron MOS structures

A thesis
submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements of the
degree of
Master of Science in Engineering physics

Submitted by

George Bassem Botros Abadir

B. SC. of Electronics and Communication Engineering Faculty of Engineering- Ain Shams University 2000

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. O. A. Omar Prof. Dr. H. F. Ragai Dr. W. F. Fikry

Cairo, 2005

STATEMENT

This thesis " Modeling of radiation effects in modern deep

submicron MOS structures " is submitted to Ain Shams University

for the degree of Master of Science in Engineering Physics.

The work included in this thesis was carried out by the author

in the Department of Engineering Physics and Mathematics, Faculty

of Engineering, Ain Shams University, September 2002 to June 2005.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a

qualification at any other university or institution.

DATE: / /2005

SIGNATURE:

Author: George Bassem Botros Abadir

Acknowledgement

In this page I would like to express my deepest gratitude to all the people who contributed to this work in any respect.

First, I would like to thank my supervisors, Prof. Dr. Omar A. Omar, Prof. Dr. Hani F. Ragai and Dr. Wael Fikry for initiating the idea of this work and for their most valuable guidance and careful supervision to me during this research.

I would like also to sincerely thank my friend Tamer Fahim who provided me with a wealth of valuable papers without which this work could have never been achieved.

Finally, I thank my parents for their endless support and apprehension not only during the time it took to make this research but also throughout my entire life.

C.V.

Name of the researcher: George Bassem Botros Abadir

Date of Birth: 18th of November 1977

Place of Birth: Cairo

Degree: B.Sc. in Electrical Engineering

Department: Electronics and Communication Engineering

Faculty: Faculty of Engineering

University: Ain Shams University

Date of Degree: 2000

Abstract

George Bassem Botros Abadir
"Modeling of radiation effects in modern deep submicron MOS structures"

Master of Science Dissertation Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University Department of Engineering Physics and Mathematics

Radiation effects are having a great impact on modern deep submicron MOS structures characteristics. They are widely classified into two categories: total dose effects resulting with the accumulation of absorbed radiation energy in the structure, and single event effects which result from single ions and particles striking the MOS structure in a localized area and during a short interval of time.

In this work, we have presented a survey for the radiation effects on MOS structures. We have studied the origins of radiation effects, the categories of radiation effects; namely; total dose effects and single event effects. We have also described the mechanisms involved in producing each type of effects.

A full description of the most eminent models of the transient currents induced by single events has been presented, showing the deficiencies associated with each one of them.

We have made a detailed study using device simulation of the effect of the angle of ion incidence and of scaling as well as the following operating conditions on the single event induced transient current and the subsequent collected charge:

- Bias.
- Doping.
- Temperature.
- Channel length (for MOSFETs).

Finally, we have developed a complete model for the transient current induced by single events. The ultimate goal of the model is to calculate the subsequent collected charge by integrating the current. We have verified the model using device simulation results. The error

in the collected charge prediction was shown to be always less than 1%. This model can then be integrated into circuit simulators. First a number of device simulations need to be performed for the different expected doping levels, bias conditions, temperature and geometry. Next, the results should be fitted to the model and a look-up table constructed for the results. This look-up table can be then integrated to the circuit simulator. This model can be used primarily for DRAM cells where the mechanism of single event induced errors is linked directly to the amount of the collected charge.

Keywords: Radiation effects - Single event effects - Modeling

Contents

Contents	i
List of Figures	
List of Tables	
List of Symbols	
Introduction	
inti oddetion	AII
Chapter I: Review of the Radiation Effects and their	
Causes	
Introduction	1
I-1 Radiation Environments	
I-1.1 Cosmic Rays	
I-1.2 Solar winds	
I-1.3 Solar flares	
I-1.4 Van Allen belts	
A note on Alpha particles	
I-2 Problems due to using CMOS components	/
in a radiative medium	9
I-2.1 Total dose effects	
I-2.2 Single event effects	
I-2.2.1 Single Event Upsets	
I-2.2.1.a. Definition of usual notations	
I-2.2.1.b. Creation, collection and	
diffusion of charges due	
to the passage of an ion-	
-izing particle	17
I-2.2.1.b.i. Charge collection by drift	
(funneling phenomenon)	19
I-2.2.1.b.ii. Charge collection by diff-	
-usion	19
I-2.3 Single event upsets in memory cells	21
I-2.3.1 Single event upsets in DRAM cells	21
I-2.3.2 Single event upsets in SRAM cells	24

<u>Chapter II: Previous Models for the Single Event Induced</u>
<u>Transient Currents and Collected Charge and Our</u>
<u>Proposed Model</u>

Introduction	26
II. 1 Funneling Collection Models	27
II.1.1. Chenming Hu's Model	27
II.1.2. Messenger's Model	
II.1.3. Oldham's Model	
II. 2 Diffusion Collection Models	
III. Our proposed model	
41	
Chapter III: Results and Discussion	
Introduction III. 1. Issues taken into consideration when making single event device simulations	46
III.1.1 Ion track structure	
III.1.2 Mesh	
III.1.3 Physical models	
III.2. Effect of different variables on	the
current	
and charge collected	-
III. 2. 1 Effect of app	lied
bias and substrate	
doping	
50	
III. 2. 2 Effect of	
temperature64	
III.2.3 Special issues for a single event in a	
MOSFET	72
III.2.3.1 Studying single events in	
MOSFETs without taking	
scaling into account	72
III.2.3.1.i Ion hitting the drain	
normally	72
III.2.3.1.ii Ion hitting the drain	
Obliquely	75
III.2.3.2 Studying single events in	
MOSFETs taking scaling	77
into account	
III.2.4. Model verification	

Conclusion88
Future work89
References90
<u>List of Figures</u>
<u>Chapter I</u>
Figure I-1 The relative flux of different heavy ions in the cosmic rays
Figure I-2 Van Allen belts equatorial trapped particle fluxes vs altitude
Figure I-3 Particle range in silicon vs energy9
Figure I-4 Charge generation, recombination, transport and trapping
Figure I-5 Schematic of radiation effects problem in MOS structures
Figure I-6 Illustration of the threshold voltage shift due to oxide trapped charges and interface state charges in n-MOS and p-MOS structures
Figure I-7 Parasitic transistors (Q1 and Q2) responsible for latchup
Figure I-8 LET vs Energy for various ions
Figure I-9 Example of an SEU cross section curve vs LET17
Figure I-10 p-n junction during incident ion strike, showing the prompt drift current component, the funneling component and the delayed diffusion component
Figure I-11 Collection current showing the different

collection mechanisms21
Figure I-12 Stages of the single bit upset creation by an alpha particle or a heavy ion in a dynamic memory
Figure I-13 One-transistor DRAM cell physical layout, illustrating ion strikes to the storage cell and bit-line24
Figure I-14 SRAM cell under ion strike at the n-off transistor25
<u>Chapter II</u>
Figure II-1 Drain $n^+ p$ junction during incident ion strike28
Figure II-2 Funnel contact at depletion layer-substrate interface
Figure II-3 Ion track current vs. time ion track current vs. time as calculated from Chenming Hu's model
Figure II-4 SOI structure used in developing the model by Fulkerson
Chapter III Figure III-1 Diagram illustrating the calculation of the ion track
structure
Figure III-2 Total collected current vs. time at different Bias levels and different doping levels for the 15MeV carbon ion case
Figure III-3 Zoom in for the encircled part of the currents shown in Figure III-2
Figure III-4 Total collected current vs. time at different bias levels and different doping levels for the 100MeV iron ion case 55

Figure III-5 Zoom in for the encircled part of the currents shown in Figure III-4
Figure III-6 Collected charge vs. time at different bias levels and different doping levels for the 15MeV carbon ion case
Figure III-7 Collected charge vs. time at different bias levels and different doping levels for the 100MeV iron ion case54
Figure III-8 Collected charge vs. time at different bias levels and the same doping for the epi-structures and the non-epi-structures for the 15MeV carbon ion strike
Figure III-9 Collected charge vs. time at different bias levels and the same doping for the epi-structures and the non-epi-structures for the 100MeV iron ion strike
Figure III-10 Collected charge vs. time for the 15MeV carbon ion case at different bias levels and different doping levels but neglecting the doping dependence of SRH recombination rates
Figure III-11 Collected charge vs. time for the 100MeV iron ion case at different bias levels and different doping levels but neglecting the doping dependence of SRH recombination rates
Figure III-12 The minority carrier lifetime vs. doping
Figure III-13(a) Potential lines for the 1V bias and 10^{14} cm ⁻³ substrate doping (15MeV C ion) at a time equal to 13.9 ps60
Figure III-13(b) Potential lines for the 1V bias and 10^{14} cm ⁻³ substrate doping (15MeV C ion) at a time equal to 13.9 ns61
Figure III-14(a) Potential lines for the 1V bias and 10^{17} cm ⁻³ substrate doping and for the 5V and 10^{17} cm ⁻³ substrate doping at a time equal to 40ps (15MeV C ion)
Figure III-14(b) Potential lines for the 1V bias and 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ substrate doping and for the 5V and 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ substrate doping at a time equal to 270 ps (100MeV Fe ion)

Figure III-15 Collection currents for a 100MeV Fe ion at different temperatures for different doping and bias levels
Figure III-16 Total collected charge vs. temperature for a 100MeV Fe ion at different temperatures for different doping and bias levels. The e-h pair creation energy temperature dependence is taken into account
Figure III-17 Total collected charge vs. temperature for a 100MeV Fe ion at different temperatures for different doping and bias levels. The e-h pair creation energy temperature dependence is taken not into account
Figure III-18 Total collected charge at the drain vs. channel length
Figure III-19 Total collected charge at the source vs. channel length
Figure III-20 The transient current at the source74
Figure III-21(a) Induced drain transient current vs. time for both the normal incidence case and the oblique incidence case
Figure III-21(b) Induced source transient current vs. time for the normal incidence case and the oblique incidence case
Figure III-22(a) Drain collected charge vs. time76
Figure III-22(b) Source collected charge vs. time
Figure III-23 Drain collected charge taking into account the scaling effect
Figure III-24 Simulated current and our calculated current for the 15MeV carbon ion 1V bias and 10 ¹⁴ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-25 Simulated charge and our calculated charge for the 15MeV carbon ion 1V bias and 10 ¹⁴ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case

Figure III-26 Simulated current and our calculated current for the 15MeV carbon ion 5V bias and 10 ¹⁴ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-27 Simulated charge and our calculated charge for the 15MeV carbon ion 5V bias and 10 ¹⁴ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-28 Simulated current and our calculated current for the 15MeV carbon ion 1V bias and 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-29 Simulated charge and our calculated charge for the 15MeV carbon ion 1V bias and 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-30 Simulated current and our calculated current for the 15MeV carbon ion 5V bias and 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-31 Simulated charge and our calculated charge for the 15MeV carbon ion 5V bias and 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-32 Simulated current and our calculated current for the 100MeV carbon ion 1V bias and 10 ¹⁴ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-33 Simulated charge and our calculated charge for the 100MeV carbon ion 1V bias and 10 ¹⁴ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-34 Simulated current and our calculated current for the 100MeV carbon ion 5V bias and 10 ¹⁴ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-35 Simulated charge and our calculated charge for the 100MeV carbon ion 5V bias and 10 ¹⁴ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case

Figure III-36 Simulated current and our calculated current for the 100MeV carbon ion 1V bias and 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-37 Simulated charge and our calculated charge for the 100MeV carbon ion 1V bias and 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-38 Simulated current and our calculated current for the 100MeV carbon ion 5V bias and 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case
Figure III-39 Simulated charge and our calculated charge for the 100MeV carbon ion 5V bias and 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ doping concentration case

List of Tables

Chapter I

Table I-1 Presentation of the different radiation Environments 4	
Table I-2 Numbers and energies of alphas from different materials	
Table I-3 Types of radiations and their effects in the different radiation environments 1	0
<u>Chapter III</u>	
Table III-1 Constant field scaling rules 78	3
Table III-2 Parameter values for the 15MeV C ion and 10^{14}cm^{-3} doping	0
Table III-3 Parameter values for the 15MeV C ion and 10^{17}cm^{-3} doping	2
Table III-4 Parameter values for the 100MeV iron ion and 10^{14}cm^{-3} doping	4
Table III-5 Parameter values for the 100MeV iron ion and 10 ¹⁷ cm ⁻³ doping	6