



THREE-DIMENSIONAL FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF DIAPHRAGM WALL CONSTRUCTION STAGES IN SAND

By

Rowyda Adel Abdelrahman

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Civil Engineering - Public Works

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2017

THREE-DIMENSIONAL FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF DIAPHRAGM WALL CONSTRUCTION STAGES IN SAND

By **Rowyda Adel Abdelrahman**

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in

Civil Engineering – Public works

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim
Amer

Dr. Asmaa Moddather Hassan

Assistant Professor of Soil Mechanics and
Foundations
Public Works Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Professor of Soil Mechanics and
Foundations
Public Works Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2017

THREE-DIMENSIONAL FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF DIAPHRAGM WALL CONSTRUCTION STAGES IN SAND

By **Rowyda Adel Abdelrahman**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

in Civil Engineering – Public works

Approved by the Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Amer	_ (Thesis Main Advisor)
Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundations - Civil	il Engineering - Public
Works Department - Cairo University	
Prof. Dr. Hany Abdellateef Lotfy	(Internal Examiner)
Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundations - Civil	il Engineering - Public
Works Department - Cairo University	
Prof. Dr. Samy Abdellateef Mahmoud	(External Examiner)
Professor of Soil Mechanics and Foundations - Hou	sing & Building
National Research Center	-

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY GIZA, EGYPT 2017 Engineer's Name: Rowyda Adel Abdelrahman

Date of Birth: 02/05/1992 **Nationality:** Egyption

E-mail: Rowyda_Adel@hotmail.com

Phone: 01022112576
Address: Nasr City, Cairo
Registration Date: 01/10/2013
Awarding Date: ../../2017

Degree: Master of Science

Department: Civil Engineering - Public Works



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Amer Dr. Asmaa Moddather Hassan

Examiners: Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Amer (Thesis main advisor)

Prof. Dr. Hany Abdellateef Lotfy (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Samy Abdellateef Mahmoud (External examiner)
(Housing & Building National Research Center)

Title of Thesis:

THREE-DIMENSIONAL FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF DIAPHRAGM WALL CONSTRUCTION STAGES IN SAND

Key Words:

Diaphragm Wall, Horizontal Stress, Vertical Soil Movement, Horizontal Soil Movement.

Summary:

The aim of this study is to investigate the effect of construction of diaphragm wall and the effect of excavation in front of wall panels on the horizontal stresses, vertical soil movements, and horizontal soil movements of surrounding soil. Three dimensional finite element analysis have been performed for diaphragm wall consist of three adjacent panel constructed in sandy soil. Finite element program, Plaxis 3D/2D, has been utilized for the analysis. The diaphragm wall construction process is simulated using WIM and WIP methods. Every panel is supported by bentonite slurry during excavating which are followed by concreting then hardening. Several parameters have been studied: Diaphragm wall dimensions which is panel length and panel width; soil relative density; ground water table existence; and surcharge load behind the wall.

Acknowledgments

I am honored to obtain my M.Sc. degree from Cairo University under distinguished supervisors. I am indebted to **Prof. Mohamed Ibrahim Amer** for his support, guidance, encouragement and valuable discussion. I am also grateful for **Assis. Prof. Asmaa Moddather Hassan** for her encouragement, guidance, valuable discussion, reviewing this work, and her great effort to accomplish the thesis objectives. Finally, I would like to thank my **mother**, **father** for their continuous support. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my husband **Assis. Lecturer Basem Hassan** for his help and his great support and guidance. I would not have achieved this work without their help.

Hoping this thesis would be a step towards a better understanding of our current needs and better development of our country.

Table of Contents

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	I
TABLE OF CONTENTS	II
LIST OF TABLES	IV
LIST OF FIGURES	IV
ABSTRACT	
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	
1.1. GENERAL	
1.3. OBJECTIVE OF THE RESEARCH.	
1.4. Scope of Study	
1.5. ORGANIZATION OF THIS THESIS	
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1. Introduction.	3
2.2. DIAPHRAGM WALL CONSTRUCTION METHODS	
2.3. CASE STUDIES.	
2.4. FINITE ELEMENT MODELING.	
2.4.1. Wished in Place Method (WIP)	
2.4.2. Wished in Model Method (WIM)	
2.4.3. Wished in Place Method (WIP) versus Wished in Model Method (WIM)	16
2.4.4. Two Dimentional versus Three Dimentional Finite Element Models	
2.5. EFFECT OF CONSTITUTIVE MODEL TYPE.	21
2.6. THE EFFECT OF PANEL LENGTH	22
2.7. THE EFFECT OF PANEL WIDTH	25
2.8. THE EFFECT OF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE OF DIAPHRAGM WALL	28
2.9. THE EFFECT OF SOIL TYPE	28
2.10. THE EFFECT OF DIAPHRAGM WALL DEPTH	31
CHAPTER 3: NUMERICAL MODEL AND VERIFICATION	33
3.1. Introduction.	33
3.2. FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS	33
3.2.1. Dimensiong of Diaphragm Wall	33
3.2.2 .Mesh Generation and Boundary Condition.	36
3.2.3. Diaphragm Wall Verification Model.	
3.3. PROPOSED MODEL.	43
3.4. PARAMETRIC STUDY	44
3.4.1. Modeling Stages	46
CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	50
4.1. Introduction.	50

	Page
4.2. Typical Results	50
4.2.1. Lateral Stresses.	
4.2.2. Vertical Soil Movement.	54
4.2.3. Horizontal Soil Movement.	
4.3. THE EFFECT OF PANEL LENGTH	62
4.3.1. Lateral stress.	
4.3.2. Horizontal Soil Movement.	
4.3.3. Vertical Soil Movement.	67
4.4. THE EFFECT OF PANEL WIDTH.	70
4.4.1. Lateral stress.	70
4.4.2. Vertical Soil Movement.	70
4.4.3. Horizontal Soil Movement	72
4.5. THE EFFECT OF RELATIVE DENSITY	76
4.5.1. Lateral stress.	76
4.5.2. Vertical Soil Movement.	
4.5.1. Horizontal Soil Movement	79
4.6. The Effect of water level	82
4.6.1. Lateral stress	82
4.6.2. Vertical Soil Movement.	
4.6.3. Horizontal Soil Movement	88
4.7. THE EFFECT OF SURCHARGE	91
4.7.1. Lateral stress	91
4.7.2. Vertical Soil Movement.	93
4.7.3. Horizontal Soil Movement.	95
4.8. THE EFFECT OF MODELING TECHNIQUE ON THE PERFORMANCE OF I	DIAPHRAGM
WALL DURING EXCAVATION	95
CHAPTER 5 : SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDAT	TIONS99
5.1. Summary	99
5.2. Conclusions	
5.3. RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE WORK	
REFERENCES	102
APPENDIX A	II
ADDENITIV D	IV

List of Tables

	Page
Table 3.1: Panel Lengths and Date of its Execution	37
Table 3.2: Soil Properties	
Table 3.3: Proposed Soil Parameters	
Table 3.4: Model Geometry Parameters and Range of Study	
List of Figures	
	Page
Figure 2.1: Excavating Diaphragm Wall Using Bentonite Slurry	3
Figure 2.2: Diaphragm Wall Construction Sequence	4
Figure 2.3: Semi Circular Groove Joint	5
Figure 2.4: Observed Soil Movements in Horizontal and Vertical Directions (a)	
Considering the Stages of Construction – Section A-A', South Wall	
(b) after the Excavation has been Completed – Section B-B', East	_
Wall. (Burland& Hancock [2])	
Figure 2.5: Contour Plan of Building Settlement (Non-Cumulative Values)	6
Figure 2.6: Vertical Soil Deformation Resulted from Constructing the Diaphragm Wall (Cowland and Thorley [7])	7
Figure 2.7: Lateral Movements of Soil during Diaphragm Wall Construction for	/
Trial Field at Singapore Post Center (Poh and Wong [7])	8
Figure 2.8: The Effect of Panel Concreting on Surrounding Soil Settlement (Poh	0
and Wong [7])	8
Figure 2.9: Settlement Points on the Adjacent Buildings (Abdel-Rahman and El-	
Sayed [13])	10
Figure 2.10: In-situ Observed Settlements (Abdel-Rahman and El-Sayed [13])	
Figure 2.11: Design Envelope of Concrete Pressure (Clear and Harrison [27])	12
Figure 2.12: Pressure Exerted by Wet Concrete and the Deflection of Vertical	10
Formwork versus Time (Clear and Harrison [27])	1,2
Figure 2.13: Vertical and Horizontal Stress Distributions versus Depth (Harrison [28])	13
Figure 2.14: (a) Lateral Wet Concrete Pressure in Lion Yard, Cambridge (Ng at.	13
el. [29]) (b) Lateral Wet Concrete Pressure in Telefonhust, Oslo	
(Dibiagio and Roti [30]) (c) Lateral Wet Concrete Pressure in Seville	
Subway (Uriel and Oteo [31])	14
Figure 2.15: Critical Depth of Wet Concrete (CIRIA report 108)	15
Figure 2.16: Predicted Critical Depths in Various Temperatures Degree Assuming	
Constant Time of Wet Concrete Pouring (CIRIA Report 108)	16
Figure 2.17: Measured and Calculated Horizontal Soil movement after the Main	
Excavation Process, 8.6m Depth (Schäfer and Triantafyllidis [18])	17
Figure 2.18: Measured and Calculated Vertical Soil movement after the Main	17
Excavation Process, 8.6m depth (Schäfer and Triantafyllidis [18])	1/
Models with 5m Panel Length at 1m Behind The Middle of The	
Primary Panel after The Diaphragm Wall Installing. (Gourvenec and	
P 1011	10

Planet Length 2.5 m and Distance from The Well 0.1m (a) Contain of	
Panel Length 2.5 m and Distance from The Wall 0.1m (a) Center of	
Primary Panel (b) Edge of Primary Panel (c) Center of Secondary	^
Panel (d) Edge of Secondary Panel. (Riccardo et al. [35])	9
Figure 2.21: Distribution of Both Vertical Soil movement versus Distance from	
Wall at the Ground Surface and Horizontal Soil movement versus	
Depth in 3D Model, Panel Depth is 7m and Panel Length is 2.5m	
(A) At The Center of The Primary Panel (B) At The Center of The	
Between Primary and Secondary Panel (C) At The Center of The	^
Secondary Panel. (Riccardo et al. [35])	U
Figure 2.22: The Maximum Value of Horizontal Soil movement Reached after	
Installation of the Primary and Secondary Panels in 3D Model,	^
Panel Depth is 7m And Panel Length is 2.5m. (Riccardo et al. [35]) 20	U
Figure 2.23: Changes in Stress Distribution versus Depth, Wall Depth 7m and	
Distances from the Wall Are 0.1m and 3.75m in 2D Wished in	
Model (a) Hypoplastic Model (b) Mohr Coulomb model. (Riccardo	
et al. [35])	I
Figure 2.24: Distribution of Both Vertical Soil movement versus Distance from	
Wall at the Ground Surface and Horizontal Soil movement versus	
Depth in 2D Model, Wall Depth is 7m at the Face of Wall and at a	
Distance of 3m Away from It (a) Hypoplastic Model (b) Mohr	_
Coulomb Model.(Riccardo et al. [35])	2
Figure 2.25: Comparison between the Resulted Values of Vertical Soil movement	
at the Ground Surface (Clough & O' Rourke [37] and Riccardo et	_
al. [35])	2
Figure 2.26: Lateral Earth Pressure Profile along Depth at 1m away from the	
middle of the primary panel after the Wall Constructed at Different	_
Panel Lengths. (Gourvenec and Powrie [21])	3
Figure 2.27: Distribution of Both Vertical Soil movement versus Distance From	
Wall at The Ground Surface and Horizontal Soil movement versus	
Depth in 3D Model, Panel Depth is 7m (A) At The Center of The	
Primary Panel (B) At The Contact between Primary and Secondary	
Panel (C) At The Center of The Secondary Panel. (Riccardo et al.	
[35])	4
Figure 2.28: Comparison of Horizontal Soil movement of Wall After The Main	
Excavation Process at Ko = 0.5 Between WIP2D, WIM3D with	
panel lengths 3m and 7m and WIM2D (a) Number of Panel (n _p) 3	4
(b) Number of Panels (n _p) 5. (Sebastien et al. [36])	4
Figure 2.29: Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Distance Normalized by Panel	
Length at Different Panel Lengths (3D model) and Infinity Panel	
Length (2D model) at 0.45m from the Wall and at the Mid Depth of	
Wall Height, distances started from the center of the primary panel	
(a) Excavation of the Primary Panel Supported by Bentonite Slurry	
(b) Concerting the Primary Panel (a) Excavation of the Secondary	
Panel Supported by Bentonite Slurry (b) Concreting the Secondary	_
Panel.(Riccardo et al. [35]) 22)
Figure 2.30: Geometry of the Primary and Secondary Panels. (Yasushi et al.	_
[42])	O
Figure 2.31: Changes in Earth Pressure Coefficient versus Depth at Different	
Wall Widths (t03, wall width is 0.3m), (t06, wall width is 0.6m)	
(t12, wall width is 1.2m) behind the Primary Panel at Gutter 2.	_
(Yasushi et al. [42])	o

Figure 2.32: Changes in Horizontal Soil movement along The Wall Depth behind	
the Primary Panel during Installing it at the Edges of Gutter 2 at	
Different Wall Width t03, wall width is 0.3m), (t06, wall width is	25
0.6m) (t12, wall width is 1.2m) at L_{v2} line. (Yasushi et al. [42])	27
Figure 2.33: Vertical Soil movement with Distance Away From the Wall	
Normalized by Embedded Depth. (Yasushi et al. [42])	27
Figure 2.34: Effect of Wall Width on the Inward Soil movement after the Main	
Excavation Process. (Yasushi et al. [42])	28
Figure 2.35: Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Depth behind the Three	
Gutters of the Primary Panel (Yasushi et al. [42]) (a) Behind Gutter	
2, (b) Behind Gutter 1, (C) Behind Gutter 3.	29
Figure 2.36: Lateral Stress Ratio Which is Lateral Stress Normalized by The	
Initial Total Lateral Stress a Long Distance away From The Wall	
Behind Gutter 2 in Clay Layer (C), Sand Layer (S) And Rock Layer	
(B). (Yasushi et al. [42])	30
Figure 2.37: Changes In Horizontal Soil movement along The Wall Depth	
Behind The Primary Panel During Installing it At The Edges Of	
The 3 Gutters At 1.2m Thick Wall.(Yasushi et al. [42])	30
Figure 2.38: The Soil Excavation Types Used for the Main Site in The Front of	
The Diaphragm Wall (Yasushi et al. [42])	31
Figure 2.39: Horizontal Soil movement of Soil versus Depth after Diaphragm	
Wall Completion and After the Main Excavation Process. (Yasushi	
et al. [42])	31
Figure 2.40: The Maximum Value of Horizontal Soil movement Normalized by	
the Maximum Horizontal Soil Movement Computed from 2D	
Model Versus Panel Depth Normalized by Panel Length (Riccardo	
et al. [35])	32
Figure 3.1: Earth Pressure at Active and Passive Sides	
Figure 3.2: Assuming Moment M Instead of Active and Passive Earth Pressure	
below the Fixation Point "o"	35
Figure 3.3: Net Earth Pressure Distribution	35
Figure 3.4: Stress Points Distribution in a Tetrahedron Element (Bathe, K. J.	
[43])	36
Figure 3.5: The Coarseness of Mesh of The model	
Figure 3.6: Verification Model in PLAXIS 3D	
Figure 3.7: Stages of Diaphragm Wall Construction	39
Figure 3.8: Soil Layers Profile (Abdel-Rahman and El-Sayed [14])	40
Figure 3.9: SPT results versus Depth (Abdel-Rahman and El-Sayed, [13])	
Figure 3.10: Friction Angle and Young's Modulus versus Depth (Abdel-Rahman	
and El-Sayed [14])	41
Figure 3.11: Field Measurements versus 3D Finite Element Model Calculated	
Values of Settlement at Buildings Rested on Shallow Foundations	43
Figure 3.12: Field Measurements versus 3D Finite Element Model Calculated	
Values of Settlement at Buildings Rested on Deep Foundations	43
Figure 3.13: Panels Used in Modeling	
Figure 3.14: The Effect of Boundaries on Model Results (a) Small Boundaries,	,
X=60 (b) Large Boundaries X=160	45
Figure 3.15: Model meshing	
Figure 3.16: The Boundary Conditions of the Proposed Model	
Figure 3.17: Diaphragm Wall with Adjacent Building Simulated as a Surcharge	1 0
Load in PLAXIS 3D Finite Element Model	17
Figure 3.18: Construction Sequence of the proposed model	
1 iguit 3.16. Construction sequence of the proposed model	4 0

-	(a) Plan of Diaphtagm Wall Panels, (b) Sections Diaphtagm Wall	51
Figure 4.2:	(a) The Total Horizontal Stress Distribution during Wall Installation	
	Process versus Depth (Section A-A-Medium Dense Dry Sand), (b)	
	The Horizontal Stress Distribution after Concreting of Panel 3 and	
	After the Main Excavation Process versus Depth (Section A-A-	
	Medium Dense Dry Sand)	52
Figure 4.3:	(a) The Horizontal Stress Distribution during Wall Installation	
	Process versus Depth (Section B-B-Medium Dense Dry Sand) (b)	
	The Horizontal Stress Distribution after Concreting of Panel 3 and	
	After the Main Excavation Process versus Depth (Section B-B-	
	Medium Dense Dry Sand)	53
Figure 4.4:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Distance from Panel 2	
	Normalized by Panel Lengths at 0.1m behind The Diaphragm Wall	
	at 8.5m Depth below Ground Surface (Medium Dense Dry Sand)	54
Figure 4.5:	(a) The Vertical Soil Movements during Installation Process versus	
	the Distance behind Wall (b) The Maximum value of Vertical Soil	
	Movement versus Stages of Diaphragm Wall Construction (Section	
	A-A - Medium Dry Sand)	56
Figure 4.6:	The Vertical Soil Movements after Concreting of Panel 3 and after	
	The Main Excavation Process versus The Distance Behind Wall	
	(Section A-A-Earth Side)	57
Figure 4.7:	(a) The Horizontal Soil Movements during Installation Process	
0	versus Depth at section A-A (b) The Maximum value of Horizontal	
	Soil Movement versus Stages of Diaphragm Wall Construction (
	Medium Dry Sand) at Section A-A, B-B and C-C	58
Figure 4.8:	The Horizontal Soil Movements after Concreting of Panel 3 and	
118010	After The Main Excavation Process versus Depth (Section A-A-	
	Earth Side)	59
Figure 4.9:		57
rigure 4.7.	1 and panel 2 and 2D Model (Section A-A – Medium Dense Dry	
	Sand)	50
Figure 4.10.	The Horizontal Soil Movements versus Depth after concreting panel	57
11guie 4.10.	1 and panel 2 and 2D Model (Section B-B – Medium Dense Dry	
	Sand)	60
Eiguro 4 11.	The Horizontal Soil Movements versus Depth after concreting panel	00
rigule 4.11.		
	1 and panel 2 and 2D Model (Section C-C – Medium Dense Dry	60
E: 4 10	Sand)	60
Figure 4.12:	: The Horizontal Soil Movements versus Distance Normalized by	
	Panel Length after concreting panel 1, panel 2 and panel 3 (Medium	
	Dense Dry Sand) (a) At The Ground Surface (b) At The Mid-Depth	- 1
	of Diaphragm Wall	61
Figure 4.13:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Depth at Different Panel	
	Lengths behind the Wall (Section A-A – P1-dc – Medium Dense	
	Dry Sand)	62
Figure 4.14:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Depth at Different Panel	
	Lengths behind the Wall (Section A-A – P2-dc – Medium Dense	
	Dry Sand)	63
Figure 4.15:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Depth at Different Panel	
	Lengths behind the Wall (Section A-A - P3-dc - Medium Dense	
	Dry Sand)	63

Figure 4.16:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Depth at Different Panel	
	Lengths behind the Wall in 3D Model and Infinity Panel Length in	
	2D model (Section A-A – P3-dc – Medium Dense Dry Sand)	4
Figure 4.17:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Distance from Panel 2	
C	Normalized by Panel Lengths at 0.1m behind The Diaphragm Wall	
	at 8.5m Depth Below Ground Surface (Medium Dense Dry Sand)	
	Stage P1-dc 6	5
Figure 4.18:	The Horizontal Soil Movements versus Depth at Different Panel	
118010	Lengths (Section A-A – P3-dc - Medium Dense Dry Sand)	6
Figure 4 19	The Maximum Horizontal Soil Movements versus Diaphragm Wall	•
11guic 1.17.	Depth Normalized by Diaphragm wall Length	6
Figure 4.20:	The Horizontal Soil Movements versus Depth at Different Panel	
_	Lengths before and after excavation (Medium Dense Dry Sand) 6	7
Figure 4.21:	The Vertical Soil Movements versus Distance behind Wall at	
C	Different Panel Lengths (P1-dc – Medium Dense Dry Sand) (a)	
	Section A-A (b) Section B-B (c) Section C-C	8
Figure 4.22:	The Maximum Vertical Soil Movements versus Diaphragm Wall	
8	Depth Normalized by Diaphragm wall Length (Section A-A -	
	Medium Dense Dry Sand)	9
Figure 4.23	The Vertical Soil Movements versus Distance behind Wall at	
118010 11251	Different Panel Lengths before and after excavation (Medium	
	Dense Dry Sand)	9
Figure 4.24:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Depth at Different Panel	_
1 iguic 4.24.	Widths (Section A-A – P1-dc – Medium Dense Dry Sand)	Λ
Figure 4.25:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Depth at Different Panel	U
rigule 4.23.	•	1
Eigung 126.	Widths (Section A-A – P2-dc – Medium Dense Dry Sand)	1
Figure 4.26:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Depth at Different Panel	1
E' 4.07	Widths (Section A-A – P3-dc – Medium Dense Dry Sand)	1
Figure 4.27:	The Vertical Soil Movements versus Distance behind Wall at	
	Different Panel Widths (P1-dc - Medium Dense Dry Sand) (a)	_
T' 4.20	Section A-A (b) Section B-B (c) Section C-C	3
Figure 4.28:	1 0	
	Width (Section A-A - Medium Dense Dry Sand)	4
Figure 4.29:	The Vertical Soil Movements versus Distance behind Wall at	
	Different Panel Lengths before and after excavation (Medium	
	Dense Dry Sand)	4
Figure 4.30:		
	Different Panel Widths (Section A-A – P3-dc- Medium Dense Dry	
	Sand)	5
Figure 4.31:	The Maximum Horizontal Soil Movements versus Diaphragm Wall	
	Width (Section A-A – Medium Dense Dry Sand)	5
Figure 4.32:	The Horizontal Soil Movements versus Depth at Different Panel	
	Widths before and after excavation (Medium Dense Dry Sand)	6
Figure 4.33:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Depth at Different	
_	Relative Density of Soil (Section A-A – P1-dc - Dry Sand)	7
Figure 4.34:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Depth at Different	
	Relative Density of Soil (Section A-A – P2-dc- Dry Sand)	7
Figure 4.35:	The Horizontal Stress Distribution versus Depth at Different	
<i>5</i>	Relative Density of Soil (Section A-A – P3-dc- Dry Sand)	8
Figure 4.36:	The Vertical Soil Movements versus Distance behind Wall at	_
- 10010 11001	Different Relative Density of Soil (Section A-A – P1-dc – Dry	
	Sand)	8
	~~····································	J

	Pa	ige
	stribution versus Depth at Different d the Wall (Section A-A – P3-dc –	2
Figure 4.58: The Vertical Soil Movemed Different Surcharge Distance	ents versus Distance behind Wall at es behind the Wall (Section A-A – P1-	
Figure 4.59: The Maximum value of Ver	tical Soil Movement versus Surcharge Diaphragm Wall Construction (Section	3
-	94	4
Different Surcharge Distance	es behind the Wall before and after the Sand)9	4
,	Section A-A – P3-dc – Medium Dense	6
Figure 4.62: The Maximum value of Horiz	contal Soil Movement versus Surcharge Diaphragm Wall Construction (Section	O
Figure 4.63: The Horizontal Soil Moveme	nts versus Depth at Different Surcharge efore and after the excavation (Medium	6
Figure 4.64: The Vertical Soil Movement	s versus Distance behind Wall Before r WIP and WIM Methods (– Medium	7
Figure 4.65: The Horizontal Soil Move	ements versus Before and After The M Methods (Medium Dense Sand)	