

Clinico Therapeutic Correlation in Pediatric Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: Retrospective Study

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of master degree
in Pediatrics

Presented by

Wael Fawzy Ahmed Elshamy

M.B.B.Ch., Zagazig University (2010)

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Mostafa Abdel-Aziz El Hodhod

Professor of Pediatrics

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Ass. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Hamdy

Assistant Professor of Pediatrics

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Tohamy Ahmed

Lecturer of Pediatrics

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2016

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قالوا

سبحانك لا علم لنا
إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت
العليم العظيم

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢



Acknowledgments

First of all, thanks to Allah the most merciful for guiding me through and giving me strength to complete this work.

*It is a pleasure to express my deepest thanks and profound respect to my professor, **Professor/ Mostafa Abdel-Aziz El-hodhod**, Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his continuous encouragement, and valuable supervision and guidance throughout this work. It has been an honor and a privilege to work under his generous supervision.*

*I am also, I wish to express my deep gratitude to **Dr./ Ahmed Mohamed Hamdy**, Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind support, help, careful supervision and his continuous guidance.*

*Also, I wish to express my deep gratitude to **Dr./ Ahmed Tohamy Ahmed**, Lecturer of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind support, help, careful supervision and his continuous guidance.*

No words could adequately express my deep appreciation to my family, especially my parents, my brothers, my wife and my son for their continuous support and guidance. I shall remain indebted to them all my life.

Special thanks to my patients, wishing them a happy and healthy life.



List of Contents

<i>Subject</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
List of Abbreviations.....	i
List of Tables.....	iii
List of Figures	v
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work.....	4
Review of Literature	
Pediatric Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease.....	5
Definition	5
Epidemiology	7
Etiology of GERD	10
Pathophysiology	13
Clinical presentation of GERD	17
Diagnostic evaluation	24
Treatment of GERD	32
treatment of GERD in specific cases.....	44
Subjects and Methods	59
Results.....	72
Discussion	114
Summary	132
Conclusion.....	138
Recommendations	139
References	140
Arabic Summary	-173-

List of Abbreviations

<i>Abbr.</i>	<i>Full-term</i>
ALTE	Acute life-threatening event
BE	Barret esophagus
CMA	Cow milk allergy
CMP	Cow milk protein
DZ	Dizygotic
EE	Eosinphilic esophagitis
EGD	Esophago-gastro-duednoscoy
ENT	Ear nose and throat
GER	Gastroesophageal reflux
GERD	Gastroesophageal reflux disease
GIE	Gastroesophageal endoscopy
H₂RAs	H ₂ receptor antagonist
Hb	Hemoglobin
HH	Hiatus hernia
IV	Intra-venous
LES	Lower esophageal sphincter
MZ	Mono zygotic
NN	Neurological normal
PPIs	Proton pump inhibitors
RI	Reflux index
RR	Risk ratio
TLC	Total leucocytic count
TLESR	Transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation
UGI	Upper gastrointestinal series

List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Table (1):	Clinical presentation in infants and children	18
Table (2):	List of variable manifestations of GERD	19
Table (3):	H ₂ receptor antagonists	40
Table (4):	Description of Sociodemographic data among all patients (n = 120)	73
Table (5):	Description of vomiting among all patients	76
Table (6):	Descriptive analysis of GI manifestations among all patients	79
Table (7):	Descriptive analysis for patients as regard extra esophageal manifestation among all patients	81
Table (8):	Description of anthropometric measurements among all patients	84
Table (9):	Descriptive analysis of abdominal examination among all patients	84
Table (10):	Description of CBC findings among all patients	87
Table (11):	Description of RAST and occult blood	88
Table (12):	Descriptive analysis of barium study in selected patients	89
Table (13):	Description of 24 hours pH metry findings (n=)	90
Table (14):	Descriptive analysis of upper GI endoscopic findings among selected patients	91
Table (15):	Description of response of initial treatment in all cases	95

Table (16):	Description of pharmacological treatment in all patient	97
Table (17):	Description of response to non pharmacological treatment	99
Table (18):	Description of cases who have surgical treatment.	102
Table (19):	Comparison between patients who have operation and who have no operation according to clinical peresntation	103
Table (20):	Comparison between patients who have operation and who have no operation according to CBC and RAST and occult blood.	105
Table (21):	Comparison between patients who have operation and who have no operation according to barium study	105
Table (22):	Comparison between patients who have operation and who have no operation according to endoscoy finding.....	107
Table (23):	Comparison between patients who have operation and who have no operation according to ph metry	109
Table (24):	Comparison between patients who have operation and who have no operation according to non pharmacological treatment	111
Table (25):	Multivariate logistic regression analysis for predictors of operation.....	113
Table (26):	Multivariate logistic regression analysis for predictors of operation in summary	137

List of Figures

<i>Figure No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Figure (1):	GER occurring during TLESR.....	32
Figure (2):	Barium show GERD+ Hiatus hernia.....	62
Figure (3):	Endoscope figure (3) upper GI.....	66
Figure (4):	Normal ph study	69
Figure (5):	Severe acidic reflux Figure (5).....	70
Figure (6):	Type of Sex of patients.....	74
Figure (7):	Family history.....	74
Figure (8):	Who affected from family	75
Figure (9):	Type of vomiting).....	77
Figure (10):	Amount of vomiting).....	77
Figure (11):	Relation of vomiting to supine position).....	78
Figure (12):	Relation of vomiting to feeding)	78
Figure (13):	Color of vomiting).....	79
Figure (14):	frequency of Dysphagia among studied patients.....	80
Figure (15):	shows Irritability with feeding among studied patients	80
Figure (16):	Refused oral intake).....	81
Figure (17):	frequency choking	82
Figure (18):	frequency of cough.....	82
Figure (19):	frequency of recurrent chest infection	83
Figure (20):	frequency of allergic manifestation.....	83

Figure (21):	frequency of abdominal distension.....	85
Figure (22):	Weight percentile	85
Figure (23):	Length percentile.....	86
Figure (24):	frequency of abdominal tenderness.....	86
Figure (25):	frequency of Anemia by WHO	87
Figure (26):	frequency of positive and negative occult blood in stool among patients.....	88
Figure (27):	Reflux of contrast to esophagus in trendlenberg position.....	89
Figure (28):	Hiatus hernia of contrast to esophagus in trendlenberg position.....	90
Figure (29):	frequency and endoscopic signs of esophagitis	92
Figure (30):	frequency Nodularity	92
Figure (31):	Cardia competent or incompetent	93
Figure (32):	Z-line in endoscopy	93
Figure (33):	frequency Stricture in endoscopy	94
Figure (34):	frequency of bulge of gastric mucosa	94
Figure (35):	response to Positioning.....	95
Figure (36):	response of formula Thickning.....	96
Figure (37):	Elimination diet yes or no	100
Figure (38):	Response of elimination diet.....	100
Figure (39):	Replacement yes or no	101
Figure (40):	Replaced by breast milk or neocate	101
Figure (41):	frequency of patients who needed surgical operation (nissens fundoplication)	102

Figure (42): frequency of hiatus hernia between 2 groups	106
Figure (43): frequency of dilated esophagus between 2 groups	106
Figure (44): frequency of esophagitis between 2 groups	108
Figure (45): frequency of stricture between 2 groups	108
Figure (46): frequency of pH metry finding between 2 groups	110
Figure (47): Comparison of response to elimination diet between 2 groups.....	112

Abstract

Abstract

BACKGROUND:

GERD is one of the commonest GI complaints in infancy; the incidence of the condition is reported to be 20% to 40% in infants. The diagnosis of GER can be made in most cases based upon the history and physical examination. Therapy with conservative measures and, if indicated, medications can be initiated empirically.

METHODS:

The files of all children diagnosed with GERD during the last 5 years (starting from January 2010) will be revised. the Pediatric Outpatient Clinic, Children Hospital, Ain Shams University

RESULTS:

The patients included in the study They were 68 males (56.7%) and 52 females (43.3%). Their ages at presentation ranged from 1 month and 4 years old with a Median (IQR) 0.5 (0.5 – 6.0) in months. • All patients diagnosed with GERD received pharmacological treatment (PPI or H2RA) with adjuvant treatment (Gaviscon), 95% of them had appositve response to that treatment. •

- 5% didn't respond to medical treatment and surgical antireflux operation was done.

Hiatus hernia and dilated esophagus in contrast study, esophagitis and stricture in endoscopy as investigation, and non responding to pharmacological treatment are significant predictors of antireflux surgery.

CONCLUSION:

- Vomiting and regurgitation are the most common symptoms of GERD.
- The age of diagnosis with median IQR 0.9 (0.5-2.0) it means that 75% of cases were below 2 years.
- GERD is confirmed by pH study and surgical decision is depending on the result of pH study.
- Hiatus hernia and dilated esophagus in contrast study, esophagitis and stricture in endoscopy as investigation, and non responding to pharmacological treatment are significant predictors of antireflux surgery.

Key words GERD, hiatus, Transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation

Introduction

Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) is the effortless passage of gastric contents into the esophagus. It can consist of gas (eructation, or burp) or fluid (positing, wet burp, “spitting up” in infants, or, incorrectly, vomiting). In normal children and adults, this occurs several too many times a day, but fluid rarely reaches the mouth (*Jones, 2001*).

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is the most common esophageal disorder and the most common cause for referral of infants to gastroenterologists (*Thach, 2000*).

The incidence of the disease is reported to be 20% to 40 % in infants (*Keady, 2007*).

Typical symptoms of GERD include vomiting, regurgitation and a sour or bitter taste in the mouth commonly referred to as water brash. In infants and young children, the physician must recognize non verbal clues such as crying, irritability, sleep disturbances, poor appetite, and weight loss as signs of GERD (*Dellert et al., 1993*).

Atypical manifestations of GERD include apnea, bradycardia, wheezing, stridor, recurrent pneumonia, chronic cough, Sandifer's syndrome, and laryngitis (*Scott et al., 1999*).

The diagnosis of GER can be made in most cases based upon the history and physical examination. Therapy with conservative measures and, if indicated, medications can be initiated empirically (*Vandenplds et al., 1993*).

However, if the presentation is atypical or if the response to treatment is suboptimal, evaluation beginning with an upper gastrointestinal series (UGI) is warranted (*Vandenplas et al., 1997*).

The UGI is useful to delineate the anatomy of the upper GI tract (*Johnston et al., 1996*). The UGI also can demonstrate functional aspects such as deglutition and esophageal motility (*Kahrilas and Gupta, 1990*).

Endoscopy associated with histology is a reliable and accurate method to demonstrate esophageal damage induced by GERD, such as inflammation and strictures (*El-serag et al., 2002*).

Esophageal pH monitoring is considered the gold standard for the diagnosis of GER (*Suskind et al., 2001*), because the test allows direct measurement of esophageal pH in physiologic circumstances over many hours (*Boyle, 2006*).

Management of GERD in both adults and children is based on disease severity, the degree of symptoms, and

presence or absence of complications of GERD determined by the diagnostic evaluation (*Rudolph et al., 2001*).

Conservative measures include parent reassurance, dietary or behavioral modifications (*Monzani and Oderda, 2010; Gremse, 2004*),

Although some authors consider conservative therapy to be an efficient first choice for improving regurgitation even compared with thickened formula (*Hegar et al., 2008*), the latter has been considered a reliable dietary management for decreasing recurrent regurgitation and/or vomiting in young infants (*Miyazawa et al., 2007*).

Pharmacologic treatment should be reserved for those with significant symptoms or pathogenic GERD (*Tsou et al., 1991*).

When medical management fails to control the symptoms and consequences of GERD, surgical intervention should be considered (*Fonkalsrud et al., 1987*).

Laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication is successful in preventing reflux in more than 95% of patients (*Ostlie and Holcomb, 2007*).

Aim of the Work

To find out the response of children aged from 1 month to 18 years old suffering from GERD to treatment in Ain Shams Pediatric Gastroenterology Unit, Children Hospital and to make a regression to predict of operations.