



Cairo University

ENHANCING AGRICULTURE DRAINAGE WATER QUALITY TO IMPROVE WATER USE EFFICIENCY IN MIDDLE DELTA REGION

By

Emad Mohamed Mahmoud Khalil

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

ENHANCING AGRICULTURE DRAINAGE WATER
QUALITY TO IMPROVE WATER USE EFFICIENCY IN
MIDDLE DELTA REGION

By
Emad Mohamed Mahmoud Khalil

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Wagdy Abdel
Dayem

Dr. Yasmin Mohamed Ali
Nassar

.....
Professor of Hydrology
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Assistant Professor
Irrigation and Hydraulics Department
Faculty of Engineering, Some University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

ENHANCING AGRICULTURE DRAINAGE WATER
QUALITY TO IMPROVE WATER USE EFFICIENCY IN
MIDDLE DELTA REGION

By
Emad Mohamed Mahmoud Khalil

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Irrigation and Hydraulics Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Wagdy Abdel Dayem, Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Ashraf Moheb Ghanem, Member

Prof. Dr. Maha Mohsen Tawfik. Deputy Chairperson, National Water research center.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

Acknowledgments

I am greatly indebted to the supervisor Prof. Dr. Ahmed Wagdy Abdel-Dayem, Professor of Irrigation and Hydraulic Engineering, Deputy Dean of post graduate studies, Faculty of Engineering Cairo University, for his invaluable support, encouragement and his guidance, advice throughout the development of this thesis

My particular thanks are due to my supervisor Dr. Yasmin Nassar, Irrigation and Hydraulics Department, for the useful information I have gained from her experience. Moreover, I would like to express my sincere gratitude for her great help, her concern, and useful revision of the thesis.

I want to take this opportunity to thank the committee members for their efforts, sincere revision, and valuable comments which helped me to improve my research.

I would also like to thank my colleagues at the Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation for their support.

Finally, but not least, I want to thank my family whom patiently supported me during the years of research, which would not have possible without them.

Abstract

The overall annual supply of water from conventional water resources in Egypt is approximately 59.2 BCM. However, 29% of this water is reused to meet the annual demand which is approximately 76.21 BCM, (Barnes J., 2012). Agricultural drainage reuse is one of the main areas where water is reused in Egypt. However, the constant increase in pollution loads to drain waters forms a major constraint under the drainage water reuse policy. Therefore, the main objective of this research is to enhance water quality in agriculture drains to be reused in irrigation purpose using low cost treatment technologies. This research presents a Decision Support Tool (DST) that help in proposing remedial solutions to decrease Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) concentrations and salinity in drain waters. The water quality model and the DST have been applied to 21 drains in Kafr EL Sheikh Governorate.

The water quality model is mainly used to calculate the BOD concentrations along the drain. Different parameters are included during the calculations such as; cross sections of the drains, water velocity, discharge, population of the surrounding villages, distances between villages, effluent of sewage-waste from each village, biodegradability factor (k_t) of BOD, temperature (t), and measured BOD value at the beginning of the drain. The model assumes complete flow mixing at the point of sewage discharge and plug flow regime elsewhere.

The DST is designed to select the most suitable treatment technology; highest efficiency with least cost to reduce the BOD concentrations, to be utilized at each drain taking into account different site and technology parameters. The site specific parameters include, drain discharge, available space, and water table, while the technology specific parameters include, capital cost, operation and maintenance cost, and the removal efficiency.

Sensitivity analysis to different scenarios such as different stream cross section, temperature, discharge and removal efficiency and its effect on the selected treatment technologies have been also checked. The increases in temperature, stream cross section, and stream discharge have an effect on the BOD concentration and the selected treatment technologies through decision support system.

The research also checked through water quality field measurements the relation between Dissolved Oxygen (DO) concentration and BOD dilution, and the effect of increasing DO values on BOD bio-degradability.

After running the BOD model and the DST for the 21 drains, it was concluded that the source reduction of villages sewage using Anaerobic Baffled Reactor (ABR) with 65 % removal efficiency and cost per Kg/d BOD removed equal 6,596 EGP, is proposed to be used in 9 out of 21 drains because of its high reduction of organics, moderate capital and operating costs. Treating villages wastewater using Anaerobic Filter (AF) with 75 % removal efficiency and cost per Kg/d BOD removed equal 6,667 EGP was used in 6 drains, Up-flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (UASB) with 80 % removal efficiency and cost per Kg/d BOD removed equal 6,699 EGP was picked to treat the villages wastewater in 5 drains. However, the In-stream wetland treatment with 50 % removal efficiency was selected in only one drain. On the contrary, by increasing the removal efficiency of the typical in-stream wetland to be 70% instead of 50 % through increasing air entrainment, and rerunning the DST, the modified in-stream wetland was recommended to be used in 9 drains out of 21. This technology is a suitable remedial solution in terms of the required space, construction, and operational / maintenance cost. It is however worth noting the results of this DST are valid within the BOD concentrations of the studied agriculture drains. More heavily polluted drains might require other pollution reduction interventions.

It was also concluded that drains with discharges greater than 5 m³/sec are less affected with BOD effluents from villages with population up to 60,000 inhabitants.

Moreover, to decrease the salinity in drain waters, the study recommends using halophytes in the farm-land surrounding drains with salinity values (EC) more than 6 ds/m, and using tolerant crops in the farm-land surrounding drains with (EC) values between (3-6) ds/m.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	I
LIST OF FIGURES	IV
LIST OF TABLE	VII
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 BACKGROUND	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT	2
1.3 OBJECTIVES	3
1.3.1 INTERMEDIATE OBJECTIVES.....	3
1.3.2 LONG TERM OBJECTIVES.....	3
1.4 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	3
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.1 INTRODUCTION	6
2.2 WATER RESOURCES AND WATER DEMAND IN EGYPT	6
2.3 SALINIZATION PROBLEMS AND DRAINAGE MANAGEMENT	8
2.4 DRAINAGE WATER REUSE PRACTICES IN EGYPT.....	9
2.4.1 OFFICIAL REUSE.....	9
2.4.2 UNOFFICIAL DRAINAGE REUSE	10
2.4.3 INTERMEDIATE DRAINAGE REUSE.....	11
2.5 WATER QUALITY CONCERNS IN DRAINAGE WATER REUSE.....	11
2.5.1 SALTS AND MAJOR IONS	11
2.5.2 TOXIC TRACE ELEMENTS	12
2.5.3 AGRO-POLLUTANTS	12
2.5.4 ORGANIC MATTER	12
2.6 WATER QUALITY RELATED LAWS AND DECREES.....	12
2.6.1 LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR THE REUSE OF TREATED WASTEWATER IN IRRIGATION.....	14
2.6.2 ADMINISTRATIVE FRAMEWORK	15
2.7 SANITATION.....	17
2.7.1 WASTEWATER STRENGTH	18
2.7.2 MASS TRANSPORT AND DECAY PROCESS.....	19
2.8 MIXING TYPES.....	20
2.8.1 THE COMPLETELY MIXED FLOW (CMF).....	20
2.8.2 THE PLUG FLOW MIXING	22
2.9 THE IMPACT OF SEWAGE EFFLUENTS ON SOIL AND PLANTS	23

2.10 THE IMPACT OF SALINITY ON SOIL AND PLANTS	23
2.11 DECENTRALIZED WASTE WATER TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES	24
2.11.1. ANAEROBIC BAFFLED REACTOR (ABR)	24
2.11.2. ANAEROBIC FILTER	26
2.11.3 CONSTRUCTED WETLANDS.....	27
2.11.4 UP-FLOW ANAEROBIC SLUDGE BLANKET REACTOR (UASB).....	31
2.12 A REVIEW OF SANITATION DECISION SUPPORT TOOLS	33
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	34
3.1 CALCULATION OF THE BIOLOGICAL OXYGEN DEMAND	35
3.2 SELECTION OF AN APPROPRIATE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY	37
CHAPTER 4: THE STUDY AREA KAFR EL SHEIKH GOVERNORATE	39
4.1 LOCATION	39
4.2 POPULATION	39
4.3 ECONOMY	40
4.4 TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION	41
4.5 WATER SUPPLY	41
4.6 AGRICULTURE LAND IN KAFR EL SHEIKH GOVERNORATE	42
4.6.2 CROPPING PATTERN.....	43
4.6.2.1 SUMMER CROPS	43
4.6.2.2 WINTER CROPS	44
4.7 INLAND FISH FARMING.....	44
4.8 WATER QUANTITY	45
4.9 DRAINAGE CONDITIONS.....	46
4.9.1 DRAINAGE FROM FARM LAND	46
4.9.2 DISCHARGE OUT OF THE GOVERNORATE	46
4.9.3 DRAINAGE WATER REUSE.....	46
4.10 SOURCES OF WATER CONTAMINATION	46
4.10.1 CITY SEWERAGE AND RURAL SEWERAGE	47
4.11 WATER QUALITY STATUS IN KAFR EL SKIEKH	47
4.12 FIELD WORK	48
4.12.1 WATER QUALITY MONITORING PROGRAM	48
4.12.2 SAMPLING LOCATIONS AND FREQUENCY.....	49
4.13.3 MONITORED PARAMETERS.....	50
CHAPTER 5: DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	51
5.1 INTRODUCTION	51
5.2 BOD CONCENTRATION ALONG THE SELECTED DRAINS.....	51
5.3 APPLICATION OF DECISION SUPPORT TOOL ON THE SELECTED DRAINS. 58	
5.3.1 DST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION UNDER DIFFERENT PROPOSED	

SCENARIOS	82
5.3.1.1 DST PERFORMANCE UNDER DIFFERENT CROSS SECTION	82
5.3.1.2 DST PERFORMANCE UNDER DIFFERENT DISCHARGE	83
5.3.1.3 DST PERFORMANCE UNDER DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES.....	84
5.3.4 DST PERFORMANCE UNDER DIFFERENT MODIFIED REMOVAL EFFICIENCY	86
5.4 THE OVERALL (DST) UNDER DIFFERENT SCENARIOS	88
5.5 THE COST OF TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES.....	88
5.5.1 CAPITAL COST	88
5.5.2 OPERATIONAL, MAINTENANCE REHABILITATION COSTS.....	89
5.6 DRAINAGE WATER SALINITY	90
5.6.1 DETERMINATION OF SALINITY IN THE STUDY AREA	90
5.7 REMEDIAL SOLUTION TO REDUCE DRAINS WATER SALINITY	91
5.7.1 MIXING WITH FRESH IRRIGATION WATER WITH SUITABLE RATIO.....	91
5.7.2 CROP SUBSTITUTION.....	92
5.7.3 CULTIVATION SALT TOLERANT CROPS AND HALOPHYTES	92
5.8 THE EFFECT OF THE WATER QUALITY ON CROP PRODUCTION.....	93
5.8.1 Crop Production.....	93
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	95
6.1 CONCLUSIONS.....	95
6.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORKS.....	96
REFERENCES	97
ANNEX 1: BOD MODEL.....	104
ANNEX 2: WATER QUALITY MEASUREMENTS.....	125
ANNEX 3: DST PERFORMANCE TABLES	129
ANNEX 4: APPLIED DE-CENTRALIZE SYSTEM.....	133

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2.1	Plug Flow Reactor	22
FIGURE 3.1	Stages Of BOD Degradability Along The Drains	38
FIGURE 3.2	Decision Support Tool to Select Low Cost Treatment Technologies	39
FIGURE 4.1	Kafr El Sheikh Governorate	40
FIGURE 4.2	Share Of Employed Persons By Economic Activities	41
FIGURE 5.1	BOD Concentration Vs Different Population Over Certain Time	53
FIGURE 5.2	BOD Concentration Before Treatment For Drains (A) Arin(B) Abo Khashaba (C) Mekhthan	54
FIGURE 5.3	BOD Concentration before treatment for drains (a) El Hadood (b) no. 9 Al-Safal (c) Tharwat	54
FIGURE 5.4	BOD concentration before treatment for drains (a) no. 10 (b) zaghloul el sharki (c) moheet al zeiny	55
FIGURE 5.5	BOD Concentration Before Treatment For Drains (a) Al Bahrawy (b) Nasr (c) Sandal	56
FIGURE 5.6	BOD Concentration Before Treatment For (a) Bahar Nashart (b) Hood Al Hagar (c) No.9	56
FIGURE 5.7	BOD Concentration Before Treatment for Drains (a) no. 11(b) abo Rayaa (c) El Ganeen	57
FIGURE 5.8	BOD Concentration Before Treatment For Drains (a) El Monshaa (b) Faron (c) Tahweelt Nashart	58
FIGURE 5.9	Comparison Between BOD Calculated And BOD Measured (Validation Measurements)	59
FIGURE 5.10	The Relation Between BOD Concentration And Stream Discharge	60
FIGURE 5.11	Apply DST on Abo Khashaba Drain First Trail.	61
FIGURE 5.12	BOD Concentration After Using UASB At The Beginning Of The Drain	61
FIGURE 5.13	DST On Abo Khashaba Drain Second Trail	62
FIGURE 5.14	BOD Concentration After Using The Dual Treatment	62

FIGURE 5.15	BOD Concentration Along Al Ganaeen Drain A) Blue Line BOD Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line BOD Concentration After Treatment Technology	62
FIGURE 5.16	BOD Concentration Along El Bahrawy Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Treatment Technology	63
FIGURE 5.17	BOD concentration along nasr drain a) blue line concentration before treatment b) red line concentration after apply treatment technology	64
FIGURE 5.18	BOD Concentration Along Mekhazan Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Treatment Technology	64
FIGURE 5.19	BOD Concentration Along Abo Khashaba Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Treatment Technology	65
FIGURE 5.20	BOD Concentration Along Arian Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	65
FIGURE 5.21	BOD Concentration Along Abo Rayaa Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	66
FIGURE 5.22	BOD Concentration Along Al Hadood Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	67
FIGURE 5.23	BOD concentration along no. 11 drain a) blue line concentration before treatment b) red line concentration after apply treatment technology	67
FIGURE 5.24	BOD Concentration Along El Monshaa Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	68
FIGURE 5.25	BOD Concentration Along Faron Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	68
FIGURE 5.26	BOD Concentration Along Sandala Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	69
FIGURE 5.27	BOD concentrations along no. 10 drain before treatment (blue), and after treatment (red).	70
FIGURE 5.28	BOD Concentration Along Tahwelt Nasharat Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	70
FIGURE 5.29	BOD concentration along no. 9 al asfal drain a) blue line concentration before treatment b) red line concentration after apply treatment technology	71

FIGURE 5.30	BOD Concentration Along Tharwat Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	72
FIGURE 5.31	BOD Concentration Along Bahar Nashart Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	72
FIGURE 5.32	BOD Concentration Along Zaghloul Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	73
FIGURE 5.33	BOD Concentration Along Moheet Al Zeiny Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	74
FIGURE 5.34	BOD Concentration Along Hod Al Hagar Drain A) Blue Line Concentration Before Treatment B) Red Line Concentration After Apply Treatment Technology	74
FIGURE 5.35	BOD concentration along no. 9 drain a) blue line concentration before treatment b) red line concentration after apply treatment technology	75
FIGURE 5.36	DST Performance Under The Original Case	77
FIGURE 5.37	Relation Between BOD Concentration And Increasing Drain Cross Section	77
FIGURE 5.38	DST Performance Under Different Cross Section	78
FIGURE 5.39	Relation Between BOD Concentration And Increasing Drain Discharge	79
FIGURE 5.41	Relation Between Biodegradability Factor And Temperature	80
FIGURE 5.42	Relation Between BOD Concentration And Temperatures Change	80
FIGURE 5.43	DST Performance Under Different Temperatures	80
FIGURE 5.44	The Relation Between BOD And Do In Drains	81
FIGURE 5.45	The modified in-stream wetland	82
FIGURE 5.46	BOD Concentration After Operating Micro Bubble	82
FIGURE 5.47	DST Performance Under Different Modified Removal Efficiency	83
FIGURE 5.48	DST Performance Under Different Scenarios	83
FIGURE 5.49	cost per Kg/day BOD removed	85
FIGURE 5.50	Kafr El Sheikh Drains Average Salinity	86
FIGURE 5.51	Relative growth response in-terms salinity abstracted from (fao, 2002).	88
FIGURE 5.52	Crop Production In Kafr El Sheikh	89

LIST OF TABLE

TABLE 2.1	EXISTING AND PLANNED DRAINAGE WATER REUSE IN DELTA	9
TABLE 2.2	OVERVIEW OF WATER QUALITY-RELATED LAWS AND DECREES	13
TABLE 2.3	THE REGULATORY BODIES AND THEIR SCOPE OF WORK	16
TABLE 2.4	WASTEWATER STRENGTH IN-TERMS OF (BOD)	19
TABLE 2.5	VARIOUS TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES AND MEAN REMOVAL EFFICIENCY	33
TABLE 4.1	NOS. OF POPULATION, HOUSEHOLD, AVERAGE FAMILY SIZE	40
TABLE 4.2	MONTHLY AVERAGE RATE OF METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATION AT MANSURA STATION IN 2010	42
TABLE 4.3	WATER SUPPLY CONDITION IN KAFR EL SHEIKH GOVERNORATE IN 2006	43
TABLE 4.4	SHARE OF LAND HOLDING BY SIZE	44
TABLE 4.5	CROP PATTERN IN KAFR EL SHEIKH	44
TABLE 4.6	EAST KAFR EL SHEIKH DRAINS	51
TABLE 4.7	WEST KAFR EL SHEIKH DRAINS	51
TABLE 4.8	EQUIPMENT USED FOR WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS	52
TABLE 5.1	COMPARISON BETWEEN BOD CALCULATED AND BOD MEASURED	59
TABLE 5.2	THE PROPOSED TREATMENT TECHNOLOGY FOR EACH DRAIN	76
TABLE 5.3	COST PER CUBIC METER BASED ON PREVIOUS PROJECTS	84

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

Egypt is among those countries that face several water challenges, as the annual share per capita of renewable water resources (mainly provided by the Nile) is dramatically reduced from more than 2500 cubic meters at the year 1950 to less than 700 cubic meters at the year 2013, and is expected to fall to about 600 m³/cap/yr by the year 2025 according to the National Water Resources Plan (NWRP, 2005). That happened because the population has been growing in the last 25 years from 38 million in 1977 to 86 million in 2013 and is expected to reach about 120 million capita in 2025 with approximately 2% annual growth rate.

The Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation (MWRI) is the official authority in charge of development, allocation and distribution of all conventional and non-conventional water resources of the country. Since 2002, MWRI started to formulate the National Water Resources Plan (NWRP) based on a strategy called “Facing the Challenge” (FtC) (NWRP, 2005). Facing the challenge strategy included measures to develop additional resources, make better use of existing resources, and measures in the field of water quality and environmental protection.

The plan has three major pillars; (i) Increasing water use efficiency; (ii) Water quality protection and; and (iii) Pollution control and water supply augmentation.

Conventional water resources in Egypt are limited to the Nile River; groundwater in the deserts and Sinai, and precipitation (Rainfall) along the Northern coast, and non-conventional water resources include renewable groundwater aquifers in the Nile valley and Delta, agricultural drainage water, and treated wastewater.

Zhu et al. (1998) concluded that non-conventional water resources especially agricultural drainage water is considered relatively a cheap source since it does not require much infrastructure – just pumps to lift the drainage water from drains back to the irrigation network – for example, desalinating seawater costs almost one US dollar per cubic meter, whereas one cubic meter of recycled drainage water costs few cents. A main concern when considering drainage water reuse is whether the drainage water quality is within the allowable limits for irrigation uses as outlined by the water quality

standards and laws. Thus more attention needs to be directed to improve drainage water quality (Biswas, A.1988) and (El Sayed, A. 1997).

Dispose sewage waste directly to the drains without treatment leads to deterioration of drainage water quality, and pollution of water courses by wastewater which causing health and environmental risks and effect on the reuse of drainage water plans (Peter, K. et al., 2005).

Because of this, the research focus on finding a suitable method to improve drainage water quality and reuse this water in irrigation purpose through development of a model that can calculate the Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) concentration, along agricultural drains, and propose the appropriate remedial solutions or technologies that can be managed by the local people, cost effective, and environmentally sound.

Decentralized treatment technologies have been developed particularly over the last two decades, and it may be capable to reduce the treatment cost and the complexity of operation without sacrificing the degree of pollution control.

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

There is a severe shortage in irrigation water supply in the Northern Delta governorates especially in the tail end of irrigation canals, and it is proposed to substitute shortage in fresh water supply by the available agriculture drainage water.

Poor drainage water quality is increasingly becoming a constraint for the drainage water reuse policy causing deterioration of soil and crop yield. In addition farmers are subjected to health hazards and effect on the future expansion plans for cultivated areas.

Domestic wastewater is discharged directly to drainage canals without any treatment and nowadays many of open drains are carrying a mixture of agricultural drainage water, domestic, industrial wastewater, and solid waste debris. Increasing pollution loads in some drains reduce the capabilities to reuse their water in irrigation. A fact sheet prepared by Drainage Research Institute (DRI, 2005) showed that increasing the pollution of agriculture drains forced MWRI to close some re-use pump stations to avoid contamination of irrigation canal where reuse is practiced.