



A GEOMETRIC APPROACH TOWARDS BIOPHILIC DESIGN

By

Dalia Ahmed Abou Bakr

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
In
Architectural Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

A GEOMETRIC APPROACH TOWARDS BIOPHILIC DESIGN

By
Dalia Ahmed Abou Bakr

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in
Architectural Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Hisham Sherif Gabr

Dr. Tarek Abdel Raouf Mohamed

.....

.....

Professor of Architectural Design

Assistant Professor

Architectural Department

Architectural Department

Faculty of Engineering,

Faculty of Engineering,

Cairo University

Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

A GEOMETRIC APPROACH TOWARDS BIOPHILIC DESIGN

By
Dalia Ahmed Abou Bakr

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in
Architectural Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hussein Sherif, External Examiner
Professor and Chair of the Department of Architecture,
School of Science and Engineering, American University in Cairo (AUC)

Prof. Dr. Zeinab Yousef Shafik, Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Hisham Sherif Gabr, Thesis Main Advisor

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2016

Engineer's Name: Dalia Ahmed Abou Bakr
Date of Birth: 28/11/1983
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: Dalia.abobakr@eng.edu.eg
Phone: 35678203
Address: Architecture Department,
Faculty of Engineering,
Cairo University, Giza.
Registration Date: 01/10/2011
Awarding Date:/...../2016
Degree: Doctor of Philosophy
Department: Architectural Engineering

Supervisors:
Prof. Hisham Sherif Gabr
Dr. Tarek Abdel Raouf Mohamed

Examiners:
Prof. Ahmed Hussein Sherif (External examiner)
Prof. Zeinab Yousef Shafik (Internal examiner)
Prof. Hisham Sherif Gabr (Thesis main advisor)

Title of Thesis:

A Geometric Approach towards Biophilic Design

Key Words:

Biophilia, Biophilic Design, geometric qualities, restorative architecture.

Summary:

The current study investigates different humane architectural approaches. It focuses on biophilia and biophilic design, in an attempt to create a conceptual framework to reflect the understanding of biophilia on architectural design. It aims to reach a set of essential geometric qualities -that are assumed to have restorative effect on humans and enrich their experience of the built environment. The study uses an experimental model to examine the physiological and psychological effect of the proposed geometric qualities on users.

Acknowledgments

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisors Prof. Hisham Gabr and Dr. Tarek Abdel Raouf. Their guidance helped me in all the time of researching and writing this thesis. I would like to thank Prof. Hisham for his continuous support during both my M.Sc. and my Ph.D. study. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor with his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. I would also like to thank Dr. Tarek for his encouragement, motivation and his patience to listen to my unorganized ideas, which helped a lot in developing this research. I would also like to thank Prof. Ahmed Sherif and Prof. Zeinab for their valuable comments, which added a lot to the research.

I would like to thank the Science and Technology Development Fund in Egypt (STDF) as part of this study benefited from their fund. I am sincerely grateful to the Systems and Biomedical Engineering Department (SBME), faculty of engineering, Cairo university. SBME gave me access to their rehabilitation engineering and bionics laboratory and research facilities, without their precious support I would have never been able to perform the practical part of this study. I would like to thank eng. Khaled Said for his help in designing the experiment protocol and the EEG device operation, data recording, and analysis. I would also like to thank eng. Mohamed Hisham for helping me in recording the rest of the subjects.

My sincere thanks goes to Prof. Yasser Mostafa kadah for his dedication, cooperating, and paying so much time and effort in helping me regardless having a very busy schedule, and living in another country. He was patient enough to do the EEG data analysis over and over again till we reached the best results considering the current situation. He was responsible for the pre-processing and classification of the EEG results. I could have never been able to finish this study without his invaluable help in analysing the EEG data. I thank the subjects who volunteered in my study: Ahmed Emad, Ahmed Esam, Ali Al-Gammal, Hisham Mohammed, Khaled Geith, Mahmoud Abdel Raouf, Moamen Ahmed, Mohammed Al-Sayed, Mohammed Farouk, Mohammed Marzouk, Mohammed Rabie, and Sherif Al-Shanawany. Thank you all for your patience, enthusiasm and encouragement.

Special thanks goes to my family for their support and help during every minute of the five years of writing this thesis, and throughout my whole life. I would like to thank my mother for helping me a lot by taking so many responsibilities off my shoulders to give me time to finish my research. My dad for pushing me forward when I was about to give up. I would like to thank my brother Moamen for his support and help on so many levels, starting from helping me in choosing the suitable tool for the practical part, connecting me with specialists, and of course helping me filling my knowledge gaps about neuroimaging and data processing. He also offered invaluable help in the final analysis of the EEG data results, and the statistical analysis. My sister Ghada for emotional support. I would like to thank my sweet little boy Mohammad, his smile has always succeeded in brightening my mood even in the darkest days. And of course my lovely husband Ali for coping with my depressions, tension and anxiety during conducting this research. Thank you for your support and encouragement and for listening to my endless talks about every detail regarding this research. At last but not least I thank God the almighty for giving me the will and strength to finish this work.

Dedication

I dedicate this work to my best friend, soul mate, and husband: Ali Al-Gammal.

Thank you for your love, encouragement, and support.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments.....	I
Dedication.....	II
Table of Contents.....	III
List of Figures	VII
List of Tables.....	XIV
Abstract	XVI
Chapter 1 : Introduction.....	1
1.1 The Problem Definition	2
1.1.1 Reflections on the nature of architecture.....	2
1.1.1.1 Architecture and science	3
1.1.1.2 Shared canon of value and judging criteria.....	4
1.1.2 Inhumane architecture and architectural education and practice	5
1.1.3 Restorative architecture	7
1.1.3.1 Towards a humane architecture	8
1.1.3.2 Why biophilia.....	8
1.1.4 The research problem	9
1.1.5 Research aims and objectives.....	10
1.1.6 The research hypotheses.....	11
1.1.7 Knowledge foundation	12
1.2 Thesis Organization	13
Chapter 2 : Literature Review	15
2.1 Introduction.....	15
2.2 Reflections on Twentieth Century Architecture	15
2.2.1 Explaining the success of modernism	18
2.2.2 Deconstructivism.....	25
2.3 Architecture for the New Millennium	27
2.3.1 Science and the coming century of the environment.....	27
2.3.2 Different approaches towards humane architecture	28
2.4 Biophilia.....	32
2.4.1 Origins and theoretical background.....	32
2.4.2 Scientific explanation to human connection to nature	33
2.4.3 The necessity for biophilia	35

2.4.4	Biophilic design	36
2.5	Summary	37
Chapter 3 : Biophilic Design from Theory to Practice		39
3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Biophilic Design Techniques	39
3.2.1	The high-tech method	41
3.2.2	Geometric method.....	42
3.2.2.1	Preference matrix (R. Kaplan & Kaplan, 1979)	46
3.2.2.2	Psycho-evolutionary model (Roger Ulrich, 1983)	46
3.2.2.3	Seven attributes of nature (Heerwagen & Gregory).....	48
3.2.2.4	Fifteen Principles of living structures (Christopher Alexander)	49
3.2.2.5	Laws for universal structure order (Nikos Salingaros).....	53
3.2.2.6	Fractals.....	54
3.3	The Geometric Qualities of Restorative Environments	54
3.3.1	Complexity.....	58
3.3.1.1	Architectural implications	58
3.3.2	Universal structure order.....	59
3.3.2.1	Universal scaling hierarchy	59
3.3.2.2	Architectural implications of universal scaling hierarchy	61
3.3.2.3	Universal distribution of sizes	62
3.3.2.3.1	Architectural implications of universal distribution of sizes.....	62
3.3.3	Coherence	63
3.3.3.1	Architectural implications	63
3.3.4	Fractals.....	65
3.3.4.1	Implications of fractals in architecture	66
3.4	Summary	68
Chapter 4 : Testing the Geometric qualities.....		69
4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Methodology	69
4.2.1	Defining the problem	69
4.2.2	Hypotheses.....	69
4.2.3	Choice of proper procedure:	70
4.2.4	Device specifications	73
4.2.5	Determining the variables	74
4.2.5.1	Participants	74

4.2.5.1.1	EEG	74
4.2.5.1.2	Self-reporting of emotions	74
4.2.5.2	Case study selection	75
4.2.5.3	Emotion mapping and recognition	75
4.2.6	Experimental procedure.....	77
4.2.7	Data collection.....	79
4.2.7.1	EEG signals acquisition	79
4.2.7.2	Self-reporting of emotions	80
4.2.8	Data analysis.....	80
4.2.8.1	EEG signals processing (Feature extraction)	80
4.2.8.2	Analysis of self-reporting of emotions.....	80
4.3	Summary	81
Chapter 5 : The Application of the Experimental Model	83	
5.1	Introduction.....	83
5.2	Group (1): architects	83
5.2.1	Complexity	83
5.2.2	Universal structure order	86
5.2.3	Coherence	89
5.2.4	Fractals	92
5.3	Group (2): non-architects.....	94
5.3.1	Complexity	94
5.3.2	Universal structure order	97
5.3.3	Coherence	100
5.3.4	Fractals	103
5.4	Comparing group (1) and group (2) results	105
5.4.1	Complexity	105
5.4.2	Universal structure order	108
5.4.3	Coherence	111
5.4.4	Fractals	113
5.5	EEG results (data acquisition, processing and analysis).....	116
5.5.1	Data Acquisition.....	116
5.5.2	Pre-processing	118
5.5.3	Classification	118
5.5.4	Accuracy estimation	119
5.5.5	Subjects results	123

5.5.5.1	Analysis method	123
5.5.5.2	Sample analysis of EEG data.....	130
5.5.5.2.1	Data derivatives	130
5.5.5.2.2	Subject one data analysis	130
5.5.6	Discussions	136
Chapter 6	Conclusions.....	139
6.1	Summary of findings.....	139
6.2	Discussions.....	140
6.2.1	Tracing the hypotheses	140
6.2.2	Implications.....	156
6.2.3	Limitations	157
6.3	Recommendations	158
6.4	Suggestion for future research.....	160
References		163
Appendix 1: Evaluation Experiment's Photos		177
Appendix 2: Self Reporting of Emotions Results		193
Appendix 3: EEG Results		213
Appendix 4: Consent Forms		217

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Image processing in the brain.	6
Figure 1.2: Lack of detail vs. macular degeneration. Left: normal vision vs. vision with macular degeneration. Right: Lack of detail in minimalist buildings, the church of light by: Tadao Ando.	6
Figure 1.3: Unstructured details vs. visual agnosia.	7
Figure 1.4: Colourless built environment vs. cerebral achromatopsia	7
Figure 1.5: Thesis organization.	14
Figure 2.1: Major changes and architectural movements in the 20th century.....	16
Figure 2.2: The five points of the new architecture in villa Savoye.....	17
Figure 2.3: Drafts of new cities in the 20th century	19
Figure 2.4: Pruitt Igoe housing project from building to demolition.	20
Figure 2.5: Architectural meme proliferates using a parasitic cycle	22
Figure 2.6: From the simple triangle to a complex Sierpinski triangle.	23
Figure 2.7: The use of steel and glass in a new way that differs from that in the 19 th century.	23
Figure 2.8: Formal set of rules of modernism as presented in modern masters books and architectural products.	24
Figure 2.9: Encapsulation in modern architecture.....	25
Figure 2.10: The Parc de la Vilette by Bernard Tshumi.....	26
Figure 2.11: Path of ecological design from conventional to regenerative practice	29
Figure 2.12: Evidence based-design, sustainable design, and green design	30
Figure 2.13: Premaculture design concept	31
Figure 2.14: Grid and place cells. Left: place cells, middle: mental maps, right: grid cells.....	35
Figure 3.1: Dimensions, elements, and attributes of biophilic design Kellert.	40
Figure 3.2: Left: The direct experience of water in the Sydney opera house. Middle: indirect use of water in the water temple. Right: the symbolic use of water in the Potomac Hospital. Photograph by Joseph Parimucha	41

Figure 3.3: Mimicking different life cycles in buildings	42
Figure 3.4: The three images used in 1986 NASA experiment. (Left): a photograph of a forest (Middle): an artistic rendition of a landscape, and (Right): painted lines	44
Figure 3.5: Different approaches towards the geometric method.....	45
Figure 3.6: Scaling Hierarchy.....	49
Figure 3.7: Strong Centers	49
Figure 3.8: Thick Boundary as an implied center.....	50
Figure 3.9: Altering Repetitions	50
Figure 3.10: Positive space	50
Figure 3.11: Good Shape	50
Figure 3.12: Local Symmetries.....	51
Figure 3.13: Deep Interlock	51
Figure 3.14: Contrast	51
Figure 3.15: Gradients	51
Figure 3.16: Roughness	52
Figure 3.17: Echoes	52
Figure 3.18: The Void.....	52
Figure 3.19: Simplicity	52
Figure 3.20: Not Separateness	53
Figure 3.21: Universal Scaling Hierarchy	53
Figure 3.22: Universal Distribution of sizes showing only three scales.....	54
Figure 3.23: Left: Reflectional Symmetry, Center: Transitional Symmetry & Right: Rotational Symmetry	54
Figure 3.24: The relation between different qualities presented in the seven models (Attributes of nature, prospect & refuge theory, psycho-evolutionary, preference matrix, fractals, 15 properties of living structures, & laws of structure order)	55
Figure 3.25: The Geometric Qualities of Healing Environments.....	57
Figure 3.26: Universal distribution of sizes in river shore in Norway.....	62

Figure 3.27: Left: An example of perforated fractals; natural sponge. Right: An example of accretive fractals; Roman broccoli cauliflower.	66
Figure 4.1: The componenets of the eegosports device	73
Figure 4.2: Mapping of the six emotions, adopted from Russell's direct circular scaling.	76
Figure 4.3: Self-assessment using 11 points mankin.	78
Figure 4.4: The experimental procedure	78
Figure 4.5: International 10-20 system of electrode placemental	79
Figure 5.1: Group (1) results for complexity session (positive photos).	84
Figure 5.2: Group (1) results for complexity session (negative photos).	85
Figure 5.3: Box plot for complexity session (Group1).....	85
Figure 5.4: Group (1) results for universal structure order session (positive photos). ...	87
Figure 5.5: Group (1) results for universal structure order session (negative photos). ..	87
Figure 5.6: Box plot for universal structure order session (Group1).	88
Figure 5.7: Group (1) results for coherence session (positive photos).....	89
Figure 5.8: Group (1) results for coherence session (negative photos).....	90
Figure 5.9: Box plot for coherence session (Group1).	91
Figure 5.10: Group (1) results for fractals session (positive photos).	92
Figure 5.11: Group (1) results for coherence session (negative photos).....	93
Figure 5.12: Box plot for fractals session (Group1).....	93
Figure 5.13: Group (2) results for complexity session (positive photos).	95
Figure 5.14: Group (2) results for complexity session (negative photos).	96
Figure 5.15: Box plot for complexity session (Group2).....	96
Figure 5.16: Group (2) results for universal structure order session (positive photos)..	98
Figure 5.17: Group (2) results for universal structure order session (negative photos). 98	
Figure 5.18: Box plot for universal structure order session (Group2)	99
Figure 5.19: Group (2) results for coherence session (positive photos).....	100
Figure 5.20: Group (2) results for coherence session (negative photos).....	101