### $\mathbf{BY}$

### EMAN MOHAMED RABIE MOHAMED SALIH

B.Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams Univ., 1994

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Of

### MASTER OF SCIENCE

in Agriculture (Agronomy)

Department of Agronomy Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

**2000**APPROVAL SHEET

### BY

### EMAN MOHAMED RABIE MOHAMED SALIH

B.Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams Univ., 1994

## This Thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by:

## Prof. Dr. Ahmad Ibrahem El-Agamy.....

Prof. Of Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, El-Azhar Univ.

## Prof. Dr. Ahmad Abd El-Sadek.....

Prof. of Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

## Prof. Dr. Abd El-Maksoud Mahrous El-Marakby.....

Prof. of Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

#### Date of examination / /2000

#### $\mathbf{BY}$

### EMAN MOHAMED RABIE MOHAMED SALIH

B.Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams Univ., 1994

## **Under the supervision of:**

## Prof. Dr. Abd El-Maksoud Mahrous El-Marakby

Prof. of Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

### Prof. Dr. Ali Mohamed Esmail

Prof. of Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

### Dr. Afaf Mohamed Tolba

Lecturer of, Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

## EFFECT OF GAMMA RAYS ON EGYPTIAN COTTON CHARACTERISTICS

### BY

### EMAN MOHAMED RABIE MOHAMED SALIH

B.Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams Univ., 1994

# A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Of

### MASTER OF SCIENCE

in Agriculture (Agronomy)

Department of Agronomy Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

2000

### **APPROVAL SHEET**

## EFFECT OF GAMMA RAYS ON EGYPTIAN COTTON CHARACTERISTICS

### BY

#### EMAN MOHAMED RABIE MOHAMED SALIH

B.Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams Univ., 1994

## This Thesis for M.Sc. degree has been approved by :

## Prof. Dr. Ahmad Ibrahem El-Agamy.....

Prof. Of Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, El-Azhar Univ.

### Prof. Dr. Ahmad Abd El-Sadek.....

Prof. of Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

### Prof. Dr. Abd El-Maksoud Mahrous El-Marakby.....

Prof. of Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

### Date of examination / /2000

### BY

### EMAN MOHAMED RABIE MOHAMED SALIH

B.Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams Univ., 1994

## **Under the supervision of:**

## Prof. Dr. Abd El-Maksoud Mahrous El-Marakby

Prof. of Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

## Prof. Dr. Ali Mohamed Esmail

Prof. of Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

### Dr. Afaf Mohamed Tolba

Lecturer of, Agronomy., Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The writer wishes to express her sincere appreciations to her major supervisor **Dr. Abd El-Maksoud Mahrous El-Marakby**, Professor of Agronomy , Faculty of Agiculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, valuable assistance for suggesting and drawing the plan of the research and encouragement through out the progress of this study and helpful assistance in writing the manuscript.

Sincere gratitude to **Dr. Ali Mohamed Esmail,** Professor of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his valuable assistance and his help during the course of this thesis.

I would like to thank to **Dr. Afaf Mohamed Tolba**, Lecturer of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for her valuable assistance and her help during the course of this research.

Thanks are also due to **Dr. Abd El-Rahman El-Anany** Lecturer of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his help in statistical analysis.

I also want to express my appreciation to the staff members of Cotton Ginning, Cott. Res. Instit. for their help especially in providing the facilities enabled me to accomplish the work in this study.

My Gratitude same also offered to all the staff members and fellow colleagues of the Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ., for their cooperation.

The writer is indebted to her **family** and her **Husband** for their continuous encouragement and sacrifies.

Thanks are also due to the all staff of the Atomic Energy Authority at Naser City, especially Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Tawfik.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The writer wishes to express her sincere appreciations to to my major supervisor **Dr. Abd El-Maksoud Mahrous El-Marakby**, Professor of Breeding Crops, Faculty of Agiculture, Ain Shams University, for his supervision, valuable assistance for suggesting and dwarfing the plan of the research and encouragement through out the progress of this study and helpful assistance in writing the manuscript.

Sincere gratitude to **Dr. Ali Esmail,** Professor of Breesing Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his valuable assistance and his help during the course of this thesis.

I would like to thank and show my sincere to **Dr. Afaf Mohamed Tolba,** Lecturer of Breeding Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his valuable assistance and his help during the course of this research.

Thanks are also due to **Dr. Abd El-Rahman El-Anany** Lecturer of Breeding Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for his helpful of this research.

I also want to express my appreciation to the department of Cotton Ginning Cott. Res. Instit. for their help especially in providing the facilities enable me to accomplis the work in this study.

Gratitude is also offered to all the staff members and fellow colleagues of the department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams Univ., for their cooperation.

The writer is indebted to my family and my **Husband** for their continus encouragement and sacrifies.

#### **ABSRACT**

Eman mohammed Rabih Mohammed. Effect of Gamma-Rays on Egyptian Cotton Characteristics. Master of Science thesis Agronomy Department, Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., 2000.

Dried seeds of the two Egyptian long - staple cultivars; Giza 85 and Giza 75 were irradiated with different gamma rays of 1, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 kr. doses emitted from a  $Co^{60}$  source aiming to study their effect on germinability, seedling growth characters, earliness, plant height, yield and yield components in  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  generations.

The results of the  $M_1$  indicated that  $\gamma$ -rays doses of 1 and 3 kr slightly affected seed germination in Giza 75 cv. but the doses from 5 up to 60 kr caused marked inhibition. Seedling length progressively declined as the  $\gamma$ -dose increased. The 1 kr dose caused stimulation effect on seedling fresh and dry weights. whereas higher doses showed stunting effect on both traits. However, seedling exposed to so and 60 kr doses falled to survive and died after thinning. Slight earliness estimated as days to first flower appearance was recorded at 1,3 and 5 kr doses while the dose 10 kr above delayed the first flower appearance as well as retardation of the appearance of first sympodium to higher nodes as compared to the unirradiated control. For plant height, small differences existed between the lower doses and the control whereas a clear stunting effect was noticed atnumber at higher doses. The 1 and 3 kr doses tended to increase number of bolls per plant, while higher doses caused gradual reduction, Boll weight tended to decrease as the  $\gamma$ -dose increased and the reduction was pronounced in higher doses. The 1 kr dose in Giza 85 and the 3 kr dose in Giza 75 caused increments in seedcotton yield per plant while higher doses caused appreciable reduction in this trait. Marked stimulation effect was recorded for 1, 3, 5 and 10 doses on lint percentage, while dose 20 kr and above depressed lint %. for seed index, no significant differences existed between the control and lower doses; 1, 3 and 5 kr while higher doses inhibited seed size of both cultivars.

In  $M_2$  generation, the ranges of frequency distribution for total plants of irradiation doses were wider than the ranges of their unirradiated controls for all traits. Also, phenotypic variation and coefficients of variation were higher for total doses than their controls, indicating effectiveness of  $\gamma$ -ray in inducing variability. Many desirable forms were better than their mother culivars were obtained in all traits, indicating the possibility of selection. Morphological mutations due to  $\gamma$ -rays were observed, e.g; albino, cleistogamous flowers, floral aberrations, 4, 5, or 6 locks/boll, two bolls with one calyx, cluster flowers, dwarf, sterile and others. Selected  $M_2$  plants were tested in  $M_3$  for yielding ability and some progenies were promising and deserve to be screened in subsequent generations.

#### **KEY WORDS**

Cotton, gamma ray, irradiation, phenotypic and genotypic variation, mutation, germination, growth, yield and its attributes.

## **CONTENTS**

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
III. MATERIALS AND METHODS	19
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	23
A. THE M <sub>1</sub> GENERATION	23
1. Germination percentage	23
2. Seedling length	24
3. Seedling fresh weight	24
4. Seedling dry weight	25
5. Earliness characters	27
a. Day to first flower	27
b. Position of first sympodium node	28
6. Plant height	30
7. Number of bolls per plant	32
8. Boll weight	33
9. Seed cotton yield per plant	34
10. Lint percentage	36
11. Seed index	36
B. The M <sub>2</sub> generation	39
1. Earliness characters	39
a. Number of days to first flower	39
b. Position of first sympodium node	42
2. Plant height	44
3. Boll number per plant	47
4. Boll weight	49
5. Lint percentage	51
6. Seed index	53
7. Seed cotton yield per plant	53

	Page
C. Mutations in M <sub>2</sub> generation	57
D. Evaluation of selected plants in the M3 generation	63
V. SUMMARY	67
VI.	71
REFERENCES	
ARABIC SUMMARY	

## **LIST OF TABLES**

No.		Page
Table (1)	Germination percentage, sedling length,	
	seedling fresh weight and seedling dry	
	weight of Giza 85 and Giza 75 cotton	
	cultivars as affected by gamma radiation	
	doses in M <sub>1</sub> generation	26
Table (2)	Days to flowering and position of first	
	sympodium node of Giza 85 and Giza 75	
	cotton cultivars as affected by gamma	
	radiation doses in M <sub>1</sub> generation	29
Table (3)	Plant height after 90 days and plant height	
	at harvesting of Giza 85 and Giza 75	
	cultivars as affected by gamma radiation	
	doses in M <sub>1</sub> generation	31
Table (4)	Boll number / plant, Boll weight and seed	
	cotton yield / plant of Giza 85 and Giza 75	
	cotton cultivars as affected by gamma	
	radiation doses in M <sub>1</sub> generation	35
Table (5)	Lint percentage and seed index of Giza 85	
	and Giza 75 cotton cultivars as affected by	
	gamma radiation doses in M <sub>1</sub> generation	37
Table (6)	Frequency distribution, statistical and	
	genetic constants for number of days to	
	first flower in M <sub>2</sub> generation for Giza 85	
	and Giza 75 cultivars	41
Table (7)	Frequency distribution, statistical and	
	genetic constants for position of first	
	sympodium node in M <sub>2</sub> generation for Giza	
	85 and Giza 75 cultivars	43

		Page
Table (8)	Frequency distribution, statistical and genetic constants for plant height at	
	harvesting in M <sub>2</sub> generation for Giza 85	
	cultivar	45
Table (9)	Frequency distribution, statistical and	40
Table (3)	genetic constants for plant height at	
	harvesting in M <sub>2</sub> generation for Giza 75	
	cultivar	46
Table (10)		40
Table (TU)	genetic constant for boll number per plant	
	in M <sub>2</sub> generation for Giza 85 and Giza 75	
	cultivars	48
Table (11)	Frequency distribution, statistical and	40
14510 (11)	genetic constant for boll weight in M <sub>2</sub>	
	generation for Giza 85 and Giza 75	
	cultivars	50
Table (12)	Frequency distribution, statistical and	
. 45.6 (12)	genetic constant for lint percentage in M <sub>2</sub>	
	generation for Giza 85 and Giza 75	
	cultivars	52
Table (13)	Frequency distribution, statistical and	
	genetic constant for seed index in M <sub>2</sub>	
	generation for Giza 85 and Giza 75	
	cultivars	54
Table (14)	Frequency distribution, statistical and	
	genetic constant for seed cotton yield per	
	plant in M <sub>2</sub> generation for Giza 85 and Giza	
	75 cultivars	55
Table (15)	Frequency of mutation in M <sub>2</sub> plants at	
	different gamma doses in Giza 85 and	
	Giza 75 cultivars	58