# Modification of Edible Food Packaging Materials Based on Natural Polymer Blends by Ionizing Radiation

Thesis
Submitted to
University College for Girls
Ain Shams University

Ph.D. DEGREE IN CHEMISTRY

BY

HUSSEIN EL-SHAHAT ALI

(M.SC. CHEMISTRY)

Master in Chemistry, Ain Shams University, 2005

NATIONAL CENTER FOR RADIATION RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY

ATOMIC ENERGY AUTHORITY

2010

# Ain Shams University Girls College for Arts, Science, Education

# Modification of Edible Food Packaging Materials Based on Natural Polymer Blends by Ionizing Radiation

Thesis Submitted to: University College for Girls
Ain Shams University

BY Hussein El-Shahat Ali

# Thesis supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Abo El-Khair B. Mostafa

Prof. of Physical Chemistry

College for Girls, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Abdel Wahab M. El-Naggar

Radiation Chemistry Department, National

Center for Radiation Research and Technology

Ass. Prof. Dr. Magdy Mohamed Hassan Senna

Radiation Chemistry Department, National

Center for Radiation Research and Technology

Head of Chemistry Department Prof. Dr.

Date of Examination: / /

Ain Shams University

Girls College for Arts, Science and Education

**Chemistry Department** 

Student Name: Hussein El-Shahat Ali

Scientific Degree: Ph.D

Department: Chemistry Department

Name of Faculty: Girls College for Arts, Science and

Education

University: Ain Shams University

Master Degree: 2005

#### **ABSTRACT**

Blends based on different ratios of plasticized starch (PLST), poly (vinyl alcohol) (PVA) and carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) were prepared by solution casting in the form of thin films. The PLST/PVA and PLST/CMC films were exposed to different doses of gamma radiation. The effect of gamma-irradiation on the thermal, mechanical and structure morphology properties was investigated. As an application in the field of the prolongation of food preservation life time, Mango fruits were coated with solutions of gamma-irradiated PLST/PVA and PLST/CMC blends in the presence of chitosan, as an antimicrobial material, to form thin films. The results showed that the gamma-irradiation improved all the physical properties, which provides suitable materials based on natural biodegradable polymers for food preservation withstanding the temperature and stresses.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First of all, thanks to ALLAH for infinite and persistent supply with patience and efforts to accomplish this work successfully. The author would like to thank **Prof. Dr. Abo El-Khair B. Mostafa**, Prof. of Physical Chemistry, College for Girls, Ain Shams University, for his capable supervision, encouragement, interest and useful discussion. Deepest gratitude is owed to Prof. Dr. Abdel Wahab M. El-Naggar, Radiation Chemistry Department, National Center for Radiation Research and Technology for suggesting the topic of this work, continuous supervision, practical support throughout this study and helpful discussion that made this work possible. I would like to express my great appreciation to Ass.Prof. Dr. Magdy Mohamed Hassan Senna, Radiation Chemistry Department, National Center for Radiation Research and Technology for his supervision, encouragement and valuable guidance which assisted greatly in completing this work.

Great thanks to **Dr. Heba** for her cooperation.

Finally, I would like to thank the staff and colleagues of the Radiation Chemistry Department for the cooperation and encouragement throughout this work.

| No   |                  |  | Page       |
|------|------------------|--|------------|
|      |                  | CHAPTER 1  |            |
|      | li               | ntroduction and Literature Review                                |            |
| 1.1. | Effect           | of Ionizing Radiation on Polymeric Materials                     | 1          |
|      | 1.1.1            | •  | 2          |
|      |                  | Materials  |            |
|      | 1.1.2.           | Radiation Crosslinking and Degradation of                        | 5          |
|      |                  | Polymers   |            |
| 1.2. | •                | etic and Natural Polymers  |            |
|      |                  | Poly (vinyl alcohol)   | 8          |
|      |                  | Carboxymethyl cellulose  | 10         |
|      |                  | Starches   | 13         |
|      | 1.2.4.           | 8  | 19         |
|      | 40.              | Polymers   | •0         |
|      | 1.2.5.           | Effect of Ionizing Radiation on Natural                          | 28         |
| 1.2  | D.1              | Polymers   |            |
| 1.3. | -                | er Blends  | 27         |
|      | 1.3.1.<br>1.3.2. | Types of Polymer Blends  Effects of Longing Rediction on Polymer | 37<br>47   |
|      | 1.3.2.           | Effects of Ionizing Radiation on Polymer Blends                  | 4/         |
| 1.4. | Edible           | e Materials Based on Natural Polymers                            |            |
| 1.7. |                  | Chemical and Physical Properties                                 | 52         |
|      |                  | Effect of Microorganisms   | 5 <b>2</b> |
|      |                  | Antimicrobial Protection of Edible Materials                     | 61         |
|      | 1.4.4.           |  | 67         |
|      | 20.00            | rippineutions of Zunote Muterium                                 | 0.         |
|      |                  | CHAPTER II   |            |
|      | Ma               | terials, Methods and Measurements                                |            |
| 2.1. | Mater            | ials   | <b>75</b>  |
| 2.2. | Solven           | ts and Chemical Reagents   | <b>75</b>  |
| 2.3. |                  | ical Procedures  | 75         |
|      | 2.3.1.           | Preparation of Plasticized Starch (PLST) with                    | <b>75</b>  |
|      |                  | Poly(vinyl alcohol) Blends                                       |            |
|      | 2.3.2.           | Preparation of Starch/Carboxymethyl                              | <b>76</b>  |
|      |                  | cellulose Blends   |            |

|      | 2.3.3. | Preparation of films from starch with         | 77        |
|------|--------|---|-----------|
|      |        | polymer and chitosan blends                   |           |
|      | 2.3.4. | Gamma Irradiation                             | <b>78</b> |
| 2.4. |        | rement and Analysis                           |           |
|      | 2.4.1  | Gel fraction                                  | <b>78</b> |
|      | 2.4.2. | <b>Swelling Properties</b>                    | <b>78</b> |
|      | 2.4.3. | Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA)             | <b>79</b> |
|      | 2.4.4. | Tensile Mechanical Properties                 | <b>79</b> |
|      | 2.4.5. | Structure Morphology (SEM)                    | <b>79</b> |
|      | 2.4.6. | Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)       | 80        |
|      | 2.4.7. | Microbial Resistance                          | 80        |
|      | 2.4.8. | Application of Edible Material                | 81        |
|      |        | CHAPTER III                                   |           |
|      |        | Results and Discussion                        |           |
| 3.1. | Chara  | cterization of gamma irradiated plasticized   |           |
| 0.1. |        | poly (vinyl alcohol) (PLST/PVA) blends and    | 82        |
|      |        | pplications as protected edible materials     | -         |
|      | 3.1.1. | Effect of Irradiation Dose on Gel Fraction of | 84        |
|      | 012121 | PLST/PVA Blends                               | •         |
|      | 3.1.2. | Swelling Properties of PLST/PVA blends        | 86        |
|      | 3.1.3. | Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)              | 88        |
|      | 3.1.4. | Differential Scanning Calorimetry             | 95        |
|      | 3.1.5. | Mechanical Testing                            | 100       |
|      | 3.1.6. | Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)            | 105       |
|      | 3.1.7. | Application of PLST/PVA blends as Edible      | 108       |
|      |        | Materials                                     |           |
|      | 3.1.8. | Antimicrobial effect of PLST/PVA/ Chitosan    | 116       |
| 3.2. | Effect | of Gamma Irradiation on the Physical          | 123       |
|      | Proper | ties of Plasticized Starch (PLST)/Carboxy     |           |
|      | methyl | cellulose (CMC) Blends                        |           |
|      | 3.2.1. | <b>Swelling Properties of PLST/CMC Blends</b> | 125       |
|      | 3.2.2. | Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)              | 127       |
|      | 3.2.3. | Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)       | 135       |
|      | 3.2.4. | Mechanical Testing                            | 139       |

|        | 3.2.5. | Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)         | 147 |
|--------|--------|--|-----|
|        | 3.2.6. | Antibacterial effect of PLST/CMC /Chitosan | 150 |
| Summ   | ary    |  | 151 |
| Refere | nces   |  | 155 |
| Arabic | Summ   | narv                                       |     |

# **List of Figures**

| Figures   | Title   | Page |
|-----------|---|------|
| Figure 1  | Effect of irradiation dose on the gel fraction of PLST/PVA blends of different compositions   | 85   |
| Figure 2  | Swelling behaviour in water at room temperature (pH=7) of PLST/PVA blends of different compositions exposed to various doses of gamma radiation | 87   |
| Figure 3  | TGA thermograms of unirradiated PLST and PLST/PVA blends of different ratio   | 89   |
| Figure 4  | Rate of reaction curves of unirradiated PLST and PLST/PVA blends of different ratios  | 91   |
| Figure 5  | TGA thermograms of PLST/PVA blends of different ratios irradiated to different doses of gamma irradiation                                       | 92   |
| Figure 6  | Rate of reaction curves of PLST/PVA blends of different ratios irradiated to different doses of gamma irradiation                               | 93   |
| Figure 7  | DSC scans of unirradiated PLST and PLST/PVA blends of different compositions  | 96   |
| Figure 8  | DSC scans of PLST/PVA (90/10) blend irradiated to different doses   | 97   |
| Figure 9  | DSC scans PLST/PVA (80/20) blend irradiated to different doses  | 98   |
| Figure 10 | Effect of irradiation dose on the tensile strength at the break point for PLST/PVA blends of different compositions                             | 102  |

| Figure 11 | Effect of irradiation dose on elongation at the |     |
|-----------|---|-----|
|           | break point for PLST/PVA blends of different    |     |
|           | compositions                                    | 103 |
| Figure 12 | SEM micrographs of the surface fractures of     |     |
|           | unirradiated pure PLST and PLST/PVA             |     |
|           | blends of different ratios                      | 106 |
| Figure 13 | SEM micrographs of the surface fractures of     |     |
|           | PLST/PVA blends of different ratios exposed     |     |
|           | to different doses of gamma radiation           | 107 |
| Figure 14 | Photographs of untreated mango fruits;          |     |
|           | pictures were taken after 17 day at room        |     |
|           | temperature (27±3°C)                            | 113 |
| Figure 15 | Photographs of treated mango fruits with        |     |
|           | unirradiated PLST/PVA blends; pictures          |     |
|           | were taken after 17 day at room temperature     |     |
|           | (27±3°C)  | 114 |
| Figure 16 | Photographs of Mango fruits preserved by        |     |
|           | coating with gamma irradiated PLST/PVA          |     |
|           | blends; pictures were taken after 17 day at     |     |
|           | room temperature (27±3°C)                       | 115 |
| Figure 17 | Inhibitory effect of unirradiated PLST/PVA/     |     |
|           | chitosan solution against E.coli.               | 120 |
|           | cintosan solution against E.con.                |     |
| Figure 10 | Inhibitory effect of irradiated PLST/PVA/       |     |
| Figure 18 | ·   | 121 |
|           | chitosan solution against E.coli.               | 141 |
|           |   |     |
| Figure 19 | Inhibitory effect of unirradiated PLST/PVA/     |     |
|           | chitosan solution against E.coli.               | 122 |
|           | emitosum solution against 2.com                 |     |
| E'. 20    | C. III.   |     |
| Figure 20 | Swelling behaviour in water at room             | 100 |
|           | temperature (pH=7) of PLST/CMC blends of        | 126 |
|           | different compositions exposed to various       |     |
|           | doses of gamma irradiation                      |     |

| Figure 21 | TGA thermograms of unirradiated pure         | 128 |
|-----------|--|-----|
|           | PLST and PLST/CMC blends of different        |     |
|           | compositions                                 |     |
|           | _  |     |
| Figure 22 | Rate of thermal decomposition reaction       |     |
|           | (dw/dt) of unirradiated pure PLST and        | 130 |
|           | PLST/CMC blends of different compositions    |     |
| Figure 23 | TGA thermograms of PLST/CMC blends of        |     |
|           | different compositions exposed to various    | 131 |
|           | doses of gamma radiation                     |     |
| Figure 24 | Rate of thermal decomposition reaction       |     |
|           | (dw/dt) PLST/CMC blends of different         | 133 |
|           | compositions exposed to various doses of     |     |
|           | gamma radiation                              |     |
| Figure 25 | DSC thermograms of unirradiated              |     |
|           | PLST/CMC blends of different ratios          | 136 |
| Figure 26 | DSC thermograms of unirradiated              |     |
|           | PLST/CMC (80/20%) blends exposed to          | 137 |
|           | various doses of gamma irradiation           |     |
| Figure 27 | Stress-strain curves of unirradiated         |     |
|           | PLST/CMC blends of different ratios          | 140 |
|           |  |     |
| Figure 28 | Yield stress of PLST/CMC blends of different |     |
|           | ratios exposed                               | 141 |
|           |  |     |
| Figure 29 | Break stress of PLST/CMC blends of different |     |
|           | ratios exposed to various doses of gamma     | 142 |
|           | irradiation                                  |     |
| Figure 30 | Break stress of PLST/CMC blends of different |     |
|           | ratios exposed to various doses of gamma     | 143 |
|           | irradiation                                  |     |
| Figure 31 | Yield strain of PLST/CMC blends of different |     |
|           | ratios exposed to various doses of gamma     | 144 |
|           | irradiation                                  |     |
| Figure 32 | SEM micrographs of the surface fractures of  | 148 |

|           | unirradiated pure PLST and PLST/CMC         |     |
|-----------|---|-----|
|           | blends of different ratios                  |     |
| Figure 33 | SEM micrographs of the surface fractures of | 149 |
|           | PLST/CMC blends of different ratios exposed |     |
|           | to different doses of gamma radiation       |     |

# **List of Schemes**

| Scheme 1 | Proposed hydrogen bond formation between  | 104 |
|----------|---|-----|
|          | poly (vinyl alcohol) (PVA) and starch     |     |
| Scheme 2 | Proposed radicals formed on side chain of | 135 |
|          | CMC by ionizing radiation                 |     |
| Scheme 3 | Proposed hydrogen bond formation between  | 145 |
|          | carboxy methyl cellulose (CMC) and starch |     |

#### AIM OF THE WORK

Poly (vinyl alcohol) (PVA) is a non-toxic, water-soluble polymer which has good film forming and has a highly hydrophilic properties, while Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) is a polymer has a significant swelling capacity, non-toxicity, unique reactivation, hydrophilicity, molecular characteristics, and widely used in the pharmaceutical industry, Starch, on the other hand, is a naturally occurring polymer, low effective cost, and easy to handle. The blending of naturally occurring polymers like starch into other polymeric materials could provide biodegradable materials. In this regard polymer blend based essentially on incorporation of starch into the PVA, CMC matrix changes the physico-mechanical properties of the material and thus modifies the polymer structure at both the molecular and the morphological levels, irradiation of polymer blends can be used to crosslink or degrade the desired component polymer, or to fixate the blend morphology. The present work is undertaken to study the effect of gamma irradiation on the structure-property behavior of polymer blends based on various ratios of plasticized starch and poly (vinyl alcohol), plasticized starch and CMC. This study aims basically to use these blends in film industry as an edible coating material for food preservation.

# CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

### 1.1. Effect of Ionizing Radiation on Polymeric Materials

**Chmielewski** (2004) reported that the radiation technologies applying gamma sources and electron accelerators for material processing are well-established processes. The technologies to be developed besides environmental applications could be nanomaterials, structure engineering materials and natural polymers processing.

Wilson (1974) reported that the ionizing radiation covers different types of radiation, such as electromagnetic waves, X-rays and -rays from radioisotopes (cobalt-60 and cesium-137). Also -rays and electron beams generated by electron accelerators. Heavy particle radiations (e.g. alpha, accelerated deuteron and heavier ions) and neutron beams may be used for special purposes. It can be easily seen that there are generally undetectable differences in the effects produced by electrons and gamma rays at equal doses. Fast moving electrons lose their energy through electrostatic interaction with the electrons of irradiated medium. If energy transferred from the incident particles is higher than the binding energy of the electrons in the molecule, an electron may be ejected leaving behind a positively charged "ionized" molecule. If the amount of energy transferred to the molecule is less than its lowest ionization potential, electronic excitation may occurring giving rise to an excited state, which may or may not dissociate further into free radicals.

The two most common radiation types in industrial use are gamma and electron beam. The gamma facilities are mainly cobalt-60 (**Clough, 2001**). The advantages of gamma radiation over other types include the

fact that gamma rays are very penetrating. The technology is extremely simple, so there is low downtime for a gamma source. In addition, it is not sensitive to electricity prices. Gamma sources are most commonly used today for radiation sterilization of disposable plastic medical items (Halls, 1991).

Electron beam machines play a significant role in the processing of polymeric materials; a number of different machine designs and different energies are available. Industrial electron beam accelerators with energies in the 150–300 MeV range are used in applications where low penetration is needed, such as curing of surface coatings (Berejka, 1993). Accelerators operating in the 1.5 MeV range are used where more penetration is needed, as in the cross-linking of cable insulation. Highenergy commercial electron beams, operating in the 10 MeV range, are used for applications such as sterilization of boxes filled with disposable medical devices (**Descamps**, 1995). Electron beam machines have a highdose rate and therefore short processing times. While they have limited penetration compared with gamma, they conversely have good utilization of energy due to the following aspects: (1) all can be absorbed by the sample being irradiated. (2) Can be switched off when it is not in use. (3) They contain no radioactive isotope; this provides an advantage from a public acceptance standpoint. (4) No radioactive material is disposed off when the facility is decommissioned.

# 1.1.1. Interaction of Radiation with Polymeric Materials

**Bhattacharya** (2000) reported that radiation technology is preferred over the other conventional energy resources due to some reasons, e.g. large reactions as well as product quality can be controlled, saving energy