COMPARISON BETWEEN RECURRENCE RATES OF FIXATION VERSUS NON-FIXATION OF THE MESH IN CASES OF TAPP LAPAROSCOPIC REPAIR OF INGUINAL HERNIA

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of Doctorate Degree in General Surgery

By

Dr. Mourad Mohamed Fathey *M.B., B.Ch. M.Sc.*

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr.\ Mohamed Mustafa Marzouk

Professor of General Surgery
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr.\ Ayman Abdelaziz Abdelmoaty

Assistant Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr.\ Ehab Elsayed Abdelaziz

Lecturer of General Surgery
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2015





I would like to begin by thanking **ALLAH** for his guidance and protection, may this blessing always guide us.

Moreover I would like to express my sincere and profound gratitude to *Prof. Dr.\ Mohamed Mustafa Marzouk,* Professor of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his meticulous supervision, loyal encouragement and valuable advises throughout the work.

I wish to express deep appreciation to *Dr.\Ayman Abdelaziz*Abdelmoaty, Assistant Professor of General Surgery,

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his continuous guidance, unique supervision and kind care.

I am also grateful to **Dr.\ Ehab Elsayed Abdelaziz**, Iecturer of General Surgery, Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University, for his kind effort, assistance he offered me throughout the performance of this work.

Last but not least, I convey my thanks to my patients and to anyone who helped me to complete this work.

a. Mourad Mohamed Fathey



To my dear Father and Mother who supported me in my whole life

To my faithfull Wife and dear Kids who encouraged me to achieve my aims

🗷. Mourad Mohamed Fathey

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iii
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	4
Review of Literature	
I. Laparoscopie anatomy of inguinal hernia	5
II. Pathophysiology of inguinal hernia	42
III. Different surgical procedures for inguhernia repair	
IV. Operative techniques of TAPP repair	
Patients and Methods	81
Results	83
Discussion	91
Conclusion	99
Summary	101
References	104
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No). Title Page W	lo.
Table (1):	Aachen classification	.39
Table (2):	The European hernia society Clasification	.41
Table (3):	A classification of current repair techniques for inguinal	
	hernias	.51
Table (4):	Causes of recurrence	.74
Table (5):	Intraoperative complications	.77
Table (6):	Postoperative complications	.78
Table (7):	Descriptive statistics and results of chi-square and	
	Student's t-test for comparisons between demographic	
	data in the two groups.	.83
Table (8):	Presenting the age distribution in the non-fixation group	. 85
Table (9):	Presenting the age distribution in the fixation group	. 86
Table (10):	Descriptive statistics and results of testing for	
	significance and comparisons between the recurrences	
	rates after follow up in the two groups at 6 month and 12	
	month	. 89

List of Figures

Fig. M	9. Title Page No.	
Fig. (1):	Peritoneal Folds of the lower anterior Abdominal Wall, Posterior view	9
Fig. (2):	Schematic posterior aspect of the anterolateral abdominal wall and spermatic sheath in the inguinal region	. 18
Fig. (3):	Figure: Deep Inguinal vasculature	. 20
Fig. (4):	Nerves of inguinal region	. 24
Fig. (5):	Triangle of doom	. 28
Fig. (6):	Circle of death	.30
Fig. (7):	Laparoscopic view with the peritoneum removed	.31
Fig. (8):	External view of Myopectineal orifice of fruchaud	.36
Fig. (9):	Hesselbach's (Inguinal) Triangle Posterior view	. 37
Fig. (10):	Diagram showing structures that revealed after dissection of the peritoneum	. 38
Fig. (11):	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair	. 65
Fig. (12):	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair	. 65
Fig. (13):	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair	. 66
•	Peritoneal flap being developed using a transverse peritoneal incision placed above the hernial orifice	. 67
Fig. (15):	Close up of the medial end of the right mesh	. 68
Fig. (16):	The mesh completely spread out and fixed in place	. 69
Fig. (17):	Mesh in place without Staples	. 69
	Laparoscopic view of right inguinal region pre and post laparoscopic TAPP repair	. 70
Fig. (19):	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair	.70
Fig. (20):	Chart presenting the distribution of patients in different age groups in the non-fixation group.	. 84

Tist of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. M	o. Title	Page No.
Fig. (21):	Chart presenting the distribution of patients in d groups in the fixation group.	_
Fig. (22):	Chart comparing the type of hernia of the two g	roups87
Fig. (23):	Chart presenting the different presentations of h fixation group.	
Fig. (24):	Chart presenting the different presentations of hon-fixation group.	
Fig. (25):	Chart comparing side of hernia of the two studies	ed groups88
Fig. (26):	Chart presenting comparison between the resultup of the two groups after 12 month	

INTRODUCTION

The surgical history of inguinal hernias dates back to ancient Egypt (*Lau*, 2002). The Egyptians (1500 BC), the Phoenicians (900 BC), and the Ancient Greeks (Hippocrates, 400 BC) diagnosed hernia. During this period a number of devices and operative techniques have been recorded. Attempted repair was usually accompanied by castration, and strangulation was usually a death sentence. The word "hernia" is derived from the Greek (Hernios), meaning a bud or shoot (*Read*, 1984).

Accounting for 75% of all abdominal wall hernias, and with a lifetime risk of 27% in men and 3% in women, inguinal hernia repair is one of the most commonly performed surgeries in the world (*Jenkins and O'Dwyer*, 2008).

From Bassini's heralding of the modern era to today's mesh-based open and laparoscopic repairs, this history parallels closely the evolution in anatomical understanding and development of the techniques of general surgery (*Gray et al.*, 2008).

Five principles of modern hernia repair developed through these periods of development: antiseptic/aseptic hernia operation, high ligation of the sac, tightening of the internal ring, reconstruction of the posterior inguinal floor, and tensionless

repair. Interestingly, many of the initial attempts at laparoscopic hernia repair did not adhere to the recognized principles of hernia surgery learned from open surgery. It is only when the trans-abdominal preperitoneal mesh repair and the totally extraperitoneal approach, which adhere to the basic principles, are considered that the results of laparoscopic hernia repair procedures can improve and the recurrence of hernia decrease (Lau, 2002).

In 1975, researchers introduced the use of a large unsutured polyester mesh prosthesis placed in the preperitoneal space for inguinal hernia repair. Different stapling devices have been used to secure this mesh, and the most common complication of the procedure is nerve damage secondary to the staples. The necessity of stapling has never been demonstrated (George et al., 1999).

The laparoscopic trans-abdominal pre-peritoneal (TAPP) repair was a revolutionary concept in the hernia surgery and was introduced by Arregui et al. (1992) and Dion & Morin (1992) in the early 1990s. As a result laparoscopic herniorraphy, with advantages related to postoperative pain, earlier return to work and normal activities, and an improved quality of life in the postoperative period has emerged as an effective alternative to repairs. With comparable recurrence open complications in experienced hands (Rajinder et al., 2005). Cost concerns remain the main caveat in extending its benefits



unhindered to the general population (Voyles et al., 2002).

Conventionally, in a laparoscopic hernia repair, staples or tacks are used to fix the mesh to avoid mesh migration and consequent recurrence. However, the staplers besides being expensive are also associated with complications (Khajanchee et al., 2001). Thus; unstapled laparoscopic hernia repair has emerged as a preferable alternative. It avoids the complications associated with stapling and has attractive economic logistics, because a significant bulk of the cost consumed in laparoscopic repairs is reflected in the need for a stapler (Farinas and Griffen, 2000).

Controversy exists regarding whether it is necessary to secure the mesh prosthesis during laparoscopic transabdominal preperitoneal (TAPP) inguinal hernia repair. It is unknown whether stapling the mesh affects recurrence rate (Smith, 1999).

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this work is to compare the recurrence rate between fixation and non fixation of the mesh in cases of TAPP (trans-abdominal preperitoneal) laparoscopic repair of inguinal hernia.

LAPAROSCOPIC ANATOMY OF THE INGUINAL REGION

"I know more than 100 surgeons whom I would cheerfully allow to remove my gall bladder but only one to whom I would like to expose my inguinal canal." William Heneage Ogilvie 1887–1971.

"No disease of the human body, belonging to the province of the surgeon, requires in its treatment a better combination of accurate anatomical knowledge with surgical skill than hernia in all its variants." (*Cooper*, 1807).

Since laparoscopy has been used in the treatment of patient with inguinal hernia, new interest has developed in the anatomy of inguinal region of the posterior aspect of the abdominal wall. Anatomists and laparoscopists have published interesting articles on the surgical anatomy of this region, which they call the laparoscopic inguinal anatomy (*Claude*, 2000).

Inguinal anatomy as viewed through the laparoscope is unfamiliar to most surgeons (*Spaw et al., 1991*).

A safe and successful outcome of any surgical procedure is dependent upon the clear understanding of the surgical anatomy of the relevant area.

The laparoscopic view of the groin anatomy is quite different:

- The laparoscopic view is essentially a posterior approach view. Most surgeons are well versed and used to the anterior approach. Changing to a laparoscopic approach needs knowledge of the posterior approach.
- Certain structures that are clearly visible during the open approach (such as the ilioinguinal nerve, inguinal ligament, pubic tubercle and lacunar ligament) are not as clearly visible with the laparoscope
- Conversely structures that would require additional dissection during an open approach (such as Cooper's ligament and the ilio-pubic tract) are clearly visible with a laparoscope.
- The laparoscopic view is a 'virtual view' with a '2dimensional' handicap. There is the additional loss of tactile feedback (Brick et al., 1995).

Guidelines for the performance of a safe and secure laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair mandate the following structures should be clearly identified:

- Cooper's ligament
- The Epigastric vessels
- The spermatic cord or the round ligament
- The femoral canal and the iliac vessels



In addition, the laparoscopic anatomical distinction between direct, indirect and femoral hernias should be well understood (Rosenberger et al., 2000).

The peritoneal landmarks

Since the growth and development of the laparoscopic method for treating groin hernia an increased attention is being paid to 'pure anatomy' issues such as the infraumbilical fossae. These types of fossae have two important roles:

- The fossae delineate the sites of groin herniation. 1.
- They are an essential landmark for orientation during hernia repairs.

The fossae are created by the presence of peritoneal folds, which radiate from the umbilicus or umbilical area.

Median Umbilical Ligament

This ligament ascends within the median plane in the apex of the bladder towards the umbilicus. It represents the obliterated allantoic duct and its lower part may be the site for the unusual urachal cyst.

It is the initial landmark that must be recognized. With the recognition of this landmark, we have established the medial boundary of our dissection. You cannot dissect medial to this structure without risking injury to the bladder.