

**EFFECT OF FORMULATION TYPE AND
PRODUCT SOURCE ON THE RESIDUE
LEVELS OF SOME PESTICIDES**

By

RANIA MOHAMED ABD-EL-HAMID

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (pesticides), Ain Shams University, 2003

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (pesticides), Ain Shams University, 2008

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
the requirements for the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

in
**Agricultural Science
(Pesticides)**

**Department of Plant Protection
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2013

تأثير نوع المستحضر ومصدر المنتج على مستويات متبقيات بعض المبيدات

رسالة مقدمة من

رانيا محمد عبد الحميد أحمد منصور

بكالوريوس علوم زراعية (مبيدات آفات) ، جامعة عين شمس ، 2003

ماجستير علوم زراعية (مبيدات آفات) ، جامعة عين شمس ، 2008

للحصول على

درجة دكتور فلسفة في العلوم الزراعية

(مبيدات آفات)

قسم وقاية النبات

جامعة عين شمس - كلية الزراعة

2013

**EFFECT OF FORMULATION TYPE AND
PRODUCT SOURCE ON THE RESIDUE
LEVELS OF SOME PESTICIDES**

By

RANIA MOHAMED ABD-EL-HAMID

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (pesticides), Ain Shams University, 2003

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (pesticides), Ain Shams University, 2008

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Azza Kamal Abd-EL Rahman Emam.

Prof. of Economic Entomology, Head of Dept. of Plant Protection,
Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Administrative
Supervisor)

Dr. Walaa Mohamed Abd El-Ghany.

Associate Prof. of Pesticides Chemistry, Department of Plant
Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Nevien Salah El- Dein.

Head Researcher of pesticides, Pesticide Residue and Environmental
Pollution Dept., Central Agricultural Pesticides Laboratory,
Agriculture Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture and Land
Reclamation

Approval Sheet

**EFFECT OF FORMULATION TYPE AND
PRODUCT SOURCE ON THE RESIDUE
LEVELS OF SOME PESTICIDES**

By

RANIA MOHAMED ABD-EL-HAMID

B. Sc. Agric. Sc. (pesticides), Ain Shams University, 2003

M. Sc. Agric. Sc. (pesticides), Ain Shams University, 2008

This thesis for PhD. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Yehia Abd-El Hamid Ibrahim.....

Professor Emeritus of Pesticides, Faculty of Agriculture, Asuit
University.

Dr. Mohamed Ibrahim Abd-El Meged.....

Professor Emeritus of Pesticide Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture,
Ain Shams University.

Dr. Walaa Mohamed Abd El-Ghany

Associate Professor of Pesticides Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture,
Ain Shams University.

Dr. Azza Kamal Abd-EL Rahman Emam.....

Professor of Economic Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain
Shams University.

Date of Examination: 30 / 7 / 2013

صفحة الموافقة على الرسالة

تأثير نوع المستحضر ومصدر المنتج على مستويات متبقيات بعض المبيدات

رسالة مقدمة من

رانيا محمد عبد الحميد أحمد منصور

بكالوريوس علوم زراعية (مبيدات آفات) ، جامعة عين شمس ، 2003

ماجستير علوم زراعية (مبيدات آفات) ، جامعة عين شمس ، 2008

للحصول على

درجة دكتورفلسفة في العلوم الزراعية

(مبيدات آفات)

وقد تمت مناقشة الرسالة و الموافقة عليها

اللجنة:

د. يحيى عبد الحميد إبراهيم

أستاذ كيمياء المبيدات المتفرغ ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة أسيوط.

د. محمد إبراهيم عبد المجيد

أستاذ كيمياء المبيدات المتفرغ ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة عين شمس

د. ولاء محمد عبد الغنى السيد

أستاذ كيمياء المبيدات المساعد ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة عين شمس.

د. عزة كمال عبد الرحمن إمام السيد

أستاذ الحشرات الإقتصادية، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة عين شمس.

تاريخ الإمتحان: 2013 / 7 /30

جامعة عين شمس

كلية الزراعة

رسالة دكتوراه

اسم الطالبة : رانيا محمد عبد الحميد أحمد منصور

عنوان الرسالة : تأثير نوع المستحضر ومصدر المنتج على مستويات متبقيات بعض المبيدات

اسم الدرجة: دكتور فلسفة في العلوم الزراعية (مبيدات آفات)

لجنة الإشراف:

د. عزة كمال عبد الرحمن إمام

أستاذ الحشرات الإقتصادية، رئيس قسم وقاية النبات ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة عين شمس.

(مشرف إدارى)

د. ولاء محمد عبد الغنى

أستاذ كيمياء المبيدات المساعد ، قسم وقاية النبات ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعة عين شمس

د. نيفين صلاح الدين أحمد

رئيس بحوث بالمعمل المركزى للمبيدات ، قسم بحوث متبقيات المبيدات و تلوث البيئة ،

مركز البحوث الزراعية

تاريخ التسجيل 2 / 2 / 2009

الدراسات العليا

أجيزت الرسالة بتاريخ

2013 / /

موافقة مجلس الجامعة

2013 / /

ختم الإجازة

موافقة مجلس الكلية

2013 / /

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Many thanks and praise be to great Allah most gracious who shined my way and supported me with patience and perseverance to fulfill this humble work.

I wish to express my deep gratitude to Prof. **Dr. Zidan Hendy Abd EL-Hamid**, (God bless his soul) Prof. of Pesticides Chemistry, Dept. of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. for his Supervision, guidance, useful criticism, valuable help and his efforts to fulfill this work. For his interest and efforts on my behalf, I am extremely grateful.

I wish to express my appreciation and deep thanks to **Prof. Dr. Azza Kamal Abd-EL Rahman Emam**. Prof. of Economic Entomology, Head of Dept. of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for her Administrative Supervisor, guidance, advice, encouragement, and kind assistance in completing this work.

My Sincere appreciation and deep gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Walaa Mohamed Abd El-Ghany** Associate Prof. of Pesticides Chemistry, Dept. of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University. for his sharing in supervision, kind unfailing help throughout this work, advice and encouragement in carrying out this work.

No words can adequately express my deep appreciation and gratitude to **Dr. Nevien Salah El- Dein**, Head Researcher of Pesticides, Central Agriculture Research, for her supervision constructive criticism,

valuable advice and help, continuous encouragement, cooperation, and kind assistance.

I wish to express my appreciation and deep thanks to **Prof. Dr.Mohamed Ibrahim Abd-El Meged**. Professor Emeritus of Pesticide Chemistry, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his guidance, advice, encouragement, and kind assistance in completing this work.

Special thanks for all staff members of Pesticide Residues and Environmental Pollution Department, Central Agric. Pesticides Lab., Agric. Research Center, Ministry of Agric.

Finally my sincere thapnks that I feel indebted to my mother, father, husband, brother, sister, friends and all colleagues whose contributed and supported me in any way to fulfill this work.

ABSTRACT

Rania Mohammed Abd- El-Hamid- Effect of Formulation Type and Product Source on Residue Levels of Some Pesticides. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2013.

Different solvents with different polarities were tested to determine the most efficient one for extraction of pesticides sprayed on tomato fruit samples. The pesticides used were difenoconazole, fenpyroximate, imidacloprid and profenofos. The results of this study show that the proposed method (quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged, and safe) QuEChERS methodology has proven successful for extraction of all pesticides used on tomato fruits contrary to other conventional extraction methods, since it is rapid, simple, sensitive and uses small volumes of solvents, making it less hazardous to human health and the environment.

Recommended application was done by spray regime of two pesticides (fenpyroximate and imidacloprid) using different types of formulations on tomato fruits for two consecutive years (2009-2010) at Kalubia governorate. Data indicated the great influence of different formulation types on the residue levels on tomato fruits. Fenpyroximate when used as EC formulation type showed higher persistence compared to another formulation type SC at all intervals during the two studied seasons. The obtained pre-harvest intervals (PHI's) were 14 and 20 days after application in 2009 for SC, EC pesticide formulation type, respectively. While in 2010, the PHI's were 14 days for SC and 22 days for EC. The initial deposits between the four types of imidacloprid formulations (SC, SL, WP and WDG) were attributed to the variation in the physical and chemical properties of the formulations. As expected the degradation rates of SC formulation type was more than that in other

formulation types during the rest of the experiment. This indicated that corresponding and recommended pre-harvest intervals (PHI) of 6 days for SC, 24 days for WDG, 16 days for SL and 22 days for WP formulation type in the season of 2009. On the other hand, in 2010 PHI's were 5, 23, 13 and 21 days for the same formulation types, respectively.

In addition, this work aimed to study the effect of different sources of the same commercial products (profenofos 72% EC) that was recently collected from local market due to evidence of presence of product residues on tomato fruits. Data revealed that the tested commercial profenofos formulation samples incurred some changes in physical and chemical properties. These changes affected the formulations when sprayed on tomato fruits and caused differences in pesticide residues on tomato. This indicated that the three formulation sources for the same active ingredient had different PHI values. Only 8 days were enough to reach the safe level for harvesting tomato fruits after spraying profenofos insecticide (sources 1 and 2) during the two years of the study, while 4 and 2 days were the safe level for harvesting after treatment with source 3 in the years 2009 and 2010, respectively.

On the other hand, three types of difenoconazole formulations 3% were prepared in laboratory (EC, EW and OD) and their residual effect on tomato fruits was studied. The physico-chemical properties revealed that OD had the highest viscosity and the lowest surface tension. There were differences in all the parameters in this study between the three types of formulations. OD formulation type showed the highest residues on tomato fruits at all intervals, on the other hand EW recorded the lowest residue deposit levels, whereas EC showed intermediate residue levels.

Key words: Pesticide formulations, Difenoconazole, Imidacloprid, Fenpyroximate, Profenofos, Physico-chemical properties, Tomato fruits, Residues.

CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. REVIEW OF LITRERATUERS.....	6
1. Pesticide formulation	6
2. Pesticide residue.....	15
2.1. Methods of pesticide residue analysis.....	15
2.1.1. The traditional methods of pesticide residue analysis.....	15
2.1.2. New technique methods of pesticide residue analysis.....	17
2.2.. Pesticide residue analysis for tested pesticides.....	24
2.2.1. Difenoconazole.....	24
2.2.2. Fenptroximate.....	27
2.2.3. Imidacloprid.....	29
2.2.4. Profenofos.....	35
III. MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	43
1. Pesticides used.....	44
1.1. Difenoconazole.....	44
1.2. Fenptroximate.....	45
1.3. Imidacloprid.....	46
1.4. Profenofos.....	47
2. Experimental work.....	48
2.1. Residue analysis.....	48
2.1.1. Sampling.....	48
2.1.2. Sub-sampling.....	48
2.1.3. Extraction and clean-up processes.....	48
3. Extraction and clean-up processes.....	48
3.1. Traditional extraction and clean-up methods.....	48
3.1.1. The extraction and clean-up process for difenoconazole	48

	by M.W.H.C.A., Netherlands method.....	
3.1.2.	The extraction and clean-up process for fenpyroximate..	49
3.1.3.	The extraction and clean-up process for imidacloprid.....	50
3.1.3.1.	Procedure A: Extraction with methanol.....	50
3.1.3.2.	Procedure B: Extraction with acetone.....	51
3.1.3.3.	Procedure C: Extraction with ethyl acetate.....	52
3.1.4.	The extraction and clean-up process for profenofos.....	52
3.1.4.1.	The extraction and clean-up process for profenofos by M.W.H.C.A., Netherlands method.....	52
3.1.4.2.	The extraction and clean-up process for profenofos by Luke methods.....	53
3.2.	New technique QuEChERS methods of pesticide residue analysis.....	54
4.	Physico-chemical properties.....	56
4.1.	Viscosity.....	56
4.2.	Surface tension.....	56
4.3.	Density.....	56
4.4.	Specific gravity.....	56
4.5.	Refractive index.....	56
4.6.	Flash point.....	56
5.	Determination of active ingredient.....	56
5.1.	Difenoconazole by GLC.....	56
5.2.	Fenpyroximate by HPLC.....	57
5.3.	Imidacloprid by HPLC.....	57
5.4.	Profenofos by GLC.....	58
6.	Preparation of some difenoconazole 3% formulation types (EC, EW and OD) under lab conditions.....	58
6.1.	Preparation of difenoconazole 3% EC.....	58

6.2.	Preparation of difenoconazole 3% EW.....	59
6.3.	Preparation of difenoconazole 3% OD.....	59
7.	Statistical analysis.....	60
IV.	RESULTS AND DISSCQSION.....	61
	Part I. Comparison study between the traditional extraction methods and new technique QuEChERS methods.....	61
1.	Difenoconazole.....	61
2.	Fenpyroximate.....	62
3.	Imidacloprid.....	63
4.	Profenofos.....	65
	Part II: Effect of different types of formulations containing the same active ingredient on the residue levels of pesticides on tomato fruits.....	67
1.	Persistence of Fenpyroximate (SC, EC) on tomato fruits.	67
2.	Persistence of imidacloprid with four different types of formulation on / in tomato fruits.....	74
	Part III: Effect of different sources of the same commercial products on residue levels on tomato fruits..	82
1.	Physical parameter.....	82
2.	Chemical parameter.....	84
3.	Persistence of profenofos 72% EC on tomato fruits.	86
	Part IV: The relationship between pre-harvest intervals (PHI) and some formulation types prepared under lab conditions.....	94
1.	Physical parameter.....	94
2.	Persistence of difenoconazole 3% (EW,EC and OD) formulation types.....	96

V.	SUMMARY.....	101
VI.	REFERENCES.....	112
VII.	ARABIC SUMMARY.....	