



Elective Versus Emergency Caesarean Section

Thesis

Submitted for the Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree
in Obstetrics and Gynecology

By

Magdy Abd-Elzaher Mahmoud

M.B., B.Ch., 2005

Resident of Obstetrics and Gynecology,
Benha Health Insurance Hospital

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Amro Salah El-Din El-housieny

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Sherif Hanafi Hussain

Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University**

2014



First of all, thanks to Allah whose magnificent help was the main factor in completing this work,

Words stand short when coming to express my deep gratitude and great thanks to Prof. Amro Salah El-Din El Housieny Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Ain Shams University.

I am deeply grateful to Dr. Sherif Hanafi Hussain Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology Ain Shams University.

I also wish to express my deep gratitude and thanks to all my dear professors, my colleagues and my family.

I would like to thank all the patients in the study and thanks to all staff at Ain Shams Maternity Hospital.

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالَ

سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا
إِلَّا مَا عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ
الْعَلِيمُ الْعَظِيمُ

صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة الآية: ٢٢

Contents

Subjects	Page
List of abbreviations.....	II
List of Figures	IV
List of Tables.....	VI
• Introduction	1
• Aim of the work	5
• Review of Literature	
♦ Chapter (I): Caesarean Section	6
♦ Chapter (II): Maternal and neonatal Morbidity	37
♦ Chapter (3): Reducing Caesarean Section Rates	84
• Patients and Methods	90
• Results	98
• Discussion	116
• Summary and Conclusions	126
• Recommendations	130
• References	131
• Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

ACOG	: American college of obstetric and gynecology.
AD	: Anno domini.
BC	: Before christ.
BMI	: Body mass index.
C.S	: Caesarean section.
CDMR	: Cesarean delivery on maternal request.
CPD	: Cephalopelvic disproportion.
CTG	: Cardio-tocography.
DIC	: Disseminated intravascular coagulation.
DVT	: Deep venous thrombosis.
FHR	: fetal heart rate.
HIE	: Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy.
HIV	: Human immune deficiency virus.
ICU	: Intensive care unit.
LBW	: Low-birth-weight.
LMWH	: Low molecular weight heparin.
NICE	: National institute for clinical excellence.
NICU	: Neonatal intensive care unit.
PROM	: Prelabor rupture of membrane.
RCOG	: Royal college of obstetricians and gynaecologists.
RCT	: Randomized controlled trial
RDS	: Respiratory distress syndrome.

 List of Abbreviations

.....

SMD	: Standard mean deviation.
TNN	: Transient tachypnea of the newborn.
TOL	: Trial of labour.
VBAC	:Vaginal birth after caesarean section.
VD	:Vaginal delivery.
WHO	:World health organization.

List of Figures

No.	Figure	Page
<u>1</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard age.	98
<u>2</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard gestational age.	99
<u>3</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard adequate fasting state and presence of uncontrolled medical disorder.	101
<u>4</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard presence of uncontrolled medical disorder.	101
<u>5</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard amount of blood loss.	103
<u>6</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard anesthesia complication.	103
<u>7</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard uterine wound extension.	104
<u>8</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard Blood transfusion .	106
<u>9</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard post-operative anemia.	106

 List of Figures

<u>No.</u>	<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
<u>10</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard minor wound infection.	108
<u>11</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard urinary tract infection.	110
<u>12</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard respiratory tract infection.	110
<u>13</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard fetal life.	111
<u>14</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard neonatal respiratory problem.	113
<u>15</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard Neonatal intensive care unit admission.	113

List of Tables

<u>No.</u>	<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
	Tables in Review of Literature	
<u>1-1</u>	Number and rate of Caesarean deliveries .	26
<u>1-2</u>	Indication for caesarean delivery from the maternal fetal medicine units network.	32
<u>1-3</u>	Criteria for establishment of feral maturity.	33
<u>2-1</u>	Prevention of urinary tract injuries during caesarean section.	55
<u>2-2</u>	Rate of uterine rupture according to type and location of previous uterine incision.	67
<u>2-3</u>	Rates of selected neonatal outcomes of term infant delivered by spontaneous vaginal delivery, assisted vaginal delivery and caesarean delivery with and without labor, in Nova Scotia, 1988 – 2002.	80
<u>3-1</u>	Factors that may modify the success of external cephalic version.	86
<u>3-2</u>	Recommended counseling points for women with a prior caesarean delivery.	88
<u>3-3</u>	some factors for consideration in selection of candidates for vaginal birth after caesarean delivery (VBAC).	89

 List of Tables

<u>No.</u>	<u>Table</u>	<u>Page</u>
	Tables in Results	
<u>1</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard age.	98
<u>2</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard gestational age.	99
<u>3</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard adequate fasting state and presence of uncontrolled medical disorder.	100
<u>4</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard intra-operative complications.	102
<u>5</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard Blood transfusion and post operative anemia.	105
<u>6</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency cesarean patients as regard post-operative complications.	107
<u>7</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard urinary and respiratory tract infection.	109
<u>8</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard fetal life.	111
<u>9</u>	Comparison between elective and emergency caesarean patients as regard neonatal injury, respiratory problem and admission in NICU.	112

Introduction

Delivery of the baby by an abdominal and uterine incision is known as CS. It is increasingly being used for safe delivery, for fetal and maternal reasons either elective or as an emergency. It is done after the age of viability.

A similar operation performed before the age of viability is called hysterotomy. Over the years anaesthesia has become safer, complications are extremely rare due to availability of experienced anesthetist and most CS is being performed under regional anaesthesia. The increased safety of blood transfusion, improved aseptic, antiseptic techniques and the use of antibiotics has made it a safe procedure .The incidence of CS varies between 10 and 25% in most developed countries.

Worldwide increase in CS rate has become an international public health concern. The rates have increased from 5-7% in 1970 to 25-30% in 2003 (**Christilaw, 2006**).

There is a large variation in the rates of cesarean, both in high and low income countries, as well as between different institutions within these countries (**Althabe et al, 2006**).

In Egypt, a significant rise in C.S deliveries occurred for all births, from a low of 4.6 % in 1992 to 10.3 % in 2000.

However hospital-based C.S was much higher in 1987-1988 13.9 % increasing to 22% in 1999-2000 (**Khawaja et al., 2004**)

Concern about the rising rate of CS is based predominantly on an increase in maternal mortality and morbidity compared to vaginal delivery (VD), consequences for subsequent pregnancies and deliveries, neonatal respiratory morbidity, and cost implications (**Penn et al., 2001**).

Caesarean section in developing countries is associated with significant increases in maternal morbidity particularly following elective cesarean section (**Oladapo et al., 2007**) and caesarean section without medical indications increases in infant morbidity and mortality in developing countries (**MacDorman et al., 2006**).

However, in low income countries, very low cesarean rates (less than 1%) have been associated with higher maternal and infant mortality linked to the inability to perform a caesarean section when needed (**Ronsmans et al., 2006**).

The principle indications for C.S delivery: dystocia, suspected fetal compromise, mal presentation, prior C.S and others: placental disorders, multi fetal gestation, maternal medical/ physiological conditions (**Department of Health, Western Australia, 2002**).

These medical indications changed over time according to their frequency as, in 1980s the most frequent indication for cesarean section was fetal distress (14.35%) followed by cephalopelvic disproportion (13.99%) and mal position (13.99%). In 2001 fetal distress was still most frequent (18.57%) but followed by pregnant woman diseases (14.07%) and mal position (12.45%). Placenta previa decreased from 2.49% to 0.57% and cephalopelvic disproportion decreased from 13.99% to 8.76% (**Krychowska et al., 2004**).

Maternal request for CS has also been widely documented. According to a recent estimate between 4% and 11% of caesarean deliveries worldwide are performed following maternal request in the absence of medical indication (**National Institutes of Health, 2006**).

Studies found that long labour and excessive bleeding around delivery didn't increase the likelihood of having a caesarean section (**Khawaja et al., 2004**).

Interventions aimed at reducing high caesarean rates and inappropriate caesarean practices have involved external cephalic version for breech presentation (**Hofmeyr et al., 2000**), encouraging vaginal birth after CS (**Liang et al., 2004**), limitation of induction of labour before 41 weeks of gestation (**St. Luke's, 2007**), counseling of the mother who requests a CS

in the absence of an identifiable reason (**RCOG, Clinical Guideline, 2004**).

A mandatory second opinion for non emergency caesarean section could also prevent 22 caesarean sections for every 1,000 women in labour without harmful effects to the baby or the mother, the physician had to obtain a second opinion from another physician of equal or higher clinical status. The consulting physician applied evidence-based guidelines and discussed the case with the attending physician, who made the final decision (**Villar et al., 2004**).

Aim of the Work

To compare the rate of complications encountered between patients of elective caesarean section and patients for whom emergency caesarean section was performed.