

# **SINGLE INCISION LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY**

## **" REVIEW STUDY "**

Essay

*Submitted for partial Fulfillment of M.Sc. Degree*

*In General Surgery*

By

**Mohammed Abolwafa El-Shazly**

(M.B.B.Ch)

*Cairo University*

**Under supervision of**

**Prof. Dr. Faheem Ali El-Basioni**

*Professor of General Surgery*

*Faculty of Medicine – Cairo University*

**Prof. Dr. Mohammed Yousef Ezz Eldein**

*Professor of General Surgery*

*Faculty of Medicine – Cairo University*

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

2012

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ



صدق الله العظيم

سورة البقرة آية ٢١

*To my family*

*To those who back up and tolerate me despite all difficulties*

*To those who provide me with their encouragement*

*Mohammed*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, praise be to **ALLAH** for his help and generosity and giving me the strength and confidence to finish this work .

I would like to express my immense appreciation and gratitude to Prof. Dr. **Faheem Ali El-Basioni** for his kind support and advice throughout this work till its end.

My most sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr **Mohammed Yousef Ezz Eldein** for his continued advice and encouragement throughout this work till its final form.

*Mohammed Elshazly*

## **Abstract**

**Key Words :** - *laparoscopic surgery; Single incision ; Innovation  
technique ; ergonomics*

Single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS) is a “new” method to perform “old” operations. This review will discuss the history of laparoscopic surgery , Innovation in laparoscopic surgery as a general consideration, Evolution of SILS, Clinical Anatomy of the Umbilicus, basic technique of SILS, and its potential advantages and possible disadvantages. We will overview the Ergonomic principles in SILS in contrast to basic ergonomics in conventional laparoscopic surgery and the scope of SILS.

# CONTENTS

## Page

1. Introduction .....	1
2. Aim of Work .....	5
3. History of laparoscopic surgery .....	7
4. Innovation in laparoscopic surgery " General Consideration " ....	15
5. Evolution of single incision laparoscopic surgery .....	29
6. Clinical Anatomy of the Umbilicus .....	35
7. Basic Technique of SILS .....	41
8. Potential Advantages and Possible Disadvantages of SILS.....	50
9. Basic Ergonomics in Conventional Laparoscopic Surgery .....	57
10. Ergonomic principles in SILS.....	65
11. Scope of single incision laparoscopic surgery .....	84
12. Conclusion and Recommendation .....	89
13. Summary .....	91
14. References .....	94
15. Arabic Summary .....	105

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAGL	American Association of Gynecologic Laparoscopists
CO2	Carbon dioxide
CVS	critical view of safety
E-NOTES	embryonic natural orifice transumbilical endoscopic surgery
ICSAD	Imperial College Surgical Assessment Device
IOC	intraoperative Cholangiography
LC	Laparoscopic cholecystectomy
LCD	Liquid Crystal Display
LESSS	Laparo-Endoscopic Single Site Surgery
MIS	minimally invasive surgery
NOTES	Natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery
NOTUS	natural orifice transumbilical surgery
OT	Operative table
S3	Single Site Surgery
SAS	Single-Access Surgery
SAVES	Single-Access Video Endoscopic Surgery
SILC	single-incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy
SILS	single-incision laparoscopic surgery
SIMPL	Single-incision, multiport laparoscopy
SLIT	single laparoscopic incision transabdominal
SPA	single-port access
TM	Trade Mark
TUES	Trans Umbilical Endoscopic Surgery

## LIST OF FIGURES

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
<b>Figure 1</b>	Zahrawi instruments book ( known as Albucasis in refer to his first name Abol Qassem )	8
<b>Figure 2</b>	Veress needle	9
<b>Figure 3</b>	Modern Laparoscopic instruments	14
<b>Figure 4</b>	Graphic representation of disruptive versus sustaining change	19
<b>Figure 5</b>	Graphic representation of expanding versus refining innovation period	21
<b>Figure 6</b>	Human "innie" navel, Horizontal (Right) and Vertical (Left)	39
<b>Figure 7</b>	Outie (Right) and Round Navel (Left)	39
<b>Figure 8</b>	Dirt accumulation inside the navel	40
<b>Figure 9</b>	Umbilical incision for port placement	44
<b>Figure 10</b>	Umbilical port placement	45
<b>Figure 11</b>	Cross-triangulation	46
<b>Figure 12</b>	Puppetry traction with sutures	48
<b>Figure 13</b>	The "chin up" position when the monitor is too high	60
<b>Figure 14</b>	Azimuth angle	61
<b>Figure 15</b>	Manipulation angle	62
<b>Figure 16</b>	Elevation angle	62
<b>Figure 17</b>	Off-axis operating	63

## LIST OF FIGURES " continued "

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
<b>Figure 18</b>	Cross working hand in SILS	69
<b>Figure 19</b>	The outside cross and the inside cross working in SILS	69
<b>Figure 20</b>	Triangulation in conventional laparoscopy and crowdedness in SILS	72
<b>Figure 21</b>	The original R-Port	74
<b>Figure 22</b>	(a) SILS Port (TM), (b) Gelport, (c) SSLAS and X-Cone (d) Airseal, (e) Octoport and (f) Spider system	75
<b>Figure 23</b>	Placement of wound protector and Placement of surgical glove port	76
<b>Figure 24</b>	Placement of instruments of surgical glove port	77
<b>Figure 25</b>	Sword fighting or chopsticks effect	80

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>Table 1</b>	Landmark series of single-incision laparoscopic surgery.	34
<b>Table 2</b>	Comparison between single port and conventional laparoscopic surgery	55
<b>Table 3</b>	Tackling problems in single-site surgery	56

# **INTRODUCTION**

## INTRODUCTION

For many years, large incisions were required to perform abdominal surgical procedures. Although effective, multiple morbidities were associated with this method, including postoperative pain, wound infection, incisional hernia, and prolonged hospitalization. The current rate of wound infection is 2 to 25 percent, and occurrence of incisional hernia is 4 to 18 percent in US patients ( *McGee et al., 2006*).

Some surgeons' perceptions that complications and morbidities were associated with the size and extent of the incision led them to minimize their incision length. Laparoscopy, prevalent in gynecologic surgery for many years, was widely introduced to the general surgical domain with laparoscopic cholecystectomy more than 20 years ago. By making much smaller incisions that were protected by a port, there was a great reduction in incision-related complications. There was faster postoperative recovery, pain reduction, less need for narcotics, respiratory function improvement, decrease in infection and hernias, and better overall cosmesis. However, with the introduction of a new technique came a price ( *Hochberger et al., 2005*).

Before the safety of the technique could be verified and standardized, the procedure was introduced with haste, causing higher rates of common bile duct injury and other complications. Over time, the complication rates decreased and advantages of minimal, small incisions were recognized and accepted as the gold standard. Surgeons continued to make modifications to reduce the number of incisions. For example, laparoscopic cholecystectomy, which typically requires four incisions, was modified to two or three trocar incisions. Others reduced the size of incisions and instrumentation to 2 to 3mm. ( *Saltzman et al., 2004* )

Although endoscopic technology has been widely available, it was initially confined to the luminal walls. However, when accidental puncture of the stomach during polypectomy showed another way to access the peritoneal cavity, the possibility of scarless, incisionless surgery was introduced. Natural orifice

transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) was envisioned as perhaps the ultimate form of minimally invasive surgery—with many potential benefits, including reduction of pain and recovery period, minimal anesthesia and analgesia, and no external scar. Basically, NOTES involves placing a flexible endoscope through one of the body's natural orifices, such as the mouth, anus, vagina, or urethra, to gain access to a body space to perform surgery.( *Pearl et al., 2008*).

However, with the advent of every novel technique, there are limitations and shortcomings. Lack of specific instrumentation, safe viscerotomy closure technique/devices, and difficulty with patient recruitment has limited its implementation. Knowing the importance and the potential benefits of NOTES yet realizing current limitations of the technique, surgeons are developing single-incision laparoscopic surgery in parallel, perhaps as a bridge between standard laparoscopy and NOTES. ( *Marks et al., 2007* )

Single-incision surgery has been given a panoply of acronyms and names, including single-incision laparoscopic surgery (SILS), single-port access (SPA) surgery, single laparoscopic incision transabdominal (SLIT) surgery, natural orifice transumbilical surgery (NOTUS), and embryonic natural orifice transumbilical endoscopic surgery (E-NOTES). SILS has been described since the late 1990s, beginning with appendectomy and cholecystectomy. Since then, the technique has been applied to multiple surgical procedures, including gastric banding, sleeve gastrectomy, splenectomy, nephrectomy, colectomy, and adrenalectomy.( *Reavis et al., 2008* )

It seems logical that eliminating multiple incisions/port sites would further decrease associated morbidity. However, no prospective, randomized study demonstrating clear advantage over standard laparoscopy has been reported. The tenet of single-incision laparoscopic surgery is to reduce the number of incisions to one, typically at the umbilicus, for multiple trocar placements. Since SILS procedures are

relatively new and in evolution, many techniques have been described but no widely accepted standard exists. SILS was first adapted to cholecystectomy and once the technique was shown to be safe and effective for basic laparoscopic procedures, it was applied to some of the technically simpler bariatric procedures. Multiple techniques and instruments have been developed. Because the primary benefit of SILS seems to be cosmetic, most agree that the umbilicus is the preferred incision site; however, it is at this point that the techniques diverge ( *Nguyen et al., 2008*).

**AIM  
OF THE  
WORK**