

Faculty of Education
Department of Curriculum
And EFL Instruction

Using Autonomous vocabulary Learning Strategies To enhance Writing Performance Among EFL Prep Pupils

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment for the requirements of the M.A. Degree in Education (Curriculum and EFL Instruction)

Βv

Mai Hassan Ahmed Suleiman

Teacher of English at

El Safeya Institute

Supervised by

Prof. Magdy Mahdy Ali

Professor of Curriculum and EFL instruction Faculty of Education Ain Shams University Dr. Dalia Ibrahim Yehia

Lecturer of Curriculum and EFL instruction Faculty of Education Ain Shams University

2017

Title: Using Autonomous vocabulary Learning Strategies to enhance Writing

Performance among EFL Prep Pupils

Author: Mai Hassan Ahmed Suleiman

Supervisors: Prof. Magdy Mahdy Ali, Professor of Curriculum and EFL instruction

Dr. Dalia Ibrahim Yehia, Lecturer of Curriculum and EFL instruction

Institution: Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University

Year: 2017

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the effect of using autonomous vocabulary learning strategies of oxford (mnemonics) to enhance writing performance of EFL preparatory pupils. The participants were second year pupils at El Hagah Safeya prep Institute in Cairo. Thirty pupils participated in each of the experimental and control groups. Both groups were pre-tested by using Vocab/ Writing Performance Test (VWPT) for equivalence in their writing performance. The experiment was conducted at the beginning of the second term of the academic year 2016-2017. The experimental group and the control group were post-tested by using the VWPT. Differences between the mean scores of the pre- and post-VWPT were calculated by using the t-test. The results showed that there were statistically significant differences between the mean scores of the experimental group and the control group on the post-VWPT in favor of the experimental group. The results also revealed that there was a significancant enhancement in the pupils' writing performance as a result of satisfying their psychological needs through using the mnemonic devices of Oxford for vocab retention. The findings showed that the experimental group outperformed the control group in writing performance because of using autonomous vocabulary learning strategies of Oxford.

Key Words: Autonomous learning, Autonomous Vocabulary Learning Strategies of Oxford (AVLSs), Autonomous Learner (LA), Writing performance,

Self Determination Theory (SDT)

Acknowledgements

First I thank Allah for His Support in my thesis and
I pray for Him to Guide me to apply it for the good of the others
I wish to express my deep appreciation and profound gratitude to

Dr. Magdy Mahdy Ali, Professor of Curriculum and EFL instruction, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for his kind supervision and encouragement.

Since the beginning of the research, he helped in directing me to the right track. He enriched my experience as a researcher through his guidance. I really appreciate his agreement for giving me the honor of working under his supervision.

My thanks are extended to *Dr. Dalia Ibrahim Yehia*, Lecturer of Curriculum and EFL instruction, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University for helping me in many details that of great importance to the research.

My thanks are extended to *Mrs. Lobna Abd El Reheem*, the principal of El Hagah Safeya Institute for helping me to conduct my research and my profound love and respect to *Mrs. Fatema Bedeer*, the principal of Ard El Genina Institute for her support to conclude the pre/posttests on the control group in her institute.

I heartily express my thanks to my dear colleagues *Mrs. Amour Ibrahim Ali, Mr. Fared Mohamed Fared and Mr. Shaker Mohammed Abdel Hady* for helping me in rating the pupils' writing, the most important part in the research.

I am deeply and forever indebted to *my husband*, *my daughters and my son* who helped me and bore a lot until I finished my work.

Finally, I owe my love and affection to my dear sister *Azza Hassan .Ahmed* for her psychological support that always motivating me in my sorrow and my happiness.

Table of contents

| Cont | ents | |
|--------|---|----------|
| Abstı | ract | ii. |
| Ackn | owledgements | iii. |
| | e of contents | v. |
| | of tables | vi. |
| | of figures | vii. |
| List o | of abbreviations | |
| | Chapter One | |
| | Background and Problem | 1-16 |
| 1.1. | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2. | Context of the problem: Pilot study: Appendix (A) | 1 |
| 1.3. | Statement of the Problem | 4 |
| 1.4. | Hypotheses of the study | 7 |
| 1.5. | Delimitations of the study | 8 |
| 1.6. | Significance of the Study | 8 |
| 1.7. | Definition of Terms | 10 |
| | Autonomous learning | 10 |
| | Autonomous Vocabulary Learning Strategies of Oxford (AVLSs) | 11 |
| | • An autonomous learner (LA) | 12 |
| | Writing performance | 14 |
| | • Self-Determination Theory of Deci and Ryan (SDT)(2000) | 15 |
| 1.8. | Organization of the Remainder of the Thesis | 16 |
| | Chapter Two | |
| | Theoretical Background and Related Studies | 17-50 |
| 2.1. I | Part one: Vocabulary and autonomous learning | -, -, |
| | .1.1. The importance of autonomy in vocabulary learning | 17 |
| 2. | .1.2. The role of Memory in Vocabulary Learning | 19 |
| | .1.3. Studies related the autonomous vocabulary Learning Strategies | 19 |
| | .1.4. Studies related the effects of teachers' autonomy supportive | 31 |
| 2. | .1.5. Teachers' role in an autonomous class: A strongly teacher led class | 33 |
| 2.2. I | Part 2: Vocabulary & Writing performance | 34 |
| 2. | .2.1. Studies related to vocabulary and writing performance | 35 |
| 2.3. I | Part Three: SDT, vocabulary learning and writing performance | 4.4 |
| | .3.1. The importance of SDT in language learning | 44 46 |
| | .3.2. Studies related SDT, vocabulary learning and writing performance | 46 48 |
| 2.4. (| Commentary | 40 |

| Chapter Three | |
|---|---------------|
| Research Method and Procedures | 51-60 |
| 3.1. The experimental design | 51 |
| 3.2. Participants of the study | 51 |
| 3.3. Variables of the study | 52 |
| 3.4. The study Instruments | 52 |
| 3.4.1. The vocab writing performance pre/posttest (Appendix B) | 52 |
| 3.4.2. Writing performance rubric (Appendix C) | 54 |
| 3.4.3. Interest inventory on Self-Determination Theory (Appendix D) in English (Appendix E) in Arabic | |
| 3.4.4. Satisfaction questionnaire (Appendix F) in English & (Appendix G) Arabic | in 56 |
| 3.4.5. Contents of the second term syllabus (Appendix H) | 56 |
| 3.5. Vocab/writing performance pretest | 57 |
| 3.6. The treatment | 60 |
| Conclusion | 60 |
| Chapter Four | <i>C</i> 1 05 |
| Results and Discussion | 61-85 |
| 4.1. Quantitative Results | 61 |
| 4.1.1. Results of Pretest | 61 62 |
| 4.1.2. Results of Posttest | 64 |
| 4.1.3. Hypotheses of the study | 79 |
| 4.2. Qualitative analysis to the study | 79 79 |
| 4.2.1. The researcher reflection | 80 |
| 4.2.2. The pupils' reflection | 82 |
| 4.3. Difficulties the researcher faced during the treatment | 82 |
| 4.4. Discussion of the Study Results | 82 |
| Chapter Five | |
| Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations | 85-89 |
| 5.1. Summary of the study | 85 |
| 5.2. Design of the study | 86 |
| 5.3. Study Results and Findings | 86 |
| 5.4. Recommendations | 87 |
| 5.5. Suggestions for further Research | 88 |
| Conclusion | 89 |
| References | |
| ACICI CIICCO | 91_ 100 |

91- 100

| (Appendix (Appendix (Appendix (Appendix | ees x A): The pilot study x B): The Vocab/ writing performance pre/posttest x C): Writing performance rubric Samples of the pupils' writings x D): Interest inventory on SDT in English x E): Interest inventory on SDT in Arabic x F): Satisfaction questionnaire in English | 101 103 105 106-111 112 113 114 |
|--|--|---|
| (Appendix G): Satisfaction questionnaire in Arabic (Appendix H): Contents of the Second Term Syllabus Two Samples of lesson plan | | |
| | List of tables | |
| Table (1): | The objectives of the syllabus in writing | 56 |
| Table (2): | Results of the two groups in the vocab/writing performance pre/test | 61 |
| Table (3): | The difference between two groups in the overall vocab/writing | 62 |
| | performance pretest | |
| Table (4): | Results of the two groups in the vocab/writing performance posttest | 62 |
| Table (5): | The difference between the two groups in the mean scores of the | 63 |
| | questions of the overall vocab/writing performance posttest | |
| Table (6): | The differences between the two groups in the mean score of the | 65 |
| | overall vocabulary posttest | |
| Table (7): | The differences between the two groups in the components of the | 66 |
| | writing performance posttest according to the analytic rubric | |
| Table (8): | Demonstrates Autonomy (n=30) | 67 |
| Table (9): | Demonstrates competency (n=30) | 69 |
| Table (10): | Relatedness (n=30) | 71 |
| Table (11): | Motivation | 73 |
| Table (12): | The mean and std. deviation of the Questionnaire | 75 |
| Tables (13-19): | Chi square for questions from (16-22) | 76-78 |

List of figures

| Figure (1) | Application of SDT | 15 |
|--------------|--|----|
| Figure (2): | Writing performance descriptors | 54 |
| Figure (3): | AVLSs that enhance writing performance | 59 |
| Figure (4): | The difference between the two groups in the mean score of the | 64 |
| | overall vocab/writing posttest | |
| Figure (5): | The difference between the two groups in the mean score of the | 65 |
| | overall vocabulary posttest | |
| Figure (6): | The difference in the components of the writing performance | 66 |
| Figure (7): | A pie-chart of autonomy | 68 |
| Figure (8): | A pie-chart of competency | 70 |
| Figure (9): | A pie-chart of relatedness | 72 |
| Figure (10): | A pie-chart shows the amount of motivation among the learners | 74 |

List of abbreviations

AVLSs Autonomous Vocabulary Learning Strategies

SDT Self-Determination Theory

WIDA consortium World-Class Instructional Design and Assessment

SPSS Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

VWPT Vocab/ Writing Performance Test

LA Learner Autonomy

Chapter One: Background and Problem

| 1.1. | Introduction | 1 |
|------|---|----|
| 1.2. | Context of the problem: Pilot study: Appendix (A) | 4 |
| 1.3. | Statement of the Problem | 7 |
| 1.4. | Hypotheses of the study | 8 |
| 1.5. | Delimitations of the study | 8 |
| 1.6. | Significance of the Study | 8 |
| 1.7. | Definition of Terms | 10 |
| | •Autonomous learning | 10 |
| | •Autonomous Vocabulary Learning Strategies of | 11 |
| | Oxford (AVLS) | |
| | •An autonomous learner (LA) | 12 |
| | •Writing performance | 14 |
| | •Self-Determination Theory of Deci and Ryan | 15 |
| | (SDT)(2000) | |
| 1.8. | Organization of the Remainder of the Thesis | 16 |
| | | |

Chapter Two: Theoretical Background and Related Studies

| 2.1. Part one: Vocabulary and autonomy | | |
|--|----|--|
| 2.1.1. The importance of autonomy in vocabulary learning | 17 | |
| 2.1.2. The role of Memory in Vocabulary Learning | 19 | |
| 2.1.3. Studies related the autonomous vocabulary Learning | 19 | |
| Strategies | | |
| 2.1.4. Studies related the effects of teachers' autonomy | 31 | |
| supportive | | |
| 2.1.5. Teachers' role in an autonomous class: A strongly | 33 | |
| teacher led class | | |
| 2.2. Part 2: Vocabulary & Writing performance | | |
| 2.2.1. Studies related to vocabulary and writing performance | 35 | |
| 2.3. Part Three: SDT, autonomy and vocabulary learning | | |
| 2.3.1. The importance of SDT in learning | 44 | |
| 2.3.2. Studies related SDT and vocabulary learning and | 46 | |
| writing performance | | |
| 2.4. Commentary | 48 | |

Chapter Three: Research Method and Procedures

| 3.1. The experimental design | 51 |
|--|----|
| 3.2. Participants of the study | 51 |
| 3.3. Variables of the study | 52 |
| 3.4. The study Instruments | 52 |
| 3.4.1.The Vocab/ Writing performance pre/posttest | 52 |
| (Appendix B) | |
| 3.4.2. Writing performance rubric (Appendix C) | 54 |
| 3.4.3.Interest inventory on Self-Determination Theory | 55 |
| (Appendix D) in English & (Appendix E) in Arabic | |
| 3.4.4.Satisfaction questionnaire (Appendix F) in English | 55 |
| & (Appendix G) in Arabic | |
| 3.4.5.Contents of the 2 nd Term Syllabus (Appendix H) | 56 |
| 3.5. The treatment | 60 |
| Conclusion | 60 |
| | |

Chapter Four: Data analysis and Results

| 4.1. | Quantitative Results | 61 |
|------|--|----|
| | 4.1.1.Results of Pretest | 61 |
| | 4.1.2.Results of Posttest | 62 |
| | 4.1.3.Hypotheses of the study | 64 |
| 4.2. | Qualitative analysis to the study | 79 |
| | 4.2.1.The researcher reflection | 79 |
| | 4.2.2.The pupils' reflection | 80 |
| 4.3. | Difficulties the researcher faced during the | 82 |
| | treatment | |
| 4.4. | Discussion of the Study Results | 82 |

Chapter Five: Summary, Conclusion and Recommendations

| 5.1. | Summary of the study | 86 |
|------|----------------------------------|----|
| 5.2. | Design of the study | 86 |
| 5.3. | Study Results and Findings | 87 |
| 5.4. | Recommendations | 88 |
| 5.5. | Suggestions for further Research | 89 |
| | Conclusion | 89 |