



Physics Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University

Assessment of Therapeutic Doses Using Tissue Equivalent Dosimeter

By

Jeehan Fahad AL-Shareef

B.Sc. in Physics 2009

Thesis submitted to faculty of Science - Ain Shams University

As a partial fulfillment

For the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

NUCLEAR & RADIATION PHYSICS

Physics Department
Faculty of Science
Ain Shams University
(2013)

Ain Shams University
Faculty of Science
Physic Department



Assessment of Therapeutic Doses Using Tissue Equivalent Dosimeter

By

Jeehan Fahad AL-Shareef

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Soad El-Fiki

Physics Department
Faculty of Science-Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Nabil Ali Amin El-Faramawy

Physics Department
Faculty of Science-Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Arafa Abd El-Hafez

Ionizing Radiation Metrology Laboratory,
National Institute for Standards (NIS)

Acknowledgement

Deeply appreciating Professor Dr. Soad El-Fiki, Physics Department, Faculty of Science - Ain Shams University for proposing this investigation and for supervising this work. My appreciation is also for her moral support, for her valuable help, and for her encouragement and useful guidance.

*I would like to express my deepest thanks to **Prof. Dr. Nabil Ali Amin El-Faramawy** Physics Department Faculty of Science - Ain Shams University for his valuable help, unlimited support and appreciated assistance. Thanks also for him for proposing this investigation for his valuable suggestion, data representation in the published scientific papers and his capable supervision.*

*I congratulate myself and the world of the existence **Prof. Dr. Arafa Abd El Hafez** and thanks for all the meanings of Thanks giving to him for proposing and planning this investigation and his continuous encouragement, valuable suggestion and capable supervision, fruitful advices, valuable discussion, critical comments, reading and revising the manuscript.*

The valuable discussion and continuous assistance which were so willingly given by during the course of this work will never be forgotten.

Also I would like to express my extreme thankfulness to all members of (IRML, NIS) and National Cancer Institute for their assistance.

SUMMARY

The measurement of radiation dose has become a science of ever increasing importance to the estimation of the risks and benefits inherent to the uses of exposure to ionizing radiation. From this point of view, a strong demand for dosimeters that can be easily hold with the persons everywhere (no need for high voltage or cables) or used in determination of therapeutic doses and have a wide useful absorbed dose range, small physical size and tissue equivalent. The thermoluminescent dosimeters TLDs can be requested for this demand.

Improper use of a thermoluminescent material in a dosimetric application may lead to numerous errors in determined doses. To assess the radiation doses precisely, the sources of dose errors should be identified and minimized. All those sources of errors can be reduced or eliminated if appropriate procedures are followed. Typically, standard practice procedures for TL dosimetry involve pre-irradiation background (also known as “zero dose”) readings of TLDs especially at low dose levels, to be subtracted from readings of irradiated dosimeters. However, some experimentalists do skip this procedure at different circumstances: (1) if dosimeters are being irradiated to high doses compared to which background values could be neglected, (2) when high level of dosimetry with minimized uncertainties is not within the scope, (3) when dealing with large number of dosimeters, it was thought to be enough to select randomly few dosimeters for pre-irradiation background measurements instead of reading all dosimeters for saving time, and (4) when the glow curves are a subject of an analytical study regardless of doses delivered.

We selected commonly used lithium fluoride (LiF) from the numerous available thermoluminescent materials. LiF as a TL dosimeter is known for its high sensitivity, stability, and approximate tissue equivalency. Since the discovery of its useful TLD properties at the University of Wisconsin, LiF was extensively studied and is now arguably the most extensively used reference thermoluminescent material in a wide spectrum of applications. Selecting specifically LiF dosimeters to evaluate the effect of pre-irradiation background measurements on the post-irradiation dosimeter readout values and the other heat treatment effect has made the results interesting to a wide audience.

For radiation dosimetry in radiotherapy, there is a need to measure the high dose/dose rate submitted to tumor volume at the same time there is a need to measure the low dose/dose rates reached the healthy tissues around the tumor. The dosimetry system used in the determination of therapeutic doses must be independent on the dose rate. The dosimetry system used in the determination of therapeutic doses must have linear dose response in wide range from few mGy or less to few Grays or more

In this thesis, the results of the dosimetric properties such as the sensitivity, dose response and linearity range and dose rate dependence are represented and discussed. The effect of annealing, heat treatment pre-irradiation (zero dose) and the readout heating rate of the dosimeters are also represented and discussed. The application of the results in vitro measurements using the Rando phantom in different levels inside the head and comparing it with that from the treatment planning system are represented discussed and compared.

The result confirm the importance of the sensitivity factor to be applied and corrected for before any comparison or even dealing with the obtained data. If there is a shift in the temperature at which the peaks, normalization of the peak positions are a must before calculating the average of different TLDs from the same type.

The results also conclude that annealing regime plays an important role on the re-use of TLDs through cancelling the residual dose and to re-arrange the crystal structures in order to have the same previous response to radiation doses. The slow cooling is the best in devolvement of the glow peak as area under the curve and the resolution of the peaks.

In the application of the TLDs in determination of the therapeutic doses, under estimated doses is achieved compared to the use of ionization chambers.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements.....	ii
Summary.....	iv
CHAPTER (1).....	1
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	1
CHAPTER (2).....	26
THERMOLUMINESCENCE THEORETICAL BASICS.....	26
2.1.Interaction of Gamma-Rays With Matter.....	26
2.1.1. Photoelectric effect.....	27
2.1.2. Compton scattering.....	28
2.1.3. Pair production.....	29
2.2. Thermoluminescence Phenomenon.....	30
2.2.1. Theoretical basics of TL.....	30
2.2.2. Characteristics of thermoluminescence dosimeters.....	32
2.2. 3. Sources of errors in thermoluminescence dosimetry.....	33
I) Errors due to the detector.....	33
II) Errors due to the reader and evaluation procedure.....	34
III) Errors due to the thermal treatment.....	35
IV) Avoiding errors in TL measurements.....	35
2.3. Phantoms of The Human Body.....	37
2.3.1. Introduction.....	37
2.3.2. Types of phantoms.....	41
2.3.3. Body Tissues.....	42
2.3.4. Alderson Radiation Therapy phantom (ART)	42
2.4. Uncertainty in radiation therapy.....	44

2.4.1. Errors. Accuracy and precision of measurements.....	46
2.4.2. Types of Errors.....	46
CHAPTER (3)	48
MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES.....	48
3.1.The Radiation Sources.....	48
3.1.1 Gamma sources.....	48
3.1.2.Thermoluminescence detectors.....	50
3.1.3.Thermoluminescence reader and annealing oven.....	51
3.2.TLD System.....	53
3.2.1.TL measurement cycle.....	53
3.3.Treatment Planning System.....	53
3.4. Linear Accelerator.....	56
3.5. Computed tomography.....	57
3.6. Experimental techniques'.....	58
3.6.1 Studying the sensitivity factors.....	58
3.6.2 Studying the readout zero- dose (Background)	59
3.6.3.Studying the effect of dose rate dependence.....	59
3.6.4. Studying the annealing.....	59
*the first method.....	59
*the Second method.....	60
*the Third method.....	60
*the Fourth method.....	60
3.6.5. Studying the linearity (response).....	60
3.6.6. Studying the readout heating rate effect.....	60
3.7. Application of The Optimum Condition Of TLD Phantom.....	60

3.7.1 Preparing the slides.....	61
3.7.2 Irradiation of head phantom.....	61
CHAPTER (4)	63
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	63
4.1. The sensitivity correction factor.....	63
4.2. The Readout Zero- Dose (Background)	69
4.2.1. LiF:Mg,Ti (MTS-6)	72
4.2.2. for LiF:Mg,Ti (MTS-7)	74
4.2.3. for LiF:Mg,Ti (MTS-N)	76
4.2.4. for LiF:Mg,Ti (MCP-N)	78
4.2.5. for lowest readout heating rates 1 °C/s.....	80
4.2.6. for highest readout heating rates 30 °C/s.....	82
4.3. The Dose Rate Effect.....	85
4.4. The Effect of the Annealing Regime.....	89
4.5. The Dose Response.....	96
4.6. The Effect of the Readout Heating Rate.....	105
4.7. Application in Determination of Therapeutic Doses.....	111
4.7.1. Absorbed doses on the head phantom.....	111
4.7.2. Absorbed doses on the patient.....	118
4.7.3. Comparison between Absorbed doses measured by TLDs and TPS.....	125
CHAPTER (5)	128
GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION.....	128
5.1. General Discussion.....	128
5.1.1. The sensitivity correction factor.....	128

5.1.2. The readout of zero- dose (background)	128
5.1.3. The Dose Rate Effect.....	144
5.1.4. The Effect of The Annealing Regime.....	144
5.1.5. The Dose Response.....	144
5.1.6. The Effect of The Readout Heating Rate.....	145
5.1.7. Application in Determination of Therapeutic Doses.....	145
5.2. Conclusion.....	146
REFERENCES.....	148
PUBLISHED PAPER	
الملخص العربى	

LIST OF FIGURES

Title	Page
<i>Figure (2.1): The interaction of gamma rays with matter probabilities.</i>	26
<i>Figure (2.2): A schematic representation of the photoelectric absorption process.</i>	27
<i>Figure (2.3): A schematic representation of Compton scattering</i>	28
<i>Figure (2.4): A schematic representation of Pair production.</i>	29
<i>Figure (2.5): The traffic of the charge carriers in the TL sample at irradiation process.</i>	30
<i>Figure (2.6): shows the traffic of the charge carriers in the TL sample at heat process.</i>	31
<i>Fig (2.7): Male and Female ART's</i>	43
<i>Fig (2.8.): Alderson phantom (ART)</i>	44
<i>Figure (3.1): shows the unit of cobalt-60 irradiated facility manufactured by Atomic Energy of Canada Limited and designed for use in a shield room.</i>	49
<i>Figure (3.2): shows the PTW-UNIDOS electrometer.</i>	50
<i>Figure (3.3.a) Harshaw 4500</i>	52
<i>Figure (3.3.b) TL Readout circuit</i>	52
<i>Figure (3.4) The oven</i>	53
<i>Figure (3.5) shows Precise PLAN release</i>	54
<i>Figure (3.6) shows Elekta Precise treatment system accelerator</i>	56
<i>Figure (3.7) Desktop Pro linac's control system</i>	57
<i>Figure (3.8) CT scanner and its computer controlling unit</i>	58
<i>Figure (3.9) Marking the holes in the each slide and Put two samples in each hole.</i>	61
<i>Figure (3.10) irradiated the head phantom (samples) with linear accelerator</i>	62
<i>Figure 4.1 (a and b): Show the glow curves for different (TLD-600) samples irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma rays source. The read out are in the temperature range 100 °C - 400 °C with heating rate 3 °C/s without and with correction according to the sensitivity factor respectively.</i>	65

Title	Page
Figure 4.2 (a and b): Show the glow curves for different (TLD-700) samples irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma rays source. The read out are in the temperature range 100 °C - 400 °C with heating rate 3 °C/s without and with correction according to the sensitivity factor respectively.	65
Figure 4.3 (a and b): Show the glow curves for different (MTS-6) samples irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma rays source. The read out are in the temperature range 100 °C - 400 °C with heating rate 3 °C/s without and with correction according to the sensitivity factor respectively.	66
Figure 4.4 (a and b): Show the glow curves for different (MTS-7) samples irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma rays source. The read out are in the temperature range 100 °C - 400 °C with heating rate 3 °C/s without and with correction according to the sensitivity factor respectively.	66
Figure 4.5 (a and b): Show the glow curves for different (MCP-6) samples irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma rays source. The read out are in the temperature range 100 °C - 400 °C with heating rate 3 °C/s without and with correction according to the sensitivity factor respectively.	67
Figure 4.6 (a and b): Show the glow curves for different (MCP-7) samples irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma rays source. The read out are in the temperature range 100 °C - 400 °C with heating rate 3 °C/s without and with correction according to the sensitivity factor respectively.	67
Figure 4.7 (a and b): Show the glow curves for different (MTS-N) samples irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma rays source. The read out are in the temperature range 100 °C - 400 °C with heating rate 3 °C/s without and with correction according to the sensitivity factor respectively.	68
Figure 4.8 (a and b): Show the glow curves for different (MCP-N) samples irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma rays source. The read out are in the temperature range 100 °C - 400 °C with heating rate 3 °C/s without and with correction according to the sensitivity factor respectively.	68
Figure (4.9): The glow curve of MTS-6 irradiated with 0.2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 3 °C/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.	73

Title	Page
Figure (4.10): The glow curve of MTS-6 irradiated with 0.4 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 oC with 3 oC/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.	74
Figure (4.11): The glow curve of MTS-7 irradiated with 0.2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 oC with 3 oC/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.	75
Figure (4.12): The glow curve of MTS-7 irradiated with 0.4 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 3 °C/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.	76
Figure (4.13): The glow curve of MTS-N irradiated with 0.2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 3 °C/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.	77
Figure (4.14): The glow curve of MTS-N irradiated with 0.4 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 3 °C/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.	78
Figure (4.15): The glow curve of MCP-N irradiated with 0.2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 3 °C/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.	79
Figure (4.16): The glow curve of MCP-N irradiated with 0.4 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 3 °C/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.	80
Figure (4.17): The glow curve of MTS-N irradiated with 0.4 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with .1 °C/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.	81
Figure (4.18): The glow curve of MCP-N irradiated with 0.4 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 1 °C/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.	82
Figure (4.19): The glow curve of MTS-N irradiated with 0.4 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with .30 °C/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.	83

Title	Page
<i>Figure (4.20): The glow curve of MCP-N irradiated with 0.4 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 oC with 30 oC/s readout heating rate. Solid and dashed curves represent glow curves with and without pre-irradiation readout respectively.</i>	84
<i>Figure (4.21): The dose rate dependence of the response of TLD-600 irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 oC with 3 oC/s readout heating rate</i>	85
<i>Figure (4.22): The dose rate dependence of the response of TLD-700 irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 3 °C/s readout heating rate</i>	86
<i>Figure (4.23): The dose rate dependence of the response of MTS-6 irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 3 °C/s readout heating rate</i>	87
<i>Figure (4.24): The dose rate dependence of the response of MTS-7 irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 3 °C/s readout heating rate</i>	87
<i>Figure (4.25): The dose rate dependence of the response of MCP-6 irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 3 °C/s readout heating rate</i>	88
<i>Figure (4.26): The dose rate dependence of the response of MCP-7 irradiated with 2 Gy from ^{137}Cs gamma source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with 3 °C/s readout heating rate</i>	88
<i>Figure (4.27): The glow curves for TLD-600 treated with different cooling rates from 400 °C to 100 °C. Very slow cooling (dashed curve), slow cooling (solid), overnight (dotted dashed) and fast cooling (dotted). The readout are in temperature range 50 – 400 °C with heating rate 2 °C/s after irradiation with 2 Gy from γ-rays.</i>	90
<i>Figure (4.28): The glow curves for TLD-700 treated with different cooling rates from 400 °C to 100 °C. Very slow cooling (dashed curve), slow cooling (solid), overnight (dotted dashed) and fast cooling (dotted). The readout are in temperature range 50 – 400 °C with heating rate 2 °C/s after irradiation with 2 Gy from γ-rays.</i>	91
<i>Figure (4.29): The glow curves for MTS-6 treated with normal annealing (1 hour at 400 °C followed by fast cooling to 100 °C and kept for 2 hours at 100 °C (solid) and for 1h at 400 °C followed by fast cooling only. The readout are in temperature range 100 – 400 °C with heating rate 3 °C/s after irradiation with 2 Gy from γ-rays.</i>	92

Title	Page
Figure (4.30): The glow curves for MTS-7 treated with normal annealing (1 hour at 400 oC followed by fast cooling to 100 oC and kept for 2 hours at 100 oC (solid) and for 1h at 400 oC followed by fast cooling only. The readout are in temperature range 100 – 400 oC with heating rate 3 oC/s after irradiation with 2 Gy from γ -rays.	93
Figure (4.31): The glow curves for MTS-N treated with different annealing (1 hour at 400 oC followed by fast cooling (dashed) or slow cooling (solid) to 100 oC and kept for 2 hours at 100 oC. The readout are in temperature range 100 – 400 oC with heating rate 3 oC/s after irradiation with 2 Gy from γ -rays.	94
Figure (4.32): The glow curves for MCP-N treated with different annealing (10 minutes at 240 °C followed by fast cooling (dashed) or slow cooling (solid) to room temperature. The readout are in temperature range 100 – 400 °C with heating rate 3 °C/s after irradiation with 2 Gy from γ -rays.	95
Figure (4.33): The response of $^6\text{LiF:Mg,Ti}$ (TLD-600) to different doses from 8 mGy up to 1.344 KGy from ^{137}Cs γ source in temperature range 100:400 °C with heating rate 3 °Cs ⁻¹ .	97
Figure (4.34): The response of $^7\text{LiF:Mg,Ti}$ (TLD-700) to different doses from 4 mGy up to 1.344 KGy from ^{137}Cs γ source in temperature range 100:400 °C with heating rate 3 °Cs ⁻¹ .	98
Figure (4.35): The response of $^6\text{LiF:Mg,Ti}$ (MTS-6) to different doses from 4 mGy up to 1.344 KGy from ^{137}Cs γ source in temperature range 100:400 °C with heating rate 3 °Cs ⁻¹ .	99
Figure (4.36): The response of $^7\text{LiF:Mg,Ti}$ (MTS-7) to different doses from 4 mGy up to 1.344 KGy from ^{137}Cs γ source in temperature range 100:400 °C with heating rate 3 °Cs ⁻¹ .	100
Figure (4.37): The response of $^6\text{LiF:Mg,Cu,P}$ (MCP-6) to different doses from 8 mGy up to 211 Gy from ^{137}Cs γ source in temperature range 100:400 °C with heating rate 3 °Cs ⁻¹ .	101
Figure (4.38): The response of $^7\text{LiF:Mg,Cu,P}$ (MCP-7) to different doses from 4 mGy up to 211 Gy from ^{137}Cs γ source in temperature range 100:400 °C with readout heating rate 3 °Cs ⁻¹ .	102
Figure (4.39): The response of LiF:Mg,Ti (MTS-N) to different doses from 1 μGy up to 8 Gy from ^{137}Cs γ source in the low temperature range 100:240 °C with readout heating rate 1 °Cs ⁻¹ .	103
Figure (4.40): The response of LiF:Mg,Cu,P (MCP-N) to different doses from 1 μGy up to 8 Gy from ^{137}Cs γ source in the low temperature range 100:300 °C with readout heating rate 1 °Cs ⁻¹ .	104

Title	Page
Figure (4.41): The glow curves of TLD-600 irradiated with 2Gy γ -rays from ^{137}Cs source in the temperature range 100:400 oC with different heating rates (β) 1, 2, 5, 10, 15 and 30 oCs ⁻¹ .	105
Figure (4.42): The glow curves of TLD-700 irradiated with 2Gy γ -rays from ^{137}Cs source in the temperature range 100:400 oC with different heating rates (β) 1, 2, 5, 10, 15 and 30 oCs ⁻¹ .	106
Figure (4.43): The glow curves of MTS-6 irradiated with 2Gy γ -rays from ^{137}Cs source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with different heating rates (β) 2, 5, 10, 15 and 30 °Cs ⁻¹ .	107
Figure (4.44): The glow curves of MTS-7 irradiated with 2Gy γ -rays from ^{137}Cs source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with different heating rates (β) 2, 5, 10, 15 and 30 °Cs ⁻¹ .	108
Figure (4.45): The glow curves of MCP-6 irradiated with 2Gy γ -rays from ^{137}Cs source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with different heating rates (β) 1, 2, 5, 10, 15 and 30 °Cs ⁻¹ .	109
Figure (4.46): The glow curves of MCP-7 irradiated with 2Gy γ -rays from ^{137}Cs source in the temperature range 100:400 °C with different heating rates (β) 1, 2, 5, 10 and 30 °Cs ⁻¹ .	110
Figure (4.47): the slide 1 ⁺ of the CT of the head phantom and the iso-dose curves as planned by TPS and the dose in cGy in the TLDs positions	112
Figure (4.48): the slide 1 ⁺ of the CT of the head phantom and the iso-dose curves as planned by TPS and the dose in cGy in the TLDs positions.	113
Figure (4.49): the slide 3 ⁺ of the CT of the head phantom and the iso-dose curves as planned by TPS and the dose in cGy in the TLDs positions	114
Figure (4.50): the slide 3 ⁺ of the CT of the head phantom and the iso-dose curves as planned by TPS and the dose in cGy in the TLDs positions	115
Figure (4.51): the slide 4 ⁺ of the CT of the head phantom and the iso-dose curves as planned by TPS and the dose in cGy in the TLDs positions	116
Figure (4.52): the slide 4 ⁺ of the CT of the head phantom and the iso-dose curves as planned by TPS and the dose in cGy in the TLDs positions	117