Effect of various pH of lactic and citric acids on fluoride release from two different fluoride emitting restorative materials

Thesis

Submitted To The Operative Department, Faculty Of Dentistry, Ain Shams University For Partial Fulfillment Of The Requirements For Master Degree

> Rasha Ramadan Basheer Ali B.D.S.

> > Faculty of dentistry Ain Shams University

Supervisors

Dr. Moukhtar Nagy Ibrahiem
Professor of Operative Dentistry,
Former Dean of Faculty of Dentistry,
Ain Shams University

Dr. Omaima Hassan Ghallab Lecturer of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	i
Dedication	ii
List of Figures	iii
List of Tables	iv
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	3
Aim of the study	26
Materials and Methods	27
Results	39
Discussion	86
Summary and Conclusions	98
References	
Arabic Summary	

Acknowledgment

First of all, I feel thankful to Allah for giving me the guidance and internal support in all my life and in every step that I made until this study was completed.

I would like to express my appreciation to Dr. Moukhtar Nagy Ibrahiem Professor of Operative Dentistry, Former Dean of Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his valuable ideas, stimulating discussions, enlightening guidance, and keen supervision that he has kindly given me throughout the research program, which was instrumental in achieving the completion of this study.

I would like to express my heartful thanks and deep gratitude to Dr. Omaima Hassan Ghallab Lecturer of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her unforgettable help, advice, wise guidance, and fruitful assistance during the course of this research.

Also I would like to thank all my colleges in Operative Dentistry department in MSA University for their valuable support throughout the research program.

Dedication

I could never be grateful enough to my husband who helped me a lot to find the time to work on my research.

And my mum and dad who supported me through the whole work and get worried till it came out to light finally.

And to my lovely children the best thing in my whole life.

List Of Figures

(Figure 1): The Photac-Fil Quick Aplicap capsulespage 29
(Figure 2): The F2000page 29
(Figure 3): The specially designed Teflon mouldpage 33
(Figure 4): The electronic sensitive balancepage 33
(Figure 5): The labeled and numbered glass tubes containing the
specimenspage 33
(Figure 6): The pH meter connected with a glassy electodepage 35
(Figure 7): The fluoride ion-selective electrode connected to a pH ion-selective
electrode meterpage 35
(Figure 8): The environmental scanning electron microscopypage 37
(Figure 9): Bar chart different weight changes of the two materials after
storage in saline for different immersion periodspage 40
(Figure 10): Bar chart showing different weight changes of the two materials
after storage in lactic acid for different immersion periodspage 43
(Figure 11): Bar chart showing different weight changes of the two materials
after storage in citric acid for different immersion periodspage 46
(Figure 12): Bar chart showing different weight changes of the three solutions
with (RMGI)page 51
(Figure 13): Bar chart showing different weight changes of the three solutions
with (PMRC)page 55
(Figure 14): Bar chart showing the mean Fluoride ion concentrations in the
two materials after storage in salinepage 56

(Figure 15): Bar chart showing the mean Fluoride ion concentrations in the
two materials after storage in lactic acidpage 58
(Figure 16): Bar chart showing the mean Fluoride ion concentrations in the
two materials after storage in citric acidpage 60
(Figure 17): Bar chart showing the mean Fluoride ion concentrations in the
three solutions with (RMGI)page 63
(Figure 18): Bar chart showing the mean Fluoride ion concentrations in the
three solutions with (PMRC)page 66
(Figure 19): SEM photographs of RMGI material surface after immersion in
saline. [x1000]
a. Immediately fabricatedb. One dayc. One weekd. Two months
(Figure 20): SEM photographs of RMGI material surface after immersion in
lactic acid (pH 2). [x1000]
a. Immediately fabricated
b. One day
c. One week
d. Two months
(Figure 21): SEM photographs of RMGI material surface after immersion in
lactic acid (pH 3). [x1000]
a. Immediately fabricated
b. One day
c. One week
d. Two months

(Figur	re 22): SEM photographs of RMGI material surface after immersion in
lactic	acid (pH 4). [x1000]
a.	Immediately fabricated
b.	One day
	One week
<i>d</i> .	Two monthspage 75
(Figur	re 23): SEM photographs of RMGI material surface after immersion in
citric (acid (pH 2) [x1000]
a.	Immediately fabricated
b.	One day
	One week
d.	Two months
•••••	page 76
(Figur	re 24): SEM photographs of RMGI material surface after immersion in
citric (acid (pH 3). [x1000]
a.	Immediately fabricated
	One day
c.	One week
d.	Two months
•••••	page 77
(Figur	re 25): SEM photographs of RMGI material surface after immersion in
citric (acid (pH 4) [x1000]
a.	Immediately fabricated
	One day
	One week
	Two months
	page 78

(Figur	re 26): SEM photographs of PMRC material surface after immersion in
saline	[x1000]
a.	Immediately fabricated
	One day
с.	One week
d.	Two months
•••••	page 79
(Figur	re 27): SEM photographs of PMRC material surface after immersion in
lactic	acid (pH 2). [x1000]
a.	Immediately fabricated
b.	One day
<i>c</i> .	One week
d.	Two months
•••••	page 80
(Figur	re 28): SEM photographs of PMRC material surface after immersion in
lactic	acid (pH 3). [x1000]
<i>a</i> .	Immediately fabricated
b.	One day
<i>c</i> .	One week
d.	Two months
•••••	page 81
(Figur	re 29): SEM photographs of PMRC material surface after immersion in
lactic	acid (pH 4). [x1000]
a.	Immediately fabricated
	One day
<i>c</i> .	One week
d.	Two months
•••••	page 82

(Figur	re 30 SEM photographs of PMRC material surface after immersion in
citric (acid (pH 2). [x1000]
a.	Immediately fabricated
b.	One day
<i>c</i> .	One week
d.	Two months
•••••	page 83
(Figur	re 31): SEM photographs of PMRC material surface after immersion in
citric (acid (pH 3). [x1000]
a.	Immediately fabricated
	One day
<i>c</i> .	One week
d.	Two months
•••••	page 84
(Figur	re 32): SEM photographs of PMRC material surface after immersion in
citric (acid (pH 4). [x1000]
a.	Immediately fabricated
b.	One day
c.	One week
d.	Two months
•••••	page 85

List Of Tables

Table (1): Materials used in this studypage 27
Table (2): Experimental design for the levels of investigationspage 38
Table (3): Factorial design and variable interaction for the experiments of two different fluoride emitting materialspage 38
Table (4): The means and standard deviation (SD) values of Student's t-test fo RMGI and PMRC materials after storage in saline for differen immersion periods
Table (5): The means and standard deviation (SD) values of Student's t-test fo RMGI and PMRC after storage in Lactic acid for different immersion periods
Table (6): The means and standard deviation (SD) values of Student's t-test fo RMGI and PMRC after storage in Citric acid for different immersion periods
Table (7): The means and standard deviation (SD) values, results of ANOVA and Tukey's tests for the three solutions with (RMGI)page 47
Table (8): The means and standard deviation (SD) values, results of ANOVA and Tukey's tests for the three solutions with (PMRC)page 52
Table (9): The means and standard deviation (SD) values of Student's t-test fo the mean Fluoride ion concentrations in the two materials after storage in saline

Table (10): The means and standard deviation (SD) values of Student's t-test for
the mean Fluoride ion concentrations in the two materials after storage
in Lactic acidpage 58
Table (11): The means and standard deviation (SD) values of Student's t-test for
the mean Fluoride ion concentrations in the two materials after storage
in Citric acidpage 60
Table (12): The means and standard deviation (SD) values of ANOVA and
Tukey's tests for the mean Fluoride ion concentrations in the three
solutions with (RMGI)page 62
Table (13): The means and standard deviation (SD) values of ANOVA and
Tukey's tests for the mean Fluoride ion concentration in the three
solutions with (PMRC)page 65
Table (14): The mean differences and standard deviation (SD) values of paired t-
test for the changes by time in mean weight loss of
(RMGI)page 68
Table (15): The mean differences and standard deviation (SD) values of paired t-
test for the changes by time in mean weight loss of
(PMRC)page 69
Table (16): The mean differences and standard deviation (SD) values of paired t-
test for the changes by time in mean Fluoride release of
(RMGI)page 70

Table (1	7): Th	e me	an dif	ferences a	nd st	tandard	dev	viation (SD) values	s of paire	d t-
	test	for	the	changes	by	time	in	mean	Fluoride	release	of
(PMRC)										page	71

The increasing availability of adhesive materials and techniques has improved the capability of the restorative dentist to treat caries in a conservative manner. While amalgam continues to be used as a restorative material worldwide, its lack of innate bonding capability makes it generally unsuitable for the restoration of the minimal lesion because the achievement of adequate resistance and retention form for such an amalgam restoration may require removal of considerable amounts of sound tooth substance. The use of materials that may be bonded to tooth substance is therefore encouraged.

Since the observation that secondary caries formation was rarely associated with fluoride-containing silicate cement restorations, increasing attention has been focused on the development of various fluoride-releasing products, to be used as restorative materials, lining cements, sealants and orthodontic cements.

Fluoride is well documented as an anticariogenic agent. A variety of mechanisms are involved in the anticariogenic effects of fluoride, including the reduction of demineralization, the enhancement of remineralization, the interference of pellicle and plaque formation and the inhibition of microbial growth and metabolism (1-4). Fluoride released from dental restorative materials is assumed to affect caries formation through all these mechanisms and may therefore reduce or prevent demineralization and promote remineralization of dental hard tissues.

Today, there are several fluoride-containing dental restoratives available in the market including glass-ionomers, resin modified glass-ionomer cements, polyacid-modified composites (componers), composites

and amalgams. Due to their different matrices and setting mechanisms the products vary in their ability to release fluoride. However, it is assumed that the antibacterial and cariostatic properties of restoratives are often associated with the amount of fluoride released.

Glass-ionomer cements may have the benefit of fluoride release, although the effect of this on reduction of secondary caries is presently the subject of debate. These materials may have the benefit of less technique-sensitive placement as compared to resin composite ⁽⁵⁾.

The elution of fluoride is a complex process. It can be affected by several intrinsic variables, such as formulation and fillers of the different restoratives. It is also influenced by experimental factors, such as, storage media, frequency of change of the storage solution, composition and pH-value of the storage solutions ⁽⁶⁾.

The aqueous phase of glass ionomer cements enables fluoride ions to diffuse and to be released from the material. The matrix of resin composites is much less hydrophilic, and fluoride incorporated in the material is only released in small amounts ⁽⁷⁾.

The initial fluoride release from all types of glass-ionomer was maximum during the first 24 hours and then decreased ⁽⁸⁾.

The simultaneous release of fluoride and aluminum from dental materials in various acidic media must be taken into account when the anticariogenic potential is assessed ⁽⁹⁾.