EFFICACY OF BETAMETHASONE DIPROPIONATE PHONOPHORESIS IN THE TREATMENT OF ATOPIC DERMATITIS

Thesis Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of Doctoral Degree In Physical Therapy.

By

INTSAR SALIM ABD EL-AZIZ WAKED

M.Sc., In Physical Therapy, Department of Physical Therapy for Surgery

SUPERVISOR

Prof. Dr. Adel A. Nossier

Dr. Zeinab M. El-Khouly

Dean of Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University. Consultant of Dermatology & Venerology Department,
El-Mataria Teaching Hospital.

Faculty of Physical Therapy Cairo University 2009 Efficacy of Betamethasone Dipropionate Phonophoresis in the Treatment of Atopic Dermatitis, Supervisors: Prof.Dr.Adel Abd El- Hamid Nossier, Prof.Dr.Zeinab Mohamed El-Khouly. Department of Physical Therapy for Surgery, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University,2009 (Doctoral degree).

Abstract

Purpose: of the current study was to investigate the effect of Betamethasone Dipropionate Phonophoresis (BDP) in the Treatment of Atopic Dermatitis. Subject: Sixty patients were included in this study. Their ages ranged from 15 to 30 years. The patients were divided randomly into three groups of equal number: **Procedures:** Group (A) received 4 weeks of treatment with BDP using continuous mode for 5 min, with 1 MHz and 1.5 W/cm², 3 days/ week while Group (B) treated with BDP using pulsed mode for 4 weeks, 3 days/week for 15 min per session, with 1 MHz and 1.5 W/cm² and Group (C) received placebo BDP for 4 weeks, 3 days/week. The measurements were done before the study and after one month of treatment for all groups by using Ulrasonography and SCORAD score. Results: of this study showed reduction in the thickness of skin and SCORAD score after the treatment for Group (A), (B) and (C) with a percentage of 41.8%, 39.6%, 23% respectively for the thickness of skin and 74.9%, 73.6%, 46% respectively for SCORAD. There was a highly significant difference between three groups after the treatment. It was observed that BDP using Continous was more effective but with no significant value. Conclusion: It could be concluded that The combination of ultrasound and betamethasone dipropionate cream can be considered as an effective method to enhance the drug efficacy and its penetration, thus enhancing the treatment of atopic dermatitis.

Key words: Atopic dermatitis, Phonophoresis, Betamethasone Dipropionate, Continous ultrasound, Pulsed ultrasound.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to kneel to thank Allah, the most compassionate, the most merciful, for the guidance, support and mercy He grants us throughout our lives. Without these gifts this work would never come true.

A special thank you goes to **Prof. Dr. Adel A. Nossier**, Dean of, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University. Without his encouragement, unlimited support, understanding and wise advices, this work would never have ended. His supportive and understanding attitude made the data collection process and treatment procedure easy.

Word fail to express my gratitude, respect and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Zeinab M. El-Khouly,** Consultant of dermatology & Venerology Department, El-Mataria Teaching Hospital for her support, encouragement, bright ideas and kind scientific supervision.

I would like to express my deepest thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Rokia M. El-Sabagh,** Consultant and head of Physical Therapy Department, El-Mataria Teaching Hospital, for her advices and support.

Last but not least, I would like to express my deep gratitude and thanks to my collogues in Department of Physical Therapy for surgery, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Cairo University for their cooperation and professional help. And also all subjects who participated in this study, for their cooperation.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER I Introduction	Page
Introduction	1
Statement of The problem	4
Purpose of The Study.	4
Significance of The Study.	5
Delimitations.	6
Limitations.	6
Basic Assumptions.	7
Hypotheses of The Study.	7
Definition of Terms.	8
CHAPTER II Review of Related Literature.	10
1. Anatomical considerations of the skin:	10
1.1. Epidermis	
1.2.Dermis	
1.3 Subcutaneous layer	
2.Pathological and physiological considerations of atop	ic dermatitis.
2.1.Definition	13
2.2.Incidence	13
2.3.Pathophysiological and Etiological factors	
2.4.Causes of Eczema	
2.5.Signs and Symptoms	
3. Basic Principles of Ultrasound(US)	18
3.1.Definition	18
3.2.Nature of Ultrasound Waves	18
3.3.Ultrasound Waves	20
3.4.Ultrasound Transmission	21
3.5. Absorption and attenuation	

	3.6.Ultrasound Absorption in the Tissues	23
	3.7.Pulsed Ultrasound	24
	3.8. Therapeutic effects of US	25
	3.9.Contraindications	27
	3.10.Precautions	27
4.Ass	essment the severity of Atopic dermatitis	28
	4.1.Six- Area, Six- Sign Atopic Dermatitis(SASSAD).	
	4.2.Atopic Dermatitis Area and Severity Index (ADASI).	
	4.3. Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI).	
	4.4.Rajka and Langeland Scoring System(RALSS)	
	4.5.Costa'Simple Scoring System (SSS).	
	4.6. The Basic Clinical Scoring System (BCSS).	
	4.7. The Atopic Dermatitis Severity Index (ADSI)	
	4.8.The Skin Intensity Score(SIS).	
	4.9. The Assessment Measure for Atopic Dermatitis (AMAI).	
	4.10. The Objective Severity Assessment of Atopic Dermatitis	
	(OSAAD).	
	4.11.Measurement of quality of life	
	4.11.a.Dermatology life Quality Index(DLQI).	
	4.11.b.The Patient Generated Index (PGI).	
5. Me	easurement Methods of the Study	39
	5.1.The SCORAD Score.	39
	5.2.Ultrasonography.	44
	5.2.1.Definition	
	5.2.2.Ultrasonography in dermatology	
	5.2.3. Anatomic imaging with ultrasound	
	5.2.4.Behavior of Ultrasound in the body	
	5.2.5.Ultrasound Scanning.	
	5.2.6.Uses of Ultrasound in Dermatology	

5.2.7.Mechanism of Ultrasound imaging	
5.2.8. Sonographic picture of normal skin	
5.2.9.Ultrasound images in different skin conditions	
5.2.10. Clinical applications of High frequency ultrasound	
5.2.11. Advantages of skin ultrasonography.	
6. Treatments options for Atopic Dermatitis	55
6.1.General preventive measures	
6.2. Treatment options for Atopic Dermatitis	
6.2.1.Antihistamines	
6.2.2.Topical corticosteroids	
6.2.3. Topical Calcineurin inhibitors	
6.2.4.Antibiotics	
6.2.5.Systemic Therapy	
6.2.6.Other Therapies	
6.2.7. Alternative Therapies	
7. Betamethasone Dipropionate	61
7.1.Generic Name	
7.2.Brand Name	
7.3.Drug Class And Mechanism	
7.4.Dosage and Administration	
7.5 Preparations	
7.6.Indications	
7.7 Dosing	
7.8.Prequtions of Using Betamethasone Diprobionate	
7.9.Side effects	
7.10.Possible food and drug interactions	
7.11.Recommended dosage	
8. Ultrasound as an Enhancer for Transdermal Drug Delivery;	
Sonophoresis; or Phonophoresis:	66

8.1. Definition	
8.2. Factors affecting drug absorption	
8.3.Coupling Medium	
8.4. Variables	
8.5.Drugs Used	
8.6. Mechanism of ultrasonically-enhanced transdermal dru	ıg delivery
8.7. Contraindications	
8.8.To maximize the clinical effectiveness of Phonophores	is
CHAPTER III Subjects, Materials, and Methods	72
1. Subjects	72
1.1.Inclusive Criteria	
1.2.Exclusive Criteria	
2.Design of the study	73
3.Equipment used	74
3.1.Measurement Equipment and Tools	
3.2. Therapeutic Equipment	
4. Procedures of the study	78
4.1. Measurement procedures	
4.2. Treatment procedures	
5. Statistical Procedures:	84
CHAPTER IV Results	85
1. Results of Group A (Continous).	85
2. Results of Group B (Pulsed).	96
3. Results of Group C (Placebo).	106
1. Comparative analysis between Group A and Group B.	116
5. Comparative analysis between Group A and Group C.	120
6. Comparative analysis between Group B and Group C.	124

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Ultrasound Mode	24
Mean, Standard Deviation, Minimum ,maximum, Range of patier	۸٧
age and duration of disease in Group A (Continuous Phonophores	
Group).	
Mean, Standard deviation, Standard error, Minimum, Maximum	٨٩
and Range values of the thickness of skin pre and post treatment	
in Group A (Continous Phonophoresis).	
Mean, Standard deviation, Standard error, Minimum, Maximum	۹۳
and Range, Probability level, Level of significance values of	
SCORAD pre and post treatment in Group A(Continous).	
Mean, Standard Deviation, Minimum, Maximum, Range of patie	٩٧
'age and duration of disease in Group B (Pulsed).	
Mean, Standard deviation, Standard error, Minimum, Maximum	99
and Range values of the thickness of skin pre and post treatment	
in Group B (Pulsed Phonophoresis).	
Mean Standard deviation Standard error Minimum Maximum	1.4
	, - ,
SCORAD pie and post treatment in Group B (Pulsed).	
	Mean, Standard Deviation, Minimum, maximum, Range of patier age and duration of disease in Group A (Continuous Phonophores Group). Mean, Standard deviation, Standard error, Minimum, Maximum and Range values of the thickness of skin pre and post treatment in Group A (Continous Phonophoresis). Mean, Standard deviation, Standard error, Minimum, Maximum and Range, Probability level, Level of significance values of SCORAD pre and post treatment in Group A(Continous). Mean, Standard Deviation, Minimum, Maximum, Range of patier age and duration of disease in Group B (Pulsed). Mean, Standard deviation, Standard error, Minimum, Maximum and Range values of the thickness of skin pre and post treatment.

٨	Mean, Standard Deviation, Minimum , Maximum, Range of patie	1.4
	'age and duration of disease in Group C(Placebo).	
٩	Mean, Standard deviation, Standard error, Minimum, Maximum	1.9
	and Range values of the thickness of skin pre and post treatment in Group C (Placebo).	
١.	Mean, Standard deviation, Standard error, Minimum, Maximum and Range, Probability level, Level of significance values of SCORAD pre and post treatment in Group C(Placebo).	118
11	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the different thickness of skin between group A and group B Pretreatment.	١١٦
١٢	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the difference thickness of skin between group A and group B Post treatment.	117
١٣	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the difference SCORAD score between group A and group B Pre-treatment.	114
1 £	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the difference SCORAD score between group A and group B Post treatment.	119
10	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the difference thickness of skin between group A and group C Pretreatment.	١٢.

١٦	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the different thickness of skin between group A and group C Post treatment.	171
١٧	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the different thickness of skin between group A and group C Post treatment.	122
١٨	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the difference SCORAD score between group A and group C Post treatment.	177
19	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the difference thickness of skin between group B and group C Pre-treatment.	175
20	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the difference thickness of skin between group B and group C Post treatment.	170
21	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the difference SCORAD score between group B and group C Pre treatment.	١٢٦
22	Statistical Analysis (un paired t-test) to detect the difference SCORAD score between group B and group C Post treatment.	1 7 7
23	Statistical Analysis (ANOVA- Test) to detect the difference in between group A(Continous), group B (Pulsed) and group C(Place	١٢٨

Y £	Statistical Analysis (ANOVA- Test) to detect the difference in dur of disease between group A, group B and group C.	179
25	Statistical Analysis (Chi-Square test) to detect the difference in between group A, group B and group C.	18.
26	Statistical Analysis (Chi-Square test) to detect the difference in history between group A, group B and group C.	131
27	Statistical Analysis (Chi-Square test) to detect the difference in Fahistory between group A(Continous), group B (Pulsed) and gro (Placebo).	184
28	Statistical Analysis (Chi-Square test) to detect the difference in Ps Stress between group A (Continous), group B (Pulsed) and gro (Placebo).	1 44
29	Statistical Analysis (ANOVA- Test) to Detect the Difference thickness of skin between group A, group B and group C treatment.	172
30	Statistical Analysis (ANOVA- Test) to Detect the Difference thickness of skin between group A, group B and group C treatment.	180

31	Statistical Analysis (ANOVA- Test) to Detect the mean differen SCORAD between group A, B and C pre-treatment.	١٣٦
32	Statistical Analysis (ANOVA- Test) to detect the mean differen SCORAD between group A, B and group C Post treatment.	187

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure		Page
١	The anatomical structure of skin	١٢
۲	Ultrasound wave form with compression and rarefaction	۱۹
٣	A representation of the exponential absorption	77
£	Ultrasound Absorption in the Tissues	۲۳
٥	The pulse-echo principle	20
٦	Sound propagation is worse in gas because molecules are widely	٤٧
	separated. It is better in liquids and best in solids	
٧	Ultrasound equipment	٧ ٤
٨	SCORAD Scoring	۷٥
٩	Therapeutic equipment	٧٧
١.	Measurements procedures by Ulrasonography	٧٩
11	Application of phonophoresis for a case with atopic dermatitis	٨٣
١٢	Mean values of the thickness of skin pre and post treatment in Gro (Continous).	٩.
١٣	Percentage of improvement of the thickness of skins in Grou (Continous) after the treatment.	٩.
1 £	Sonographic picture was taken for Rt arm at 2 cm distal to antecubital space of elbow for a patient in the group (A) (continue before the treatment. The thickness of the skin was 0.183 cm.	
10	Sonographic picture was taken at 2 cm distal to the antecubital sparelbow for a patient in the group (A) (continuous) after the treat. The thickness of the skin was 0.106 cm.	

١٦	Mean values of SCORAD pre and post treatment in Grou (Continous).	9 £
١٧	Percentage of improvement of SCORAD in Group A (Continous) the treatment.	9 £
١٨	A case is an example of continuous group	90
١٩	Mean values of the thickness of skin pre and post treatment in Gro (Pulsed).	1
20	Percentage of improvement of the thickness of skin in Grou (Pulsed) after the treatment.	1
21	Sonographic picture was taken for posterior surface of Rt leg at 1 proximal to Ankle joint for a patient in the group (B) (Pulsed) b the treatment. The thickness of the skin was 0.161cm.	1.1
22	Sonographic picture was taken for posterior surface of Rt leg at 1 proximal to Ankle joint for a patient in the group (B) (Pulsed) after treatment. The thickness of the skin was 0.110 cm.	1.1
23	Mean values of the SCORAD pre and post treatment in Grou Pulsed).	1 • £
7 £	Percentage of improvement of the SCORAD in Group B (Pulsed) the treatment.	1 • £
25	A case is an example of Pulsed group	1.0
26	Mean values of the thickness of skin pre and post treatment in Gro (Placebo).	11.
27	Percentage of improvement of the thickness of skin in Grou (Placebo) after the treatment	11.
28	Sonographic picture was taken for popliteal surface of Lt leg	111