GENOTYPE X ENVIRONMENT INTERACTION AND STABILITY PARAMETERS OF AGRONOMIC AND QUALITY TRAITS OF SOME FLAX GENOTYPES

By

SABAH MOHAMED ABO EL- KOMSAN

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams University, 1997 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams University, 2003

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

in
Agricultural Science
(Agronomy)

Department of Agronomy Faculty of Agriculture Ain Shams University

Approval Sheet

GENOTYPE X ENVIRONMENT INTERACTION AND STABILITY PARAMETERS OF AGRONOMIC AND QUALITY TRAITS OF SOME FLAX GENOTYPES

By

SABAH MOHAMED ABO EL- KOMSAN

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams University, 1997 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams University, 2003

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Prof. 9	Dr. Mohamed Samir Atia El-Shazly
	Prof. Emeritus of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University
Prof. I	Or. Kamal Imam Mohamed Ibrahim
	Prof. of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University
Prof. I	Or. Afaf Mohamed Tolba
	Prof. of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University
Prof. I	Or. Abd El-Maksoud Mahrous El-Marakby
	Prof. Emeritus of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Date of Examination: 24 / 6 /2010

GENOTYPE X ENVIRONMENT INTERACTION AND STABILITY PARAMETERS OF AGRONOMIC AND QUALITY TRAITS OF SOME FLAX GENOTYPES

By

SABAH MOHAMED ABO EL- KOMSAN

B.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams University, 1997 M.Sc. Agric. Sc. (Agronomy), Ain Shams University, 2003

Under the supervision of:

Prof. Dr. Abd El-Maksoud Mahrous El-Marakby

Prof. Emeritus of Agronomy, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor)

Prof. Dr. Afaf Mohamed Tolba

Prof. of Agronomy, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Amna Hafez Hassan El-Sweify

Head of Research of Agronomy, Department of Fiber Crops Research, Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center

ABSTRACT

Sabah Mohamed Abo El-Komsan: Genotype x Environment Interaction and Stability Parameters of Agronomic and Quality Traits of Some Flax Genotypes. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2010.

Fourteen flax genotypes were evaluated for mean performance and stability of straw and seed yields and some other agronomic and quality traits under three locations namely; Etay El-Barood (El-Behiera), Sakha (Kafr El-Sheikh) and Gemmiza (Gharbia), Agricultural Research Stations and three nitrogen fertilization levels, 30, 45 and 60 kg N/fed during 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 seasons. Six field experiments were carried out over the three locations in both seasons. Split plot design with three replications for each location. Nitrogen fertilization levels and genotypes were randomly allocated in main and sub-plots, respectively. Single and combined analysis of variance were achieved for data obtained in each season. Phenotypic stability of genotypes across 18 environments was computed according to **Eberhart and Russell (1966)**.

The results confirmed the existence of wide variation among flax genotypes and their performance reflected the significant effects of changes in locations and nitrogen fertilization levels as well as the interaction between them for all studied traits with some exceptions.

Means of all traits were significantly increased with increasing nitrogen fertilization levels from 30, 45 up to 60 kg N/fed except fiber fineness which decreased by increasing nitrogen levels in the three locations as well as combined analysis in both seasons. Gemmiza location was superior over the two other locations in most studied traits, i.e. straw yield/plant, number of fruiting branches, stem diameter, seed yield/plant, no. of capsules/plant and 1000-seed weight in the first and second seasons, as well as it was also superior for plant height, technical length, no. of seeds/capsule, fiber length and oil percentage in the first season

only while Sakha location come in the second rank which had high values of plant height, technical length, no. of seeds/ capsule and fiber length in the second season and fiber percentage in the first season, as well as maturity dates and protein percentage in both seasons. On the other side, Etay El-Barood come in the last rank which gave high values only for upper branches zone length in the first season and fiber and oil percentage in the second season as well as fiber fineness in both seasons.

The two strains S. 2419/1/1 and S. 402/1 proved to be the best general adapted genotypes for straw yield and some of its related traits. The strain S.435/11/10/3 exhibited specific adaptability to favorable environments such as Gemmiza (Gharbia) and high nitrogen level. The two strains S. 2465/1/3 and S.16 proved to be the best adapted genotypes for seed yield and some of its related traits. Thus these five good genotypes may be recommended to be propagated and grown commercially as new elite varieties.

Key Words: Flax, *Linum usitatissimum*, L. Nitrogen fertilization, Locations, Genotype x Environment interaction, Stability

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, ultimate thanks are due to Allah, Who without his aid this work could not be done

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Dr. **Abd El-Maksoud Mahrous El-Marakby**, Professor Emeritus of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his supervision, drawing the plan of the work, valuable help, and continuous encouragement and reviewing the manuscript.

My sincere thanks and appreciation to Prof. **Dr.** Afaf Mohamed Tolba Professor of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for her help during the progress of this study.

My great thanks are due to **Prof. Dr. Amna, H.H. El-Sweify**, Chief Researcher, Field Crops Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center for her supervision, kind help and reviewing the manuscript.

My gratitude is also offered to all the staff members and fellow colleagues of the Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, for their help and support during the course of this work.

Sincere thanks are due to all the staff members and colleagues of the Fiber Research Section, Field Crops Research Institute, Agriculture Research center for providing facilities and offering support and encouragement especially **Prof. Dr. Hussein M. Abo-Kaied.**

I am particularly indebted to my family for their moral support understanding and patience.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	II
INTRODCUTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
A- Effect of nitrogen fertilizer levels on flax genotypes	3
B- Effect of locations	10
C- Genotype x environment interaction and stability of flax	
genotypes	12
MATERIALS AND METHODS	24
I- Factors studied	27
II- Experimental design	29
III- Data collection	29
IV- Statistical analysis	31
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	35
A- Genotypes performance under different environments	35
B- Phenotypic stability	101
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	119
REFERENCES	126
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
Table 1a	Mechanical and Chemical analysis soil at Etay El-Barood,	
	Sakha and Gemmiza locations at a depth of 15 cm.	25
Table 1b	The monthly average degrees of maximum and minimum	
	temperature (Co), humidity and rainfed at the three	
	locations in the two seasons	26
Table 2	Name, pedigree and origin of fourteen flax genotypes used.	29
Table 3	Analysis of variance when stability parameters are	
	estimated	33
Table 4	Mean squares of Combined analysis of variance over 3	
	locations (L) and 3 nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in	
	2005/2006 and 2006/2007 for studied characters of flax	
	genotypes (G).	36
Table 5	Response of straw yield/plant (g) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	38
Table 6	Response of straw yield/plant (g) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	39
Table 7	Response of plant height (cm) of different flax genotypes	
	(G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization	
	levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	43
Table 8	Response of plant height (cm) of different flax genotypes	
	(G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization	
	levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	44
Table 9	Response of technical length (cm) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	47

Table No.		Page
Table 10	Response of technical length (cm) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	48
Table 11	Response of upper branches zone length (cm) of different	
	flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	51
Table 12	Response of upper branches zone length (cm) of different	
	flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	52
Table 13	Response of number of fruiting branches of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	55
Table 14	Response of number of fruiting branches of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	56
Table 15	Response of stem diameter (mm) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	59
Table 16	Response of stem diameter (mm) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	60
Table 17	Response of seed yield per plant (g) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	63
Table 18	Response of seed yield per plant (g) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	64
Table 19	Response of number of capsules per plant of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	67

Table 20	Response of number of capsules per plant of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	68
Table 21	Response of number of seeds per capsule of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	71
Table 22	Response of number of seeds per capsule of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	72
Table 23	Response of 1000-seed weight (g) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	75
Table 24	Response of 1000-seed weight (g) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	76
Table 25	Response of fiber length (cm) of different flax genotypes	
	(G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization	
	levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	79
Table 26	Response of fiber length (cm) of different flax genotypes	
	(G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization	
	levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	80
Table 27	Response of fiber percentage of different flax genotypes	
	(G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization	
	levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	84

	Page
Response of fiber percentage of different flax genotypes	
(G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization	
levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	85
Response of fiber fineness (Nm) of different flax	
genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	87
Response of fiber fineness (Nm) of different flax	
genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	88
Response of oil percentage of different flax genotypes (G)	
to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels	
(N) in 2005/2006 season.	91
Response of oil percentage of different flax genotypes (G)	
to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels	
(N) in 2006/2007 season.	92
Response of protein percentage of different flax genotypes	
(G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization	
levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	95
Response of protein percentage of different flax genotypes	
(G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization	
levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	96
Response of maturity date (days) of different flax	
genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	99
Response of maturity date (days) of different flax	
genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	100
	(G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season. Response of fiber fineness (Nm) of different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season. Response of fiber fineness (Nm) of different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season. Response of oil percentage of different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season. Response of oil percentage of different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season. Response of protein percentage of different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season. Response of protein percentage of different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season. Response of maturity date (days) of different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season. Response of maturity date (days) of different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season. Response of maturity date (days) of different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.

Table No.		Page
Table 37	Mean squares of stability analysis of variance for	
	characters studied in flax genotypes	103
Table 38	Means and stability parameters for straw yield/ plant, plant	
	height, technical length and upper branches zone length of	
	14 flax genotypes.	107
Table 39	Means and stability parameters for no. of fruiting branches,	
	stem diameter, seed yield/ plant and no. of capsules/ plant	
	of 14 flax genotypes.	109
Table 40	Means and stability parameters for no. of seeds/ capsule,	
	1000-seed weight, fiber length and fiber percentage of 14	
	flax genotypes	113
Table 41	Means and stability parameters for fiber fineness, oil	
	percentage, protein percentage and maturity date of 14 flax	
	genotypes	116

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.		Page
Table 1a	Mechanical and Chemical analysis soil at Etay El-	
	Barood, Sakha and Gemmiza locations at a depth of	
	15 cm.	27
Table 1b	The monthly average degrees of maximum and	
	minimum temperature (C°), humidity and rainfed at	
	the three locations in the two seasons	28
Table 2	Name, pedigree and origin of fourteen flax	
	genotypes used.	29
Table 3	Analysis of variance when stability parameters are	
	estimated	34
Table 4	Mean squares of Combined analysis of variance	
	over 3 locations (L) and 3 nitrogen fertilization	
	levels (N) in $2005/2006$ and $2006/2007$ for studied	
	characters of flax genotypes (G).	36
Table 5	Response of straw yield/plant (g) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	38
Table 6	Response of straw yield/plant (g) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	39
Table 7	Response of plant height (cm) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	43
Table 8	Response of plant height (cm) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	44
Table 9	Response of technical length (cm) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	47

Table No.		Page
Table 10	Response of technical length (cm) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	48
Table 11	Response of upper branches zone length (cm) of	
	different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006	
	season.	51
Table 12	Response of upper branches zone length (cm) of	
	different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L)	
	and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007	
	season.	52
Table 13	Response of number of fruiting branches of	
	different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L)	
	and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006	
	season.	55
Table 14	Response of number of fruiting branches of	
	different flax genotypes (G) to different locations (L)	
	and nitrogen fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007	
	season.	56
Table 15	Response of stem diameter (mm) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	59
Table 16	Response of stem diameter (mm) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	60
Table 17	Response of seed yield per plant (g) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2005/2006 season.	63
Table 18	Response of seed yield per plant (g) of different flax	
	genotypes (G) to different locations (L) and nitrogen	
	fertilization levels (N) in 2006/2007 season.	64