

**Perioperative management of Portopulmonary
Hypertension & Hepatopulmonary Syndrome in Liver
Transplantation**

Submitted for

Fulfillment of the master degree in anesthesia by

By

Mahmoud Salem Soliman

Faculty of medicine, Cairo University

Supervised by:

Prof.Dr.Feteha Kamal Tawfik

Professor of Anesthesiology

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Ass.prof.Dr.Sahar Badawyi

Ass. Prof. of Anesthesiology

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Dr.Mohsen Mohamed Sayed

Lecturer of anesthesiology

Faculty of medicine

Cairo University

Faculty of medicine

Cairo University

2010

Acknowledgement

First and foremost , thanks to God , most merciful and greatest beneficent.

*I would like to express my appreciation to professor **Dr. Feteha kamal Tawfik**, Prof. of Anesthesiology, Faculty of Medicine , Cairo University . For his sincere effort , valuable advice and great confidence that he gave me throughout the whole work. His time and supreme effort are clear in every part of this work. Many thanks & gratitude for him.*

*I am deeply grateful to **Prof.Sahar Badawi**, Prof of Anesthesiology, Faculty of Medicine , Cairo University for her sincere effort , valuable advice and great confidence that she gave me throughout the whole work. Her time and supreme effort are clear in every part of this work. Many thanks & gratitude for her.*

*I would like to express my appreciation to **DR, Mohesen Waheeb**, Lecturer of Anesthesiology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for his meticulous supervision and efforts to complete this work.*

Finally, I am very grateful to all staff members and colleagues in the department of Anaesthesia, Cairo University and to all those who kindly helped me to complete this work

Abstract

Key Words: Portopulmonary hypertension, hepatopulmonary syndromes, integrated approach.

Portopulmonary hypertension and hepatopulmonary syndromes are distinct clinical and pathophysiological entities occur in substantial proportion of candidates for liver transplant .These disorders are notoriously under diagnosed and have substantial impact on survival and liver transplant success rates

Integrated preoperative diagnosis, effective therapeutic approaches and wise anesthetic decisions make liver transplantation feasible & safe.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ALK1	Activin like kinase 1 gene
BMPR2	Bone morphogenetic protein receptor type 2 gene
CO	Carbon monoxide
CEE	Contrast esophageal echocardiography
CETEE	Contrast enhanced transeosophageal ecocardiography
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
CT	Computed tomography
ET-1	Endothelin -1
eNOS	Endothelial nitric oxide
FIO2	Fraction of inspired O2
HPS	Hepatopulmonary pulmonary syndrome
HRCT	High resolution computed tomography
INOS	Inducible nitric oxide synthatase
IPVD	Intrapulmonary vascular dilatation
L-NAME	L- nitro-arginine methyl ester
LT	Liver transplantation
MAPK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
mPAP	Mean pulmonary arterial pressure
NO	Nitric oxide
OLT	Orthtopic liver transplantation

PAH	Pulmonary artery hypertension
PA-aO ₂	Alveolar-arterial O ₂ pressure
PAOP	Pulmonary artery occlusion pressure
PELD	Pediatric end stage liver disease
PPVA	Porto-pulmonary venous anastmosis
PVR	Pulmonary vascular resistance
Q	perfusion
RAP	Right atrial pressure
RHC	Right heart catheterization
RVSP	Right ventricular systolic pressure
AO ₂	Alveolar O ₂
SLE	Systemic lupus erthromatosis
SVR	Systemic venous resistance
TNF-alpha	Tumor necrotic factor alpha
TC-MAA	Technicieum macro aggregated albumin
TRV	Tricuspid regurge velocity
VA,Q	Alveolar ventilation perfusion matching

List of figures

Figure (1) Pathophysiology of hypoxemia in HPS	10
Figure (2) Pulmonary vascular changes in HPS	12
Figure (3) Role of NO in HPS	16
Figure (4) NO dependant factors in pathogenesis in HPS	18
Figure (5) Mechanisms of arterial hypoxemia in HPS	24
Figure (6) HPS classification	26
Figure (7) Histopathology of HPS	30
Figure (8) Types of POPH in histopathological sample	31
Figure (9) Cinefluorography in PPVA detection	33
Figure (10) Intrinsic mechanisms of hypoxemia in HPS	38
Figure (11) Clinical features of sever HPS	47
Figure (12) Comorbid conditions mistaken as HPS	48
Figure (13) Chest radiography in HPS	52
Figure (14) Pulmonary angiogram in HPS	53
Figure (15) Contrast echocardiography in HPS	55
Figure (16) Perfusion lug scan	57
Figure (17) Algorism for screening and therapeutic decisions in HPS	60
Figure (18) Pulmonary angiography in POPH	68
Figure (19) Pulmonary angiography in IPAH	69
Figure (20) Contrast echocardiograph in detection of PPVA	73
Figure (21) treatment options and risk determinants in POPH	81
Figure (22) MELD and PELD scores for LT candidates	88
Figure (23) Decision tree in management of POPH	94
Figure (24) Reperfusion of liver graft in patient with POPH	95
Figure (25) survivors in POPH compared with IPAH	101
Figure (26) Po2 changes in patients awating LT	104
Figure (27) survival in HPS associated with cutoffs <	105
Figure (28) survival in HPS associated with Po2 cutoffs	107

List of figures

Figure 1 pathophysiology of hypoxemia in HPS.....	10
Figure 2 pulmonary vascular changes in HPS.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 3 role of NO in HPS.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 4 NO dependant factors in pathogenesis in HPS.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 5 mechnisms of arterial hypoxemia in HPS.....	24
Figure 6 HPS classification.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.6
Figure 7 Histopathology of HPS.....	30
Figure 8 types of POPH in histopathological sampls.....	31
Figure 9 cinefluorography in PPVA detection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 10 intrinsic mehcanisms of hypoxemia in HPS.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 11Clinical features of sever HPS.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 12comorbid conditions mistaken as HPS.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 13 Chest radiography in HPS.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 14 Pulmonary angiogram in HPS.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 15 Contrast echocardiography in HPS.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 16 Perfusion lug scan	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 17 algorism for screening and therapeutic decisions in HPS.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 18 Pulmonary angiography in POPH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 19 Pulmonary angiography in IPAH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Figure 20 Contrast echocardiograph in detection of PPVA.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 21 treatment options and risk determinants in POPH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 22 MELD and PELD scores for LT candidates.....	88
Figure 23 Decision tree in management of POPH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 24 reperfusion of liver graft in patient with POPH.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 25 survivors in POPH compared with IPAH.....	101
Figure 26 Po2 changes in patients awaiting LT.....	104
Figure 27 survival in HPS associated with cutoffs <.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 28 survival in HPS associated with Po2 cutoffs.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.

List of tables

Table (1) Different cutoffs in HPS diagnosis	35
Table (2) Revised clinical classification of pulmonary hypertension.....	62
Table (3) Distinctions between HPS and POPH.....	79
Table (4) Cardioascular changes affect perioperative in chronic liver.....	90
Table (5) Algorithm for pulmonary hemodynamic	93
Table (6) Mayo clinic Intraoperative guidelines in POPH.....	96
Table (7) Steps in evaluation of hypoxemics with liver disease.....	97

List of contents

<i>Title</i>	<i>page</i>
<i>INTRODUCTION.....</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>DEFINITION EPIDEMIOLOGY AND PREVALENCE</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND HISTOPATHOLOGY.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>HYPOXEMIA IN LIVER DISEASE.....</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>DIAGNOSIS, SCREENING AND PREOPERATIVE EVALUATION.....</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>PREOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT.....</i>	<i>75</i>
<i>INTRAOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT.....</i>	<i>88</i>
<i>POSTOPERATIVE MANAGEMENT.....</i>	<i>99</i>
<i>ENGLISH SUMMARY</i>	<i>113</i>
<i>REFERENCES.....</i>	<i>117</i>
<i>ARABIC SUMARY.....</i>	

INTRODUCTION

For better understanding the complicated and often mystifying language of modern medicine and owing to the success of liver transplantation (LT), there has been increasing recognition of the importance of pulmonary vascular complications of hepatic disease state. Such vascular complications include hepatopulmonary syndrome (HPS) and portopulmonary hypertension (POPH).

More importantly, since the late 1980s, experience has taught that such complications influence survival and candidacy for LT. Currently, LT is the only effective treatment for improving outcome in patients with HPS, a life-threatening condition whose prevalence can approach 20% in some series of patients awaiting LT.⁽¹⁾

POPH, occurring in the setting of liver disorders, another dramatic pulmonary–hepatic vascular condition, has prevalence in the order of 5% in hepatic patients submitted to LT. From a pathophysiological point of view, hepatopulmonary syndrome is almost exactly the opposite of portopulmonary hypertension.⁽²⁾

Unlike in HPS, in the moderate-to-severe stages of POPH, LT is not widely recommended, even being regarded a contraindication due to its negative perioperative and postoperative impact. As a consequence of its high mortality rates if getting transplantation without proper management, difficult pharmacological vasodilators strategies become mandatory before proceeding to LT.⁽³⁾

Prostanoids like epoprostenol, treprostinil and iloprost have showed a nice reduction in pulmonary hypertension in session of liver transplantation in front of POPH also endothelin receptors blocker as bosentan and ambrisentan showed the same promising results .Sildenafil also was effective either a mono or combined therapy in bridging to safe transplantation .⁽⁴⁾

Notwithstanding, it is now evident that knowledge and proper understanding of diagnosis and management of these two distinct entities are rudimentary, such that underdiagnosis, undertreatment and inconsistent management are common worldwide.⁽⁵⁾

Major objectives of orientation with liver induced lung injury

- 1) Increase awareness of both HPS and POPH in the medical community in order to minimize the growing impact of their morbidity and mortality.
- 2) Improving diagnosis and management of HPS and POPH through a major concerted effort by medical team deal with liver transplant especially for anesthesiologist in perioperative management in liver transplantation.

Identification approach to HPS & PPOH

The two pulmonary vascular consequences of portal hypertension and liver cirrhosis are hepatopulmonary syndrome (HPS) and portopulmonary hypertension (POPH). HPS is primarily a gas exchange problem characterized by arterial hypoxemia. While POPH is primarily a hemodynamic problem which can result in right heart failure and death, (HPS) and (POPH) are distinct clinical and pathophysiological entities that may accompany liver disease. Although characterized by markedly different clinical and physiological features⁽⁶⁾

Definition of hepatopulmonary syndrome.

hepatopulmonary syndrome is characterized by a defect in arterial-oxygenation, induced by pulmonary vascular dilatation in the setting of liver disease; patients of all ages can be affected , more seen in middle-aged patients with minimal sex difference, it can also occur in children The most common hepatic disorder leading to HPS is liver cirrhosis, irrespective of etiology although HPS has also been observed in many other chronic and even acute hepatic conditions⁽⁷⁾

Definition of portopulmonary hypertension.

Portopulmonary hypertension (POPH) is diagnosed when pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) exists in a patient with portal hypertension, in the absence of alternative causes of the PAH. POPH is likely best defined as pulmonary artery hypertension (PAH) associated with portal hypertension, whether or not that portal hypertension is secondary to underlying liver disease.⁽⁸⁾

HPS and POPH may occur within the same patient either the HPS preceded the onset of pulmonary hypertension or manifest simultaneously with POPH, with only a few reported cases documented to have pulmonary hypertension prior to developing HPS. ⁽⁹⁾

In several instances pulmonary hypertension follows liver transplantation, for which HPS was an indication for liver transplantation in addition that cure of HPS worsen the POPH ⁽¹⁰⁾

Epidemiology and prevalence of POPH

The first retrospective autopsy studies showed that the prevalence of POPH ranged from 0.25% to 0.73% in populations with portal hypertension or cirrhosis ⁽¹¹⁾

Recent work using hemodynamic studies have estimated the prevalence of POPH to be between 2% and 5% ⁽¹²⁾.

Its prevalence in patients with cirrhosis and refractory ascites has been documented at 16.1%, while its prevalence in patients with cirrhosis without refractory ascites has been in the range of 0.25% to 4%. ⁽¹³⁾

In addition, the prevalence in patients undergoing LT is likely higher, with a prevalence of 8.5% ⁽¹⁴⁾.

PAH helps to reclassify POPH as a form of secondary PAH. Conversely, the prevalence of portal hypertension in patients with PAH is approximately 10%. Identification of POPH is made an average of 4 to 7 years after the diagnosis of portal hypertension However, it These studies demonstrated the relationship between portal hypertension and is not

unheard for the diagnosis of POPH to be made before the diagnosis of portal hypertension⁽¹⁵⁾.

The mean age of presentation for POPH is in the fifth decade of life, as compared with the fourth decade for idiopathic PAH. A review from 1998 showed the average age of patients with POPH to be 13-49 years. The sex ratio was 1.1:1 (male: female).⁽¹⁶⁾

Epidemiology and prevalence of HPS

Hepatopulmonary syndrome (HPS) is a pulmonary vascular disorder, complicating hepatic diseases, most frequently liver cirrhosis and even though it is an indication for liver transplant, it is responsible for an increased morbidity and mortality among patients awaiting liver transplantation.⁽¹⁷⁾

Interestingly, the relationship between hepatopulmonary syndrome and liver cirrhosis dates back to 1884 when Fluckiger first described based on an observation of a woman with cyanosis, clubbing and cirrhosis. However, the term “Hepatopulmonary syndrome” was coined later on by Kennedy and Knudson in 1977.⁽¹⁸⁾

This term was proceeded with An autopsy study in patients with liver cirrhosis and cyanosis, reported in 1966 by (Berthelot et al) first suggested that marked pulmonary vascular dilatation may play a role in this condition.⁽¹⁹⁾

The prevalence of HPS in the setting of cirrhosis ranges between 4%-30%. Transplant hospitalization mortality was 16% in patients with HPS and 36% in patients with Portopulmonary hypertension.⁽²⁰⁾