



ASSESSMENT OF HYBRID PHOTOVOLTAIC ARRAY MAXIMUM POWER POINT TRACKING METHOD

By

Yasmin Adel Abdel-Sattar Ali Hagag

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Electrical Power & Machines Engineering

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

**ASSESSMENT OF HYBRID PHOTOVOLTAIC ARRAY
MAXIMUM POWER POINT TRACKING METHOD**

By

Yasmin Adel Abdel-Sattar Ali Hagag

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Electrical Power & Machines Engineering

Under the Supervision of

Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim

Associate Professor
Electrical power and Machine Dept.
Faculty of Engineering
Cairo University

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

**ASSESSMENT OF HYBRID PHOTOVOLTAIC ARRAY
MAXIMUM POWER POINT TRACKING METHOD**

By
Yasmin Adel Abdel-Sattar Ali Hagag

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Electrical Power & Machines Engineering

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Associate. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim, Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Essam El Din Aboul Zahap, Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Mostafa Abdel khalek Abu-Zeid, External Examiner

- Head of mechanical and electric organization , ministry of water resources and irrigation

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2017

Engineer's Name: Yasmin Adel Abdelsatar Ali Hagag
Date of Birth: 21/07./1982.
Nationality: Egyptian.
E-mail: Yasmin.adel.hagag@gmail.com
Phone: 01005392642-02 24710249
Address: Nasr city – Taqa street no 19
Registration Date: 11./10/2011
Awarding Date:/....../2017
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Electrical Power & Machines Engineering



Supervisors:
Associate. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim

Examiners:
Prof. Dr. Mostafa Abdel khalek Abu-Zeid
(External examiner)
Prof. Dr. Essam El Din Aboul Zahap
(Internal examiner)
Associate. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim
(Thesis main advisor)

Title of Thesis:

ASSESSMENT OF HYBRID PHOTOVOLTAIC ARRAY MAXIMUM POWER POINT TRACKING METHOD

Key Words:
MPPT, PV system, Offline, Online, Hybrid methods

Summary:

The high demand for electricity and also the recent variation in the natural conditions, drag the intention about the need for another Source of energy, recently the use of solar energy has been emerging. Photovoltaic arrays have limited conversion efficiency and thus, a maximum power point tracking (MPPT) technique is an essential component in a PV system, The MPPT is the strategy which guarantees that the PV system under any climatic changes gives the most extreme accessible power.

This research adopts the most common categorization scheme of the MPPT techniques which is founded on two classifications: online and offline methods. This grouping is according to either the predefinition of operating points without system data update (offline methods) or continuous sampling of system variables, to update the PV module measurements (online methods). In these methods there is a trade-off between the simplicity, low cost from one side and accuracy from other side. Simple and low cost method can't track the maximum point accurately, other accurate tracking techniques are usually complex and higher cost is required, also these techniques need very high experienced end customer.

In order to get better method of MPPT techniques that guarantees the PV system under any climatic changes gives the most extreme available power with lower cost, a combination of both method offline and online was integrated as new hybrid method.

In this thesis an assessment of hybrid method is presented. A number of MPPT techniques have been modeled using MATLAB/Simulink program and their efficiencies were compared after simulating them under different climatic conditions, the radiation and rapid change in radiation were taken into account. The introduced hybrid method shows excellent efficiency and better performance at startup and reacts well to fast changes in climatic conditions.

Acknowledgments

My sincere appreciation and special thanks are due to [Dr. Rameen Sayed AbdelTawab AbdelHady](#) at the National Water Research Center. She has been working with me for the last five years; my first steps in the field of research were under her close supervision and technical guidance. She aided me in setting the work plan and the methodology. Her contribution was major through all the phases of the research and her support was decisive in the publication of a scientific paper related to research topic. Her useful comments and remarks lead me to finalize the thesis. Also, her experience in solving technical problems during the simulation process was of great help to me.

My faithful appreciation and special thanks are due to my supervisor, [Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim](#), professor of Electrical Power and Machines Dept. Cairo University, Egypt, for giving me the honor of finishing my study under his supervision. His truthful comments encouragement are faithfully appreciated.

Also I would like to express my sincere thanks to my mother, father, husband, daughters and sisters, for their patience and continuous encouragement in study. Special thanks to my friends Ghada Said and Nashwa Ahmed for their tremendous support.

Last but not least, no words of thanks and feelings are sufficient.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	v
NOMENCLATURE	vii
LIST OF PUBLICATION	i
ABSTRACT	x
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	xi
1.1	1
Problem definition	1
1.2	2
Research objective	2
1.3	2
Research impact	2
1.4	2
Organization of thesis	2
CHAPTER 2 : THEORY OF I-V CHARACTERIZATION	4
2.1	4
Introduction.....	4
2.2	4
Theory of I-V characterization.....	4
2.2.1	5
Short circuit current	5
(ISC).....	
2.2.2	5
Open circuit voltage (VOC).....	5
2.2.3	5
Max power (P _{MAX}), current at P _{MAX} (I _{MP}), voltage at P _{MAX} (V _{MP}).....	5
2.2.4	6
Effect of temperature and solar radiation.....	6
2.2.4.1	6
Temperature measurement	6
considerations.....	
2.2.4.2	6
Radiation measurement	6
considerations.....	
2.2.5	9
Fill factor (FF).....	9
2.2.6	9
Efficiency (η).....	9
2.2.7	10
Influence of series resistance (R _S) and shunt resistance (R _{SH}).....	10
CHAPTER 3 : DC-DC	12
CONVERTER.....	
3.1	12
How maximum power point is achieved.....	12
3.2	13
DC-DC converters.....	13
3.2.1	13
The step-up converter (Boost converter).....	13
3.2.2	16
Step down converter (buck converter).....	16
3.2.3	18
Step down-step up converter (buck-boost converter).....	18
3.3	21
DC-DC converter for PV applications.....	21
CHAPTER 4 : MPPT	22
APPLICATION.....	
4.1	22
Applications of maximum power point trackers.....	22
4.1.1	22
Battery	22
charging.....	
4.1.2	22
Grid connected and standalone PV systems.....	22
4.1.3	23
Water pumping applications.....	23
4.2	23
The major features of MPPT techniques	23
4.2.1	23
Implementation.....	23

4.2.2	Sensors.....	23
4.2.3	Multiple local maxima.....	24
4.2.4	Costs.....	24
4.2.5	Applications.....	24
CHAPTER 5: CATEGORIZATION SCHEME OF THE MPPT TECHNIQUES.....		25
5.1	System overview.....	25
5.2	The MPPT control.....	26
5.2.1	Offline methods.....	27
5.2.1.1	Open circuit voltage method (OCV).....	27
5.2.1.2	Short circuit current method (SCC).....	29
5.2.1.3	Artificial intelligence (AI).....	31
5.2.1.3.1	Artificial neural networks method (ANN).....	31
5.2.1.3.2	Fuzzy logic method (FL).....	33
5.2.2	Online methods.....	35
5.2.2.1	Perturbation and observation method (P&O).....	36
5.2.2.2	Incremental conductance method (IncCond).....	38
5.2.2.3	Extremum seeking control method (ESC).....	41
5.2.3	Hybrid method.....	42
CHAPTER 6: INTRODUCED HYBRID METHOD RESULTS ASSESSMENT AND COMPARISON WITH ONLINE/OFFLINE METHODS		45
CHAPTER 7 :		57
CONCLUSION.....		
7.1	Future work.....	57
REFERENCES.....		58
.....		

List of Tables

TABLE 5.1	Fuzzy rule base Table	30
TABLE 6.1	Output power and efficiency of MPPT proposed methods	54
TABLE 6.1.A	R=1000 w/m ² , P _{max} = 30500 watt	54
TABLE 6.1.B	R=750 w/m ² , P _{max} = 22460 watt	55
TABLE 6.1.C	R= 250 w/m ² , P _{max} = 6847 watt	55
TABLE 6.2	Rapidly change in radiation.	56

List of Figures

Fig. 1.1	Basic component that formatting the PVG	1
Fig. 2.1	Single diode equivalent circuit of a solar cell	4
Fig. 2.2	Maximum power for an I-V Sweeping	5
Fig. 2.3	Typical IV / PV characteristic of a solar cell at 1 kW/m ² & different temp.	6
Fig. 2.4	IV characteristic of a solar cell at 75°C and different illumination levels	6
Fig. 2.5	PV characteristic of a solar cell at 75°C and different illumination levels	7
Fig. 2.6	Getting the fill factor from the I-V sweep	7
Fig. 2.7	Effect of diverging R_s & R_{sh} from Ideality	8
Fig. 2.8	Obtaining resistances from the I-V bend	9
Fig. 3.1	Block diagram of a typical MPPT system	10
Fig. 3.2	DC/DC converter helps in tracking the peak power point.	11
Fig. 3.3	Boost converter under continuous conduction mode of current	13
Fig. 3.4	Output voltage dependency on duty cycle D for the boost converter	14
Fig. 3.5	Simulated system diagram of boost converter	14
Fig. 3.6	Buck converter under continuous conduction mode of current.	16
Fig. 3.7	Output voltage dependency on duty cycle D for the buck converter.	17
Fig. 3.8	Simulated system diagram of buck converter	17
Fig. 3.9	Buck-boost converter under continuous conduction mode of current	19
Fig. 3.10	Output voltage dependency on duty cycle D for the buck-boost converter	20
Fig. 3.11	Simulated system diagram of buck-boost converter	20
Fig. 4.1	Battery charging application of MPPT	21
Fig. 4.2	Grid connected application using MPPT	21
Fig. 4.3	Pumping application of the MPPT.	22
Fig. 5.1	Sun power SPR-305-WHT; 5 series modules; 20 parallel strings	24
Fig. 5.2	Simulated system diagram of PV module	25
Fig. 5.3	System block diagram	25
Fig. 5.4	Optimum voltage versus open voltage	26

Fig. 5.5	Flowchart of open-circuit voltage	27
Fig. 5.6	Simulated system diagram of OCV method	28
Fig. 5.7	Simulated system diagram of the OCV method's subsystem	28
Fig. 5.8	Flowchart of short-circuit current	29
Fig. 5.9	Optimum current versus short current	29
Fig. 5.10	Simulated system diagram of SCC method	29
Fig. 5.11	Simulated system diagram of the SCC method's subsystem	30
Fig. 5.12	Basic neuron.	31
Fig. 5.13	Flow diagram of fuzzy inference system	32
Fig. 5.14	Membership function for inputs and output of fuzzy logic controller	33
Fig. 5.15	Sign of ΔP at different position on the power- voltage characteristic of a PV cell	35
Fig. 5.16	Flowchart of P&O technique	36
Fig. 5.17	the simulated system diagram of P&O method	37
Fig. 5.18	The MATLAB function block of P&O method	37
Fig. 5.19	Characteristic PV array power curve. variation of the dP/dV	38
Fig. 5.20	IncCond algorithm.	39
Fig. 5.21	Simulated system diagram of IncCond method	40
Fig. 5.22	Simulated system diagram of the IncCond method's subsystem	40
Fig. 5.23	Simulated system diagram of the IncCond method's MPPT subsystem	40
Fig. 5.24	MPPT controller scheme for the PV system by ESC	41
Fig. 5.25	Illustration of the strategy of hybrid	43
Fig. 5.26	Simulated system diagram of hybrid method	43
Fig. 6.1	Output generated power from PV system without MPPT control at different radiation levels	44
Fig. 6.2	Output generated power from PV system with OCV MPPT control at various radiation levels	45
Fig. 6.3	Output generated power from PV system with SCC MPPT control at various radiation levels	46
Fig. 6.4	Output generated power from PV system with P&O MPPT control at various radiation levels	47
Fig. 6.5	Output generated power from PV system with IncCond MPPT control at various radiation levels	48
Fig. 6.6	Output generated power from PV system with Hybrid MPPT control at various radiation levels	49

Fig. 6.7	Rapid change in radiation level for which the methods have been tested	50
Fig. 6.8	Output generated power from PV system with hybrid MPPT control at rapid change in radiation level	50
Fig. 6.9	Comparison between the effect of rapid change in radiation level on the hybrid method and the OCV method	51
Fig. 6.10	Comparison between the effect of rapid change in radiation level on the hybrid method and the SCC method	51
Fig. 6.11	Comparison between the effect of rapid change in radiation level on the hybrid method and the P&O method	52
Fig. 6.12	Comparison between the effect of rapid change in radiation level on the hybrid method and the IncCond method	53

Nomenclature

AC	Alternative Current (A)
AI	Artificial Intelligence
ANN	Artificial Neural Method
C_L	Converter capacitor (F)
D	Duty cycle
DC	Direct Current (A)
e_g	Band gap energy of the semiconductor
ε_{MPPT}	Relative tracking error in percentage
ESC	Extremum seeking control method
FF	Fill factor
FL	Fuzzy logic method
f	Frequency (HZ)
I	Current (A)
I_d	Diode current (A)
I_L	Photo-light current (A)
I_{max}	Maximum Current (A)
I_{MPP}	Current at maximum power point (A)
$IncCond$	Incremental conductance method
I_O	Saturation current (A)
I_{PH}	Photo current (A)
I_{ref}	Reference current (A)
I_{SC}	Short circuit current (A)
I_{Sh}	Shunt current (A)
K	Boltzmann's const. (1.4×10^{-23})
$K1, K2$	Constant
L	Converter Inductance (H)
MPP	Maximum Power Point
$MPPT$	Maximum Power Point Tracking
η	Efficiency
η_{max}	Max. efficiency
NB	Negative big
N_S	Number of PV cells connected in series
N_P	Number of PV cells connected in parallel
N	Diode ideality factor
OCV	Open Circuit voltage method
$P\&O$	Perturb and observe method
PV	Photovoltaic
PVG	Photovoltaic generator
P	Power (W)
PWM	Pulse Width Modulation
P_{max}	Maximum power (W)
P_{MPP}	Power at the Maximum Power Point (W)

P_{in}	Input power (W)
P_{out}	Output power (W)
P_T	Theoretical power (W)
PS	Positive small
PB	Positive big
PVG	Photo voltaic generator
q	Electron charge($1.6 * 10^{-19}$)
RCC	Ripple correction control method
R_i	Input resistance (ohm)
R_o	Output resistance (ohm)
R_P	Parallel resistance (ohm)
R_S	Series resistance (ohm)
SCC	Short circuit current method
S_i	Silicon
R_{Sh}	Shunt resistance (ohm)
STC	Standard test conditions
S	The level of the photovoltaic irradiation (Watt/m ²)
T	Absolute temp. (K)
t	Simulation time (sec)
t_{off}	Time when chopper is open (sec)
t_{on}	Time when chopper is closed (sec)
V_O	Output voltage (V)
V_i	Input voltage (V)
V_{OC}	Open circuit voltage (V)
V	Voltage (V)
V_L	Inductor voltage (V)
V_t	Thermal voltage (V)
V_{MPP}	Voltage at maximum power point (V)
V_S	Source voltage (V)
V_{max}	Maximum voltage (V)
V_{ref}	Reference voltage (V)
ZE	Zero

List of publication

Assessment of a proposed hybrid photovoltaic array maximum power point tracking method

Yasmin Adel ^{a,b}, Rameen Abdelhady ^a, Ahmed M. Ibrahim ^b

a: *National Research Center, Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation, Egypt*

b: *Electrical Power and Machines Department, Cairo University, Egypt*

Science Direct magazine

30 July 2016; received in revised form 14 October 2016; accepted 19 October 2016
Available online 5 December 2016

Abstract

Photovoltaic arrays have limited conversion efficiency and thus, a maximum power point tracking technique is an essential component in a PV system in pursuance of harvesting maximum power. Solar arrays exhibit nonlinear voltage-current characteristics that depend on solar radiations and temperatures. This makes the maximum power point tracking (MPPT) require prior prediction of the mentioned point in spite of the undeniable changes in the environment, for instance temperature and radiation. In this thesis an introduction and assessment of the different techniques of MPPT applied is presented. This research adopts the most common categorization scheme of the MPPT techniques which is founded on three classifications: online, offline and hybrid methods. This grouping is according to either the predefinition of operating points without system data update (offline methods) or continuous sampling of system variables, to update the PV module measurements (online methods). Whereas the introduced hybrid method is a combination of both.

Number of techniques from each class were simulated on a Sun Power SPR-305-WHT, which consists of 20 parallel strings and 5 series-connected solar modules per string, in MATLAB/Simulink environment so as to evaluate and compare the techniques performance. MATLAB/Simulink is selected, due to its reusability, extendibility, and flexibility in electrical power systems evaluation and analysis. Moreover, the hybrid method was simulated in two successive steps without pre-assumption of the output of the offline method. The results demonstrated the relevance of the hybrid method when applied to a photovoltaic system due to its good performance, fast response and less fluctuation, when subjected to sudden climatic change