



***"Mounting of coagulants on activated carbon for the removal of
organic pollutants from water resources"***

***A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of Master of Science as a Partial
Fulfillment for the Requirements of the Master of Science***

Chemistry

(Analytical Chemistry)

By

Mahmoud Abd AL Rahman Saad Mehany

(B.Sc., Applied chemistry, Ain Shams University)

To

***Chemistry Department
Faculty of science
Ain Shams university***

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Eglal Maryiam Raymond Souaya.

Prof. Dr. Gehad Genidy Mohamed

Dr. Hany Hussein Abdel-Ghafar

ABSTRACT

Name: Mahmoud Abd AL Rahman Saad Mehany

Title of thesis: Mounting of coagulants on activated carbon for the removal of organic pollutants from water resources

Degree: (M.Sc.) Master of Science thesis, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams, 2013.

The aim of this work is to study the effect of using mounting of different coagulant on activated carbon in the removal of phenol from drinking water.

This has been carried out through coagulation, flocculation and sedimentation. The coagulation has been carried out using alum, ferrous sulphate, poly aluminium chlorides and poly aluminium sulphate mounted on activated carbon by different ratio for each coagulant individually. Effect of each mounted coagulant was studied in raw water injected by phenol on the pH, total dissolved solids, turbidity and phenol concentration. The optimum dose, concentration ratio and time of treatment were estimated for the whole study.

Keywords: mounting, activated carbon, alum, ferrous sulphate, poly aluminium chlorides, poly aluminium sulphate.

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Eglal Maryiam Raymond Souaya

Prof. Dr. Gehad Genidy Mohamed

Dr. Hany Hussein Abdel-Ghafar

APPROVAL SHEET

"Mounting of coagulants on activated carbon for the removal of organic pollutants from water resources"

By

Mahmoud Abd AL Rahman Saad Mehany

(B.Sc., Applied Chemistry, Ain Shams University)

This Thesis towards a Master Degree In Inorganic Chemistry

Approved By:

1. PROF.DR. EGLAL MARYIAM RAYMOND SOUAYA

Professor of Analytical Chemistry,

Faculty of science, Ain Shams University.

2. PROF.DR.GEHAD GENIDY MOHAMMED

Professor of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry,

Faculty of Science, Cairo University.

3. DR.HANY HUSSEIN ABDEL GHAFAR

Water Pollution Research Department, National Research Center.

PROF. DR. HAMED AHMED YOUNES DERBALA

Head of Chemistry Department

Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am deeply indebted to **Prof. Dr. Eglal Maryiam Raymond Souaya**, Professor of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Science - Ain Shams University and to **Prof. Dr. Gehad Genidy Mohamed**, Professor of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Science - Cairo University and also to **Dr. Hany Hussein Abdel-Ghafar**, Water Pollution Research Department - National Research Center for their standing help, excellent scientific guidance, Continuous support, suggesting the plan of the search and their helpful comment. I would like also to express my profound gratitude to my parents, my brothers, my daughters, my colleagues Ahmed Gamal, Alaa Fareed and my sincerely wife for their helpful co-operation and encouragement during this work until it comes to light.

List of abbreviation

| No. | Abbreviations | Meaning |
|------------|----------------------|--|
| 1 | PAC | Poly Aluminum Chlorides |
| 2 | PAS | Poly Aluminum Sulphate |
| 3 | No. | Number |
| 4 | US | United state |
| 5 | WHO | World Health Organization |
| 6 | Alum | Aluminum Sulphate |
| 7 | PAHs | Poly Aromatic Hydrocarbons |
| 8 | MF | Million Feddans |
| 9 | BCM | Billion Cubic Meters |
| 10 | Yr. | Year |
| 11 | HAD | High Aswan Dam |
| 12 | MCLs | Maximum Contaminant levels |
| 13 | GAC | Granular Activated Carbon |
| 14 | SCADA | Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition |
| 15 | TOC | Total Organic Carbon |
| 16 | O&M | Operation and Maintenance |
| 17 | Mgd | Million Gallon per Day |
| 18 | Ft ² | Square feet |
| 19 | DAF | Dissolved Air Flotation |
| 20 | DBP | Disinfection Bi Products |
| 21 | DE | Diatomaceous Earth |
| 22 | DOC | Dissolved Organic Carbon |
| 23 | NOM | Natural Organic Matter |
| 24 | THMs | Tri Halo Methane |
| 25 | NTU | Nephelometric Turbidity Unit |
| 26 | N | Normal |
| 27 | rpm | Round per minute |
| 28 | ppm | Part per million |
| 29 | ms/cm | micro Siemen per centimeter |
| 30 | WTP | Water Treatment Plant |
| 31 | Alum/C | Alum mounted on activated carbon |
| 32 | Ferrous sulfate/C | Ferrous sulfate mounted on activated carbon |
| 33 | PAC/C | Polyaluminum chlorides mounted on activated carbon |
| 34 | PAS/C | Polyaluminum sulfate mounted on activated carbon |
| 35 | C.R.% | Concentration ratio |

SUMMARY

1) Chapter (I)

Introduction about water as importance, uses, common pollutants and a back ground about phenol in drinking water and its impact and their ways of removal.

2) Chapter (II)

Literature survey has been presented on ways of treatment of drinking water and the removal ways of phenol with a high light in water resource and challenges in Egypt.

3) Chapter (III)

Includes the experimental part, materials, solutions, their preparation techniques, instruments, analysis used in this work, and the practical procedures that have been carried out in this study.

4) Chapter (IV)

Results and discussion:

The first part: includes calibration curve using standard phenol solution. colourimetric method was used.

The second part: Includes the practical results of each coagulant mounted on activated carbon by different concentration ratio and the optimum condition of each was:

1- For alum mounted on activated carbon :

The optimum dose was = 30 ppm

The optimum concentration ratio = 50%

The optimum time was = 20 minutes

The removal percent of phenol was = 85%

The removal percent of turbidity was = 71.5%

2- For ferrous sulphate mounted on activated carbon :

The optimum dose was = 60 ppm

The optimum concentration ratio = 30 %

The optimum time was = 30 minutes

The removal percent of phenol was = 92.49%

The removal percent of turbidity was = 40%

3- For PAC mounted on activated carbon :

The optimum dose was = 60 ppm

The optimum concentration ratio = 30%

The optimum time was = 30 minutes

The removal percent of phenol was = 93.1%

The removal percent of turbidity was = 54.8%

4- For PAS mounted on activated carbon :

The optimum dose was = 60 ppm

The optimum concentration ratio = 30%

The optimum time was = 30 minutes

The removal percent of phenol was = 90.1%

The removal percent of turbidity was = 74.5%

- No considerable improvement has been achieved in the removal of phenol after 30 minute of treatment, so it's recommended to work at 30 minutes only.
- No considerable change happen for the pH during the study, all result still in acceptable limit.
- The removal percent of phenol is decreased by increasing time of treatment above 40 minutes. This can be explained by release of phenol after adsorption.
- The removal percent of phenol is decreased above the concentration of 1 ppm of phenol. No noticed removal at concentration 4 and 5 ppm.

5) Chapter (V)

Includes the conclusion that has been extracted from this work.

This study reported better results with respect to:

- Coagulant mounted on carbon with dose of :
 - 30 ppm for alum
 - 60 ppm for ferrous sulphate
 - 60 ppm for PAC
 - 60 ppm for PAS
 - Coagulant mounted on carbon by concentration ratio of :
 - 50% for alum
 - 30% for ferrous sulphate
 - 30% for PAC
 - 30% for PAS
 - Treatment time, 30 minutes has been found to achieve the maximum phenol removal.
 - Removal efficiency has been reached to 85% and 71.5% for phenol and turbidity, respectively, when alum mounted on activated carbon is used by dose of 30 ppm and concentration ratio of 50%. The removal efficiency has been gone higher up to 90.1% and 74.5% for phenol and turbidity, respectively, when PAS mounted
-

on activated carbon is used by dose of 60 ppm and concentration ratio of 30%. The removal efficiency of phenol has been gone higher up to 92.49 % when ferrous sulphate mounted on activated carbon is used by dose of 60 ppm and concentration ratio of 30%. Finally the removal efficiency of phenol has been gone higher up to 93.1 % when PAC mounted on activated carbon is used by dose of 60 ppm and concentration ratio of 30%.

- All the previous results have been achieved with initial concentration of phenol 1 ppm.

List of Tables

| Table No. | Table name | Page No. |
|------------------|---|-----------------|
| Table(1) | The used chemicals and their produced company and their specifications. | 34 |
| Table(2) | Tools and equipments. | 37 |
| Table(3) | The absorbance of phenol standards. | 41 |
| Table(4) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 42 |
| Table(5) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using alum mounted on activated carbon (10% concentration ratio) with dose = 30 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 43 |
| Table(6) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 44 |
| Table(7) | Effect of different dosage of alum/activated carbon (10%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 2 ppm). | 44 |
| Table(8) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 2 ppm, [alum/activated carbon 10%] = 30 ppm. | 46 |
| Table(9) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 47 |
| Table(10) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using alum mounted on activated carbon (10% concentration ratio) with dose = 30 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 48 |
| Table(11) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 49 |
| Table(12) | Effect of different dosage of alum/activated carbon (30%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 2 ppm). | 49 |
| Table(13) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 2 ppm, [alum/activated carbon 30%] = 40 ppm. | 51 |
| Table(14) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 52 |
| Table(15) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using alum mounted on activated carbon (50% concentration ratio) with dose = 30 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 53 |
| Table(16) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 54 |
| Table(17) | Effect of different dosage of alum/activated carbon (50%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 54 |
| Table(18) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [alum/activated carbon 50%] = 30 ppm. | 56 |
| Table(19) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 57 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|----|
| Table(20) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using alum mounted on activated carbon (70% concentration ratio) with dose = 30 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 58 |
| Table(21) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 59 |
| Table(22) | Effect of different dosage of alum/activated carbon (70%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 59 |
| Table(23) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [alum/activated carbon 70%] = 30 ppm. | 61 |
| Table(24) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 62 |
| Table(25) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using alum mounted on activated carbon (90% concentration ratio) with dose = 30 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 63 |
| Table(26) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 64 |
| Table(27) | Effect of different dosage of alum/activated carbon (90%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 64 |
| Table(28) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [alum/activated carbon 90%] = 30 ppm. | 66 |
| Table(29) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 70 |
| Table(30) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using ferrous sulfate mounted on activated carbon (10% concentration ratio) with dose = 30 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 71 |
| Table(31) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 72 |
| Table(32) | Effect of different dosage of ferrous sulfate/activated carbon (10%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 72 |
| Table(33) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [ferrous sulfate/activated carbon 10%] = 60 ppm. | 74 |
| Table(34) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 75 |
| Table(35) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using ferrous sulfate mounted on activated carbon (10% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 76 |
| Table(36) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 77 |
| Table(37) | Effect of different dosage of ferrous sulfate/activated carbon (30%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 77 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|----|
| Table(38) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [ferrous sulfate/activated carbon 30%] = 60 ppm. | 76 |
| Table(39) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 80 |
| Table(40) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using ferrous sulfate mounted on activated carbon (50% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 81 |
| Table(41) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 82 |
| Table(42) | Effect of different dosage of ferrous sulfate/activated carbon (50%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 82 |
| Table(43) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [ferrous sulfate/activated carbon 50%] = 20 ppm. | 84 |
| Table(44) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 85 |
| Table(45) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using ferrous sulfate mounted on activated carbon (70% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 86 |
| Table(46) | Characteristic parameters of raw water sample. | 87 |
| Table(47) | Effect of different dosage of ferrous sulfate/activated carbon (70 %) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 87 |
| Table(48) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [ferrous sulfate/activated carbon 70%] = 60 ppm. | 89 |
| Table(49) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 90 |
| Table(50) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using ferrous sulfate mounted on activated carbon (90% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 91 |
| Table(51) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 92 |
| Table(52) | Effect of different dosage of ferrous sulfate/activated carbon (90%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 92 |
| Table(53) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [ferrous sulfate/activated carbon 90%] = 40 ppm. | 94 |
| Table(54) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 98 |
| Table(55) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using PAC mounted on activated | 99 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|-----|
| | carbon (10% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | |
| Table(56) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 100 |
| Table(57) | Effect of different dosage of PAC/activated carbon (10%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 100 |
| Table(58) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [PAC/activated carbon 10%] = 60 ppm. | 102 |
| Table(59) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 103 |
| Table(60) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using PAC mounted on activated carbon (30% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 104 |
| Table(61) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 105 |
| Table(62) | Effect of different dosage of PAC/activated carbon (30%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 105 |
| Table(63) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [PAC/activated carbon 30%] = 60 ppm. | 107 |
| Table(64) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 108 |
| Table(65) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using PAC mounted on activated carbon (50% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 109 |
| Table(66) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 110 |
| Table(67) | Effect of different dosage of PAC/activated carbon (50%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 110 |
| Table(68) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [PAC/activated carbon 50%] = 40 ppm. | 112 |
| Table(69) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 113 |
| Table(70) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using PAC mounted on activated carbon (70% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 114 |
| Table(71) | Characteristic parameters of raw water sample. | 115 |
| Table(72) | Effect of different dosage of PAC/activated carbon (70 %) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 115 |
| Table(73) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [PAC/activated carbon 70%] = 60 ppm. | 117 |
| Table(74) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 118 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|-----|
| Table(75) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using PAC mounted on activated carbon (90% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 119 |
| Table(76) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 120 |
| Table(77) | Effect of different dosage of PAC/activated carbon (90%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 120 |
| Table(78) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [PAC/activated carbon 90%] = 80 ppm. | 122 |
| Table(79) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 126 |
| Table(80) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using PAS mounted on activated carbon (10% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 127 |
| Table(81) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 128 |
| Table(82) | Effect of different dosage of PAS/activated carbon (10%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 128 |
| Table(83) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [PAS/activated carbon 10%] = 60 ppm. | 130 |
| Table(84) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 131 |
| Table(85) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using PAS mounted on activated carbon (10% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 132 |
| Table(86) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 133 |
| Table(87) | Effect of different dosage of PAS/activated carbon (30%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 133 |
| Table(88) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [PAS/activated carbon 30%] = 60 ppm. | 135 |
| Table(89) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 136 |
| Table(90) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using PAS mounted on activated carbon (50% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 137 |
| Table(91) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 138 |
| Table(92) | Effect of different dosage of PAS/activated carbon (50%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 138 |
| Table(93) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [PAS/activated carbon 50%] = 40 ppm. | 140 |
| Table(94) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 141 |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| Table(95) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using PAS mounted on activated carbon (70% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 142 |
| Table(96) | Characteristic parameters of raw water sample. | 143 |
| Table(97) | Effect of different dosage of PAS/activated carbon (70 %) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 143 |
| Table(98) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [PAS/activated carbon 70%] = 60 ppm. | 145 |
| Table(99) | Characteristic parameters of raw water from MOSTOROD WTP intake. | 146 |
| Table(100) | Removal percent of phenol from water sample collected from MOSTOROD WTP intake using PAS mounted on activated carbon (90% concentration ratio) with dose = 60 ppm at time = 6 minutes. | 147 |
| Table(101) | Characteristic parameters of raw water samples. | 148 |
| Table(102) | Effect of different dosage of PAS /activated carbon (90%) on the elimination percent of phenol and turbidity ([phenol] = 1 ppm). | 148 |
| Table(103) | Effect of time on the removal percent of phenol. [phenol] = 1 ppm, [PAS/activated carbon 90%] = 60 ppm. | 150 |