Comparative study of sensitivity of detection of diaphragmatic injuries between laparoscopy and CT in haemodynamically stable patients with thoracoabdominal trauma

Thesis

For fulfillment of Master degree In general surgery

By

Mohamed Ahmed Mohamed Atwa MBBCH Cairo University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Salah Ali Abd Al Rahman Shaheen

Professor of general surgery Faculty of Medicine Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Hesham Mahmoud Salah Al Din Amer

Professor of general surgery Faculty of Medicine Cairo University

Dr. Nader Shabaan Zaki Atya

Lecturer of general surgery Faculty of Medicine Fayoum University

2011

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to God, the kind and merciful.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincerest thanks to **Prof. Dr Salah Ali Abd Al Rahman Shaheen**, Professor of General Surgery, Cairo

University for giving me the privilege to work under his supervision.

Many thanks to **Prof. Dr. Hesham Mahmoud Salah Al Din Amer**, Professor of General Surgery ,Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University for his support , encouragement and valuable advices throughout this work.

Words are not enough to express my great thanks and deep appreciation to **Dr. Nader Shabaan Zaki Atya**, lecturer of general surgery, Cairo University for his effort, comments, ideas, constructive criticism and support throughout this thesis.

Special thanks to **Dr. Tarek Osama Salem Hegazy**, who assisted me from my first day in my career, And for helping me in completing this thesis.

A very special thank to all my family and my colleagues for their support and encouragement throughout this work.

Table of Contents

LIST OF 7	TABLES	5
LIST OF I	FIGURES	6
INTRODU	UCTION	8
REVIEW	OF LITERATURE	11
Embryo	ology of the diaphragm	12
Congen	ital anomalies of the diaphragm	13
Anatom	ny of the diaphragm	14
Apertur	res of the diaphragm	18
Arterial	supply	20
Venous	drainage	22
Lympha	atic drainage	23
Innerva	tions	24
Relation	ns of the diaphragm	27
Anatom	ny of breathing	28
Inciden	ce and aetiology	30
Delayed	d diagnosis	31
Site of 1	rupture	32
Types o	of rupture	33
Mechan	iisms of injury	34
Classifi	cation of diaphragmatic injuries	36
Clinical	picture	37
Investig	gations	39
Non i	invasive diagnostic modalities:	39
1-	X-Ray	39
2-	Ultrasound (U/S)	42
3-	Computed tomography (CT)	42
4-	Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)	47
Invas	sive diagnostic modalities:	48
1-	Diagnostic peritoneal lavage (DPL)	48
2-	Laparotomy	49
3-	Video-assisted thoracoscopy (VAT)	49
4-	Laparoscopy	50

Treatment	52
Post-operative complications	60
PATIENTS AND METHODS	
RESULTS	66
DISCUSSION	84
SUMMARY	91
REFERENCES	94

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1:CLASSIFICATION OF DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY (MOORE ET AL., 1995)
	36
TABLE 2: AGE DISTRIBUTION AMONG STUDIED POPULATION	68
TABLE 3: SEX DISTRIBUTION AMONG STUDIED POPULATION	69
Table 4: Mode of trauma among studied population	70
TABLE 5: SITE OF TRAUMA AMONG PENETRATING TRAUMA CASES	71
TABLE 6: CT FINDINGS AMONG STUDIED GROUP	72
Table 7: CT findings in relation to type of trauma among studied group	73
Table 8: Diaphragmatic injury as detected by Laparoscopy among studied group	Ρ
	74
TABLE 9: LIVER TEAR AS DETECTED BY LAPAROSCOPY AMONG STUDIED GROUP	75
TABLE 10: SPLENIC INJURY AS DETECTED BY LAPAROSCOPY AMONG STUDIED GROUP	76
TABLE 11: LAPAROSCOPIC FINDINGS AMONG STUDIED GROUPS	77
TABLE 12: MODE OF TREATMENT AMONG DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY CASES	78
TABLE 13: RELATION BETWEEN TYPE OF TRAUMA AND DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY AMONG	
STUDIED GROUP	79
TABLE 14: RELATION BETWEEN SITE OF TRAUMA AND DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY AMONG	
PENETRATING INJURY CASES	81
TABLE 15: CT FINDINGS IN RELATION TO DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY AS DETECTED BY	
LAPAROSCOPY AMONG STUDIED GROUP	82
TABLE 16: CT ABILITY TO DETECT DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY AMONG STUDIED GROUP	83

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1:SURFACE ANATOMY OF THE DIAPHRAGM (GRANT'S ATLAS OF ANATOMY, 2009)15
FIGURE 2: ABDOMINAL ASPECT OF THE DIAPHRAGM (GRAY'S ANATOMY, 39ED.)	. 16
FIGURE 3: ARTERIAL SUPPLY OF THE DIAPHRAGM (SKANDALAKIS' SURGICAL	
Anatomy,2004)	. 21
FIGURE 4:VENOUS DRAINAGE OF THE DIAPHRAGM (SKANDALAKIS' SURGICAL	
Anatomy,2004)	. 22
FIGURE 5: MOVEMENTS OF THORACIC WALL DURING RESPIRATION (ESSENTIAL CLINICAL	
ANATOMY, 3RD ED.)	. 28
FIGURE 6: DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY	
(HTTP://www.ptolemy.ca/members/archives/2009/Diaphragmatic-Injur	
FIGURE 7: CHEST RADIOGRAPH AFTER NASOGASTRIC TUBE INSERTION CLEARLY SHOWING L	
DIAPHRAGMATIC RUPTURE WITH GASTRIC HERNIATION	. 40
FIGURE 8:LATERAL CHEST FILM SHOWING HERNIATION OF THE STOMACH INTO THE LEFT	
PLEURAL CAVITY (SABISTON TEXTBOOK OF SURGERY 18TH ED)	. 41
FIGURE 9:AXIAL POST IV CONTRAST CT THROUGH THE LOWER CHEST/UPPER ABDOMEN	
SHOWING LOOPS OF BOWEL HERNIATING THROUGH THE DISRUPTED LEFT	
HEMIDIAPHRAGM	. 43
FIGURE 10:SAGGITTAL CT SHOWING DISRUPTED LEFT HEMIDIAPHRAGM WITH HERNIATIO	
OF BOWEL	
FIGURE 11: LEFT HEMIDIAPHRAGMATIC RUPTURE. AXIAL (A) AND SAGITTAL (B) MDCT	
REFORMATTED VIEWS SHOW THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE HERNIATED STOMACH	
(ARROWS) CONTACTING THE POSTERIOR COSTAL ARCHES (DEPENDENT VISCERA SIGN	•
ARROWHEAD)	
FIGURE 12: THE CT IMAGE SHOWS SMALL BOWEL LOOP HERNIATED ACROSS LEFT	
HERMIDIAPHRAGM WITH COLLAR SIGN AT LEVEL OF TEAR	. 46
FIGURE 13:MRI OF RIGHT DIAPHRAGM RUPTURE SHOWS HERNIATION OF THE RIGHT LOB	
THE LIVER (SHANMUGANATHAN ET AL., 1996)	
FIGURE 14: DIAPHRAGMATIC TEAR DETECTED BY LAPAROSCOPY	
FIGURE 15: REPAIRED DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY	
(HTTP://www.ptolemy.ca/members/archives/2009/Diaphragmatic-Injuri	ies)
(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. 55
FIGURE 16: MAJOR BRANCHES OF PHRENIC NERVES FROM BELOW (SKANDALAKIS' SURGIO	
ANATOMY 2004)	56

Figure $f 17$: Distribution of branches of phrenic nerve (from above). Location of	F
INCISIONS (BROKEN LINES) THAT WILL NOT SERIOUSLY IMPAIR DIAPHRAGMATIC	
function (Skandalakis' Surgical Anatomy,2004)	56
FIGURE 18: DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY TREATED LAPAROSCOPICALLY BY A MESH	58
FIGURE 19:LAPAROSCOPIC REPAIR OF DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY	59
FIGURE 20: AGE DISTRIBUTION AMONG STUDIED POPULATION	68
FIGURE 21: SEX DISTRIBUTION AMONG STUDIED POPULATION	69
FIGURE 22: MODE OF TRAUMA AMONG STUDIED POPULATION	70
FIGURE 23: SITE OF TRAUMA AMONG PENETRATING TRAUMA CASES	71
FIGURE 24: CT FINDINGS AMONG STUDIED GROUP	72
FIGURE 25: DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY AS DETECTED BY LAPAROSCOPY AMONG STUDIED	
GROUP	74
FIGURE 26: LIVER TEAR AS DETECTED BY LAPAROSCOPY AMONG STUDIED GROUP	75
FIGURE 27: SPLENIC INJURY AS DETECTED BY LAPAROSCOPY AMONG STUDIED GROUP	76
FIGURE 28: LAPAROSCOPIC FINDINGS AMONG STUDIED GROUPS	77
FIGURE 29 : MODE OF TREATMENT AMONG DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY CASES	78
FIGURE 30: RELATION BETWEEN TYPE OF TRAUMA AND DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY AMONG	
STUDIED GROUP	79
FIGURE 31: RELATION BETWEEN SITE OF TRAUMA AND DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY AMONG	
PENETRATING INJURY CASES	81
FIGURE 32: CT FINDINGS IN RELATION TO DIAPHRAGMATIC INJURY AS DETECTED BY	
LAPAROSCOPY AMONG STUDIED GROUP	82

ABSTRACT

Trauma is the fourth most common cause of death in the population less than 45 years of age. Conventional open surgery is associated with significant morbidity and long convalescence. Laparoscopic surgery has been widely used as a minimally invasive surgery to treat diverse benign diseases such as benign gall bladder disease. Laparoscopy can be a valuable diagnostic tool in identifying diaphragmatic injury. It is best suited for those hemodynamically stable, asymptomatic patients who are at risk for diaphragmatic injury but who have no other indication for abdominal exploration.

The benefit of laparoscopic surgery in comparison with open surgery have been suggested with respect to decreased morbidity, decreased pain, faster recovery and shorter hospital stay.

Conclusion: In our study we compared between CT and Diagnostic laparoscopy in detection of diaphragmatic injury. The CT couldn't detect any injury but laparoscopy detected 5 injuries and used to treat one of them. There was no relation between mode of trauma, age of the patients, site of trauma and diaphragmatic injury. There is significant difference between the CT findings and diaphragmatic injury as detected by laparoscopy. So, clinical and radiographic findings can be unreliable at detecting occult diaphragmatic injury. Diagnostic laparoscopy provides a vital tool for detecting occult diaphragmatic injury among patients who have no other indications for formal exploration.

Keywords:

Diaphragmatic injuries Laparoscopy CT Haemodynamically stable Thoracoabdominal trauma

INTRODUCTION

The first endoscopic examinations of the peritoneal cavity were accomplished early in the 20th Century. In 1901, Dimitri Ott, a German gynecologist described "ventroscopy," a technique in which a speculum was introduced through an incision in the posterior vaginal fornix. Ott wore head mirrors to reflect light and augment visualization. Also in 1901, George Kelling, a German surgeon, reported using a cystoscope to examine the intra-abdominal viscera of a dog after insufflating the peritoneal cavity with air, and coined the term "celioscopy." Jacobeus performed the first human celioscopy in Sweden in 1910, advocating the technique for the evaluation of patients with ascites (Haubrich et al., 1987).

In recent years, laparoscopic surgery has become a matter of growing interest. Since its introduction in the late 1980s and the subsequent recognition of its advantages, minimally invasive surgery has been applied to almost all areas of abdominal surgery.

The first description of a traumatic diaphragmatic rupture was made by Sennertus in 1541(Christie et al., 2007).

Diaphragmatic rupture following blunt or penetrating trauma is a relatively common occurrence (Erwin et al., 2007).

Diaphragmatic rupture is frequently not recognized at the time of trauma because of the non-specific, varied and confusing clinical signs and radiographic findings (Vermillion et al., 2001).

CT imaging has some limitations in visualization of the diaphragm since the axial plane is tangential to the dome of the diaphragm. (Iochum et al., 2002).

Laparoscopy can be a valuable diagnostic tool in identifying diaphragmatic injury. It is best suited for those hemodynamically normal, asymptomatic patients who are at risk for diaphragmatic injury but who have no other indication for abdominal exploration (Erwin et al., 2007).

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Embryology of the diaphragm

The separation of the pleural and peritoneal cavities is affected by the development of the diaphragm. This forms from a portion of the septum transversum mesenchyme above the developing liver. The septum transversum is a population of mesenchymal cells that arises from the coelomic wall of the caudal part of the pericardial cavity. As the population proliferates, it forms a condensation of mesenchyme, caudal to the pericardial cavity and extending from the ventral and lateral regions of the body wall to the foregut. Dorsal to it on each side is the relatively narrow pleuroperitoneal canal. The endodermal hepatic bud grows into the caudal part of the septum transversum, whereas the cranial portion will form the diaphragm (Harding et al., 1999).

The septum transversum undergoes a progressive alteration in relative position. In a 2-mm human embryo, the dorsal border of the septum transversum lies opposite the second cervical segment but, as the embryo grows and the heart enlarges, it migrates caudally. At first the ventral border moves more rapidly than the dorsal, but after the embryo has attained a length of 5 mm, the dorsal border migrates more rapidly. When the dorsal border of the septum transversum lies opposite the fourth cervical segment, the phrenic nerve (C3, 4 and 5) and portions of the corresponding myotomes grow into it and accompany it in its later migrations. It is not until the end of the second month that the dorsal border of the septum transversum is opposite the last thoracic and first lumbar segments, the final position occupied by some of the dorsal attachments of the diaphragm. However, the

main derivatives of the central part of the diaphragm lie at considerably more cranial levels (Harding et al., 1999).

The components of the diaphragm are therefore the oesophageal mesentery and paired pleuroperitoneal membranes (posteriorly); septum transversum mesenchyme (ventrally); and excavated body wall (laterally) (Harding et al., 1999).

Congenital anomalies of the diaphragm

- 1- Diaphragmatic agenesis (Pelizzo et al., 2000)
- 2- Accessory and Duplicate Diaphragms (Becmeur et al., 1995)
- 3- Congenital diaphragmatic hernias (Kluth et al., 1993)

Anatomy of the diaphragm

The word diaphragm is of Greek derivation: dia, meaning "in between" and phragma meaning "fence" (Erwin et al., 2007).

The diaphragm is a curved musculofibrous sheet that separates the thoracic cavity from the abdominal cavity. Its mainly convex upper surface faces the thorax, and its concave inferior surface is directed towards the abdomen (Celli, 1998).

The muscle fibres of the diaphragm arise from the highly oblique circumference of the thoracic outlet; the attachments are low posteriorly and laterally, but high anteriorly. Although it is a continuous sheet, the muscle can be considered in three parts, sternal, costal and lumbar, which are based on the regions of peripheral attachment. The sternal part arises by two fleshy slips from the back of the xiphoid process, and is not always present. The costal part arises from the internal surfaces of the lower six costal cartilages and their adjoining ribs on each side, and interdigitates with transversus abdominis. The lumbar part arises from two aponeurotic arches, the medial and lateral arcuate ligaments and from the lumbar vertebrae by two pillars or crura (Celli, 1998).

The lateral arcuate ligament is a thickened band in the fascia that covers quadratus lumborum, and it arches across the upper part of that muscle. It is attached medially to the front of the transverse process of the first lumbar vertebra, and laterally to the lower margin of the twelfth rib near its midpoint. The medial arcuate ligament is a tendinous arch in the fascia that covers the upper part of psoas major. Medially, it is continuous with the