

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية







شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

### جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

#### قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٥٠ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٠٠% To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of 15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%



## بعض الوثائـــق الإصليــة تالفــة



# بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالإصل

#### Correlation between different Fetal Surveillance

#### **Tests and Pregnancy Outcome in**



#### **Prolonged Pregnancy**

#### THESIS

BY

Sadik Abdulla Bilal

M.B.B.Ch.

Submitted in partial fulfillment of

Master Degree in Obstetrics and Gynecology

#### Supervisors

#### Prof. Dr. Sayed Mohamed Kafafi

Professor and Chairman of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine,
El-Minia – University

#### Prof. Dr. Neven Mohamed Nour El-Din

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, El-Minia – University

#### Dr. Abdel-Razek M. Mohy El-Din

Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology Faculty of Medicine, El- Minia – University

FACULTY OF MEDICINE EL-MINIA UNIVERSITY 2001



العطنين

سورة الحج الآية (٥)

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

All gratitude and thanks are due to the beneficial and merciful all who helped me in this work and in all works allover my life.

It is a great to express my deep gratitude and appreciation to *Prof.*Dr. Sayed Mohamed Kafafi, Professor and Chairman of Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Faculty of Medicine, El-Minia University, for his kind help continuous guidance, constant encouragement and support.

I would like to express my deepest and to *Prof. Dr. Neven Mohamed Nour El-Din*, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology,

Faculty of Medicine, El-Minia University, for energetic help spending long hours in careful review of this work following its details with constructive advice and criticism.

I would like to express my best thanks and gratitude to *Dr. Abdel-Razek M. Mohy El-Din*, Lecturer of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, El-Minia University, for his sincere help in conduction of the practical part of the study and for his valuable guidance.

Lastly, I would like to thank all members in the department of Obstetrics and Gynecology in El-Minia University Hospital for their help.

Sadik Abdulla Bilal

#### **CONTENTS**

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION AND AIM OF THE WORK	1-3
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4 – 72
* Definition, incidence and aetiology	4
* Pathophysiology of postdate pregnancy	9
* Complication of postdate pregnancy	15
* Clinical diagnosis of postdate pregnancy	24
* Antenatal assessment of postdate	27
* Management of postdate pregnancy	66
PATIENTS AND METHODS	73 – 80
RESULTS	82 – 109
DISCUSSION	110-123
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	124-128
REFERENCES	129-151
ARABIC SUMMARY	

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AC Abdominal circumference

AFI Amniotic fluid index

AFV Amniotic fluid volume

Bpm - Beat per minute

BPD Bipariet al diameter

BPP Biophysical profile

CNS Central nervous system

CS Cesercan section

CST Contraction stress test

CTG Cardiotocography

EDO Expected date of delivery

FDA The U.S. Food & Drug Adminstration

FHR Fetal heart rate

FL Femur length

FVW Flow velocity waveform

HCG Human chorionic gonadotrophin hormone

IUGR intrauterine growth retardation

LMP Last menstrual period

ND Normal delivery

NST Nonstress test

OCT Oxytocin challenge test

Pl Puisatility index

Ri Resistance index

S/D Peak systolic over end diastolic ratio

SCBU Special care baby unit

SPTA Spatial peak-temporal average intensity

U/S Ultrasonography

VAST Vibro acoustic stimulation test

#### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	SUBJECT	PAGE
1	Patient characteristics in postdate and control	
	groups.	90
2	Patient characteristics in postdate subgroups and	
	control group	90
3	Neonatal and perinatal outcome in postdate and	
	control groups.	91
4	Neonatal and perinatal outcome in postdate	
	subgroups and control group.	92
5 <b>a</b>	Non-stress test, contraction stress test, AFI and	
	BPP in post date and control groups.	93
5b	Non-stress test, contraction stress test, AFI and	
	BPP in post date subgroups and control group	93
6	Relation between BPP and perinatal outcome on	
	considering the cutoff point = 4	94
7	Relation between perinatal outcome and	
	contraction stress test and non-stress test	94
8	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	
	non-stress and contraction stress test in predicting	
	perinatal outcome	95
9	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	
	BPP (cutoff point = 4) in predicting perinatal	
į	outcome.	95
10	Relation between AFI and perinatal outcome on	
	considering the cutoff point = 5	96
11	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	

	AFI (cutoff point = 5) in predicting perinatal	`
	outcome.	   
12	Relation between middle cerebral artery S/D ratio	96
	and perinatal outcome on considering the cutoff	
	point = 2.12.	
13	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	97
	middle cerebral artery S/D ratio (cutoff point =	
	2.12) in predicting perinatal outcome.	
14	Relation between middle ccrebral artery RI and	97
	perinatal outcome on considering the cutoff point	
	= 0.73.	
15	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	98
	middle cerebral artery R1 (cutoff point = 0.73) in	
	predicting perinatal outcome.	
16	Relation between middle cerebral artery Pl and	98
	perinatal outcome on considering the cutoff point	
	= 1.8.	1
17	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	99
	middle cerebral artery DI (and SS	
	middle cerebral artery PI (cutoff point = 1.8) in predicting perinatal outcome	
18	Relation between cerebral/umbilical ratio and	99
	perinatal outcome on considering the cutoff point	
	= 1.05.	
19	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	100
	cerebral/umbilical ratio (cutoff point = 1.05) in	
	predicting perinatal outcome.	
20	Doppler indices in post data arous as 1	100
21	Doppler indices in post date subgroups and	101

.

ţ

	,	
	control group.	102
22	Relation between umbilical artery S/D ratio and	
	perinatal outcome on considering the cutoff point	
	= 2.4	103
23	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	
	umbilical artery S/D ratio (cutoff point = 2.4) in	
	predicting perinatal outcome.	103
24	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	
	umbilical artery S/D ratio (cutoff point = 2.74) in	
'	predicting perinatal outcome.	104
25	Relation between umbilical artery RI and	104
	perinatal outcome on considering the cutoff point	
	= 0.56	104
26	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	
	umbilical artery RI (cutoff point = 0.56) in	•
	predicting perinatal outcome.	105
27	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	103
	umbilical artery RI (cutoff point = 0.62) in	
	predicting perinatal outcome.	105
28	Relation between umbilical artery PI and	105
	perinatal outcome on considering the cutoff point	
	= 0.82	106
29	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	100
	umbilical artery PI (cutoff point = 0.82) in	ļ
	predicting perinatal outcome.	106
30	Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of	100
-	umbilical artery PI (cutoff point = 0.82) in	
	1	
	predicting perinatal outcome.	107

31	Relation between perinatal outcome and BPP &	
	AFI	107
32	Relation between perinatal outcome and Doppler	
	indices	108
33	Relation between BPP and Doppler indices	108
34	Correlation between Doppler indices and AFI in	
	postdate.	109
35	Correlation between total BPP score and Doppler	
	indices in postdate	109
36	Correlation between Apgar scores and Doppler	
	indices in postdate.	109
<del></del>		i

• -

•

,

#### LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	SUBJECT	PAGE
1	Doppler ultrasound appearance for middle cerebral artery and umbilical artery (38 weeks)	86
2	Doppler ultrasound appearance for middle cerebral artery and umbilical artery (41 weeks)	87
3	Doppler ultrasound appearance for middle cerebral artery and umbilical artery (42 weeks)	88
4	Doppler ultrasound appearance for middle cerebral artery and umbilical artery (43 weeks)	89