

# **THE USE OF TWO DIFFERENT GUIDED BONE MEMBRANES WITH BONE SUBSTITUTE FOR IMMEDIATE DENTAL IMPLANTS**

A thesis submitted to Oral Surgery Department, Faculty of Oral and  
Dental Medicine, Cairo University

For partial fulfillment of the requirements of the  
Master Degree in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

By

Mohammed Abdulreda Dashti

**B.D.S. (2005) Cairo University**

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine  
Cairo University

2009

## **Supervisors**

DR. Ibrahim Ezzat Shindi

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Head of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

DR. Gamal Mohamed Moutamed

Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

((

))

113

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

First of all, I thank **Allah** for paving the way to fulfill this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to Dr. **Ibrahim Ezzat Shindi**, Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Head of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University. I will remain grateful to him for his great help and support, scientific supervision and kind guidance enabled me to finish this work correctly..

I am also grateful to Dr. **Gamal Mohamed Moutamed**, Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for his unlimited effort and guidance throughout this work. He did not save any effort and time in teaching, advising and encouraging me.

Finally many thanks to the members of Oral Surgery Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for kind cooperation during this work.

**الطبيب / محمد عبد الرضا دشتى**

**(2005)**

**كلية طب الفم والاسنان**

**جامعة القاهرة**

**2009**

\_\_\_\_\_

/ .

/



## - الأجنبية (Absract):

(key word: Fisiograft, absorbable collagen membrane, upper anterior teeth)

A total of 12 Implant Direct's Screw Plant <sup>TM</sup> implants \* were paced immediately after teeth extraction in 12 medically free patients.

From the obtained results, we can conclude that:

1. The use of Fisiograft material as a graft or bone substitute in conjunction with immediate implants in fresh extraction sockets has been reduce the expected rate of vertical crestal bone resorption around the immediately placed dental implants.
2. The bone quality in the form of better mineralization was improved and went to close the density of natural bone when Fisiograft gel used as a graft material to fill the gap around the immediate dental implants.
3. Loading the implants did not affect implant stability and it contributed to increase in crestal bone density in both groups I and II in one, two and three month's interval after loading.
4. The use of the resorbable collagen membrane with immediately placed dental implants resulting in comparable promising results but was significantly lower than that with Fisiograft gel group.

## **LIST OF CONTENTS**

	Page
LIST OF FIGURES	ii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
AIM OF THE STUDY	37
MATERIALS AND METHODS	38
RESULTS	71
DISCUSSION	93
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	103
REFERENCES	108
ARABIC SUMMARY	133



## **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>Fig. No</b>		<b>Page</b>
Fig. (1)	Preoperative panoramic radiograph showing fractured upper central incisor (included in this study).	45
Fig. (2)	Preoperative periapical radiograph for a case showing fractured upper central incisor (included in this study).	45
Fig. (3)	Photograph of a case showing carious badly broken non restorable tooth (included in this study).	49
Fig. (4)	The flap was restricted to the tooth to be extracted only with preservation of the interdental papillae.	49
Fig. (5)	Surgical tray used in surgical procedures.	50
Fig. (6)	The sequence of standard drills.	50
Fig. (7)	Direct's Screw Plant <sup>TM</sup> implants surgical kit.	51
Fig. (8)	Direct's Screw Plant <sup>TM</sup> implants of 3.7, 4.7, and 5.7 mm diameter.	52
Fig. (9)	Direct's Screw Plant <sup>TM</sup> implant packing.	53
Fig. (10)	Direct's Screw Plant <sup>TM</sup> implants sterile vial and fixture-mount packing.	56
Fig. (11)	Preparation of the socket to receive the immediate implant	57

Fig. (12)	Implant inserted into the prepared socket with finger pressure.	57
Fig. (13)	A photograph showing the single use sterile syringe of FISIOGRAFT GEL	58
Fig. (14)	Application of FISIOGRAFT GEL to fill the gap between the implant and the surrounding bony walls of the socket in group I	58
Fig. (15)	FISIOGRAFT GEL completely fill the gap between the implant and the surrounding bony walls of the socket in group I	59
Fig. (16)	Placement of the collagen absorbable membrane over the socket after immediate implant placement in group II.	59
Fig. (17)	Flap closure with interrupted sutures for a case of group II.	60
Fig. (18)	Flap closure with interrupted sutures for a case of group I.	60
Fig. (19)	Immediate postoperative panoramic radiograph for the immediate implant.	62
Fig. (20)	A photograph showing provisional removable prostheses made of acrylic resin.	62

Fig. (21)	A photograph showing implant abutment in its place.	64
Fig. (22)	A photograph showing the permanent full ceramic crown cemented in place in the patient mouth.	64
Fig. (23)	Postoperative periapical radiograph for the immediate implant once loading was applied.	65
Fig. (24)	Postoperative panoramic radiograph for the immediate implant once loading was applied.	65
Fig. (25)	Figure 25: Digora <sup>®</sup> software for Windows used for density measurements (Crestal Bone Density, CBD)	70
Fig. (26)	Figure 26: Digora <sup>®</sup> software for Windows used for (Vertical Bone Loss, VBL)	70
Fig. (27)	Photograph shows complete soft tissue healing with keratinized gingiva was observed within 3 weeks postoperative	74
Fig. (28)	Panoramic radiograph shows no evidence of peri-implant radiolucency at 6 months after implant insertion	74
Fig. (29)	Crestal bone Density measurement distal to implant. mean Crestal Bone Density (CBD) in the two groups.	81
Fig. (30)	Crestal bone Density measurement mesial to implant.	81
Fig. (31)	Vertical Bone Loss (VBL) measurement at 3 months	82

	postoperative.	
Fig. (32)	Vertical Bone Loss (VBL) measurement at 6 months postoperative.	82
Fig. (33)	Distal Vertical Bone Loss (VBL) measurement at 3 month after loading.	83
Fig. (34)	Mesial Vertical Bone Loss (VBL) measurement at 3 month after loading.	83
Fig. (35)	The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for the comparison between mean Crestal Bone Density (CBD) in the two groups.	88
Fig. (36)	The mean differences, standard deviation (SD) values and results of paired t-test for the changes by time in mean Crestal Bone Density (CBD) of group I.	88
Fig. (37)	The mean differences, standard deviation (SD) values and results of paired t-test for the changes by time in mean Crestal Bone Density (CBD) of group II.	89
Fig. (38)	The mean % changes, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for comparison between % increases in Crestal Bone Density (CBD) of the two groups.	89
Fig. (39)	The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for the comparison between mean Vertical Bone Loss (VBL) in the two groups.	92

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>Tab. No</b>		<b>Page</b>
Tab. (1)	The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for the comparison between mean Crestal Bone density (CBD) in the two groups.	84
Tab. (2)	The mean differences, standard deviation (SD) values and results of paired t-test for the changes by time in mean Crestal bone density (CBD) of group I.	85
Tab. (3)	The mean differences, standard deviation (SD) values and results of paired t-test for the changes by time in mean Crestal Bone Density (CBD) of group II.	86
Tab. (4)	The mean % changes, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for comparison between % increase in Crestal Bone Density (CBD) of the two groups.	87
Tab. (5)	The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for the comparison between mean Vertical Bone Loss (VBL) in the two groups.	91

## **INTRODUCTION**

A dental implant is a biomaterial surgically inserted into the alveolar ridge of the jaws for functional and/or cosmetic purposes <sup>(1)</sup>. It is the “tooth root” analogue and is often referred to as a “fixture” <sup>(2)</sup>. Dental implants provide studs to which prosthesis can be fixed <sup>(3)</sup>, and usually restricted to patients with completed craniofacial growth <sup>(4)</sup>.

Dental implants are effective in the treatment of complete and partial edentulism with high rate success and long-term stability <sup>(5, 6)</sup>. In fact, they are used routinely to support dental and craniofacial restorations <sup>(7)</sup>.

According to Bilhan <sup>(8)</sup> endosseous implant-based prosthesis are successful, effective and predictive devices for replacing missing teeth. In fact, replacement of missing teeth by means of endosseous dental implants has become an important and fundamental part of dentistry <sup>(9)</sup>.

The goal of modern modalities in dentistry is to have patients with normal contour, function, comfort, aesthetics and speech. The replacement of lost natural teeth by osseointegrated implants represents one of the most significant advances in restorative dentistry that serves to achieve this goal <sup>(10)</sup>.

Maintaining bone quality and quantity in the alveolar ridge during and after tooth removal is critical for assuring good aesthetic and functional results and minimizing the need for grafting procedures prior to implant

placement<sup>(11)</sup>. Following tooth extraction, bone remodelling usually takes place with the final outcome of alveolar bone reduction in both height and width which may results in difficult surgical or restorative prognosis<sup>(12)</sup>.

The placement of immediate implants prevents bone resorption and preserves the alveolar crest at the extraction site<sup>(13)</sup>. Immediate implantation also offers better aesthetic results and reduces the number of surgical sessions needed<sup>(14, 15)</sup>.

These benefits are associated by a major drawback due to the lack of adaptation between the bone and the implant surface in the coronal portion, which may alter the immediate stability of the immediate implant and can be occupied by epithelial cells, which induce fibro-integration and thus failure of osseointegration<sup>(16, 17)</sup>.

In an attempt to preserve alveolar bone prior to implant placement and to fill the peri-implant space, various bone graft materials have been used immediately following tooth extraction for these purposes<sup>(18,19)</sup>.

Intense researches are currently underway to synthesize new biologic materials that may enhance bone regeneration. Fisiograft (synthesized polylactic-polyglycolic co-polymer) with its three different forms “sponge, powder, and gel form” are available, and can be adapt to the variety of cases which require filler for the eventual formation of new bone<sup>(20)</sup>.