

***EFFECT OF TELESCOPIC CROWN,
MAGNETIC ATTACHMENT AND RPI CLASP
ON THE SUPPORTING STRUCTURES FOR
LOWER KENNEDY CLASS I DENTURES***

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry – Ain Shams
University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement
for the Doctor Degree in Oral and Maxillo-Facial
Prosthodontics

By

Maha Nagi Mohammed Kamal
B.D.S (Cairo University-2005)
M.D.S. (Cairo University- 2010)

Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

2014

SUPERVISORS

**DR. Fatma Elzahraa Awad
Sayed**

Professor of Oral and Maxillo-Facial Prosthodontics
Vice Dean Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

DR. Rami Maher Ghali

Associate Professor of Oral and Maxillo-Facial
Prosthodontics
Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ
وَعَلَّمَكَ اللَّهُ الْكِتَابَ
وَكَانَ أَنْ فَضَّلَ اللَّهُ عَلَيْكَ عَظِيمًا

TO

MY

MOTHER

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*Words will never be enough to express how I am deeply thankful to **ALLAH**. It was his blessing that made this work be accomplished.*

*I would like to express my sincere grateful appreciation to **Prof. Fatma Elzahraa Awad Sayed** Professor of Oral and Maxillo-Facial Prosthodontics, Vice Dean Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University. I was fortunate to conduct this work under her valuable supervision. Her sincere support, guidance, tremendous knowledge, and constructive comments will always be remembered.*

*I would like to deeply thank **Dr. Rami Maher Ghali**, Associate professor of Oral and Maxillo-Facial Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his valuable supervision, continuous encouragement, and guidance. He generously gave me much of his time and care that no words can be sufficient to express my gratitude.*

*Special thanks and grateful appreciation to **Dr. Khaled Kera**, for his valuable efforts in carrying out the statistical analysis of the results of this study.*

*Also I would like to thank all **my patients** for their co-operation, and to thank every person who supported me to accomplish this work,*

*I would like to express my grateful appreciation to **all staff members of BUE University** for their support, co-operation, and kindness.*

LIST OF CONTENTS

CONTENT	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
EDENTULISM	3
➤ Sequelae of partial edentulism	3
➤ Classification of partial edentulism	5
PROBLEMS AND DESIGN PRINCIPLES OF KENNEDY CLASS I	11
➤ Problems of Distal Extension Base Removable Partial Dentures	11
➤ Treatment modalities and Prosthetic Management of Distal Extension cases	14
1. Conventional Removable Partial Dentures	14
2. Removable partial overdentures	17
3. Telescopic partial dentures	18
4. Fixed partial dentures with cantilever bridge	18
5. Implant supported partial dentures	19
DIRECT RETAINERS	20

Retainers for Distal Extension Bases	21
1. Clasp retainer	21
2. Attachment retainer	25
➤ Classification of attachments	25
➤ Attachment selection	28
TELESCOPIC RETAINERS	30
➤ Types of telescopic retainers	30
➤ Indications of telescopic attachment	33
➤ Advantages and disadvantages of telescopic retainer	34
MAGNETIC RETAINERS	36
➤ Magnetic Materials	37
➤ Components of magnetic attachment	38
➤ Open versus closed magnetic system	40
➤ Advantages and limitations of magnetic attachment	40
EVALUATION OF TREATMENT MODALITIES	44
AIM OF THE STUDY	50

MATERIALS AND METHODS	51
RESULTS	78
DISCUSSION	
➤ DISCUSSION OF METHODOLOGY	105
➤ DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	113
SUMMERY	125
CONCLUSION	128
REFERENCES	129
ARABIC SUMMERY	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	LEGEND	PAGE
Figure (1)	Kenndy class I lower ridge.	52
Figure (2 a,b)	Upper and lower primary impressions	53
Figure (3)	Secondary impression for metal framework construction.	55
Figure (4)	Wax pattern for group I.	56
Figure (5)	RPI retained metal framework.	56
Figure (6)	Altered cast impression.	57
Figure (7)	RPI retained partial denture.	58
Figure (8)	Reduction of first premolar bilaterally to receive telescopic crowns.	60
Figure (9)	Rubber base impression for copings construction.	60
Figure (10)	Cemented copings.	61
Figure (11)	Wax pattern for group II.	62
Figure(12)	Telescopic crown retained framework.	62
Figure(13)	Telescopic retained partial denture.	62
Figure(14)	Reduction of first premolar bilaterally to receive the keepers.	63
Figure (15)	Wax pattern for group III.	64

Figure (16)	Magnetic attachment retained metal framework.	64
Figure (17)	Magnetic attachment retained partial denture.	64
Figure (18)	The drill, the keepers and the magnetic attachment.	65
Figure (19)	Cemented keepers.	66
Figure (20)	Clearance space on the denture fitting surface.	66
Figure (21)	Two holes at the lingual flange.	67
Figure (22)	The picked up magnets.	67
Figure (23)	Clinical attachment level measurement.	70
Figure (24)	Periotest device.	71
Figure (25)	Periotest application and measurements.	71
Figure (26)	Radiographic template.	73
Figure (27)	Image taking with radiographic template in the patient`s mouth.	74
Figure (28)	Crestal bone height measurements.	75
Figure (29)	Ridge height measurements.	76
Figure (30)	Bar chart representing mean satisfaction scores in the three groups.	79
Figure (31)	Bar chart representing means gingival index in the three groups along the follow up periods.	80
Figure (32)	Line chart representing effect of time on the change in gingival index scores in the three groups.	81

Figure (33)	Bar chart representing means of clinical attachment level in the three groups along the follow up periods.	82
Figure (34)	Line chart representing effect of time on the change in clinical attachment level in the three groups.	84
Figure (35)	Bar chart representing means Periotest scores in the three groups along the follow up periods.	85
Figure (36)	Line chart representing the effect of time on the changes in Periotest scores in the three groups.	86
Figure (37)	Bar chart representing crestal bone height changes mesial to the abutment in the three groups along the follow up periods.	88
Figure (38)	Bar chart representing mean crestal bone height changes mesial to the abutments in the three groups along the follow up periods.	89
Figure (39)	Line chart representing the effect of time on the changes in crestal bone height mesial to the abutments in the three groups.	90
Figure (40)	Bar chart representing crestal bone height changes distal to the abutment in the three groups along the follow up periods.	91
Figure (41)	Bar chart representing mean crestal bone height changes distal to the abutments in the three groups along the follow up periods.	93
Figure (42)	Line chart representing the effect of time on the changes in crestal bone height distal to the abutments in the three groups.	94
Figure (43)	Bar chart representing the mean bone height changes 7mm distal to the abutments in the three groups along follow up periods.	96
Figure (44)	Bar chart representing mean bone height changes 7mm distal to the abutments in the three groups along the follow up periods.	97

Figure (45)	Line chart representing effect of time on the changes in mean crestal bone height of the residual ridge 7mm distal to the abutments in the three groups.	98
Figure (46)	Bar chart representing mean bone height changes 27mm distal to the abutments in the three groups along follow up periods.	100
Figure (47)	Bar chart representing mean bone height changes 27mm distal to the abutments in the three groups along the follow up periods.	101
Figure (48)	Line chart representing effect of time on the changes in mean crestal bone height of the residual ridge 27mm distal to the abutments in the three groups.	103
Figure (49)	Bar chart representing mean amounts of bone height changes around the abutment and at the ridge within each group along follow up periods.	104

LIST OF TABLES

FIGURE	LEGEND	PAGE
Table (1)	Means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for the comparison between mean satisfaction scores in the three groups.	78
Table (2)	Means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Kruskal-Wallis test for the comparison between mean gingival index in the three groups along the follow up period.	79
Table (3)	Means, standard deviation values and results of Friedman's test for the effect of time on the gingival index in the three groups.	81
Table (4)	Means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of ANOVA test for comparing of clinical attachment level in the three groups along the follow up period.	82
Table (5)	Means, standard deviation values and results of ANOVA test for the effect of time on the clinical attachment level in the three groups.	83
Table (6)	Means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Kruskal-Wallis test for the comparison between mean Periotest score in the three groups along the follow up periods.	84
Table (7)	Means, standard deviation values and results of Friedman's test and Wilcoxon test for the effect of time on the changes in Periotest scores in the three groups.	86
Table (8)	Means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of one-way ANOVA test for the comparison between crestal bone height changes mesial to the abutments in the three groups along the follow up periods.	87

Table (9)	Means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for the comparison between crestal bone height changes mesial to the abutments in the three groups along follow up periods.	88
Table (10)	Means, standard deviation values and results ANOVA and Tukey's tests for the effect of time on the changes in crestal bone height mesial to the abutments in the three groups.	90
Table (11)	Means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of one-way ANOVA test for the comparison between crestal bone height changes distal to the abutments in the three groups along the follow up periods.	91
Table (12)	Means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests for the comparison between the mean of crestal bone height changes distal to the abutments in the three groups along follow up periods.	92
Table (13)	Means, standard deviation values and results ANOVA and Tukey's tests for the effect of time on the changes in crestal bone height distal to the abutments in the three groups.	94
Table (14)	Means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of one-way ANOVA test for the comparison between mean bone height changes of the three groups along the follow up periods.	95
Table (15)	Means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Kruskal-Wallis test for the comparison between the mean of bone height changes 7mm distal to the abutments in the three groups along follow up periods.	96
Table (16)	Means, standard deviation values and results of repeated measures ANOVA and Tukey's tests for the effect of time on the changes in crestal bone height of the residual ridge 7mm distal to the abutments in the three groups.	98