

The Effect of Grafting the Horizontal Gap Distance in Non-Submerged Immediate Single-Tooth Implants on Hard and Soft Tissues

Thesis Submitted

*In partial fulfillment of the requirements for master degree in oral
and maxillofacial surgery*

Presented by

Akram Abdullah Al-Shamma'a

B.D.S. 2011

Hodeida University – Yemen

Supervisors

Salah Abdel Fattah Ahmed Metwally

Professor in Oral and maxillofacial surgery department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University

Karim Mohamed Mahmoud AbdelMohsen

Lecturer in Oral and maxillofacial surgery department

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain-Shams University

2017



DEDICATION

*This manuscript is dedicated to all of the people who have supported and encouraged me throughout my life. To my mother and father, I am eternally grateful for the sacrifices that you both endured to allow me to pursue my goals. None of this would have been possible without your guidance, love, and support. Thanks to my older brother, **Abdullrahman**, for your unconditional support and encouragement. To my wife **Afaq** and son **Qusai**, thank you for your never-ending love and support and for the joy that you bring to each day of my life. I love each and every one of you and am eternally grateful to have such a wonderful family. Last but not least, I would like to dedicate this project to my injured country (**Yemen**) and to my second home (**Egypt**) the place where I spent three amazing years that I will never forget.*




ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to extend my sincerest thanks and appreciation to those patient souls who helped me accomplish this study. I would like to extend my gratitude to the all of the faculty and staff in the Department of Oral and maxillofacial surgery at Faculty of Dentistry, Ain shams University and special recognition goes to the following individuals:

Dr. Amr Amin, Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, for his dedication to the field of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and for his unending dedication to making the most of my training experience.

German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD), nothing of this would have been possible without your financial and academic support, I am eternally grateful to all the hard work and support you provide to many students around the world.

Dr. Rami Gamal and Dr. Mohamed Shady, for their fabulous work in radiographic assessment and statistical analysis, the effort and time invested is deeply appreciated.



*I would also like to thank the following people for they have been like brothers to me and always support and encourage me: **Dr. Yasser Elhadedi, Dr. Mahmoud Fadly, Dr. Ahmed Nabil**, to get to know you was the most beautiful experience I have ever had, you were like a family to me and for that I am eternally thankful to all and every one of you.*



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- 1- **GBR** : Guided Bone Regeneration
- 2- **ABB** : Anorganic Bovine Bone
- 3- **HA** : Hydroxy Apatite
- 4- **HG** : Horizontal Gap
- 5- **GB** : gingival biotype
- 6- **LBP** : labial bone Plate
- 7- **CBCT**: Cone Beam Computed Tomography
- 8- **PES** : Pink Esthetic Score
- 9- **ASA**: American Association of Anesthesiologists
- 10- **B-TCP** : Beta Tricalcium Phosphate
- 11- **SBS**: Synthetic Bone Substitute
- 12- **DBBM** : Deproteinized Bovine Bone Mineral
- 13- **AB** : Autogenous Bone




ABSTRACT

The Effect of Grafting the Horizontal Gap Distance in Non-Submerged Immediate Single-Tooth Implants on Hard and Soft Tissues

Aims. The purpose of the study was to assess clinically and radiographically the hard and soft tissue responses and esthetic outcome after grafting the horizontal gap distance in immediately placed non-submerged single implants.

Methods. 14 implants were placed in 11 patients with a tooth in the maxillary anterior esthetic zone scheduled for replacement with an immediate implant who had at least one adjacent tooth were included in the study. 7 implants were placed in the study group (A) in which the horizontal gaps were grafted with Anorganic Bovine Bone, and 7 implants were placed in the control group (B) without the addition of Anorganic Bovine Bone. Healing abutments were connected to implants in both groups. At 6 months period second stage procedure ensued by taking impressions and fabrications of the definitive restoration. CBCT scans were taken prior to teeth extraction to measure the thickness of the LBP, immediately post-implant placement to measure the size of the HG and at 1 year follow up period to measure the amount of alteration the thickness of LBP. GB was assessed before extraction and at 1 year follow up period and PES values were taken two months post-loading of the implants.



Results. The mean thickness of the LBPs before extraction in the study group were 1 ± 0.22 and 0.68 ± 0.3 in the control group. At 1 year follow up period the thickness of the LBPs were 2.04 ± 0.66 and 1.28 ± 0.54 , in the study group (A) and control group (B), respectively. The percentage of ridge alteration in the study group (A) was 34.15 and 56.68 in the control group (B) and the difference was statistically significant ($p \leq 0.05$). No association was found between the groups as regard to the GB pre-operatively and post-operatively, however Pearson correlation showed a moderate correlation between the pre-operative GB and the thickness of the original LBPs ($r=0.432$). as regard to PES values t test shows no significant difference between the assessments and the average of PES in the study group (A) was 11.3 ± 0.54 and 10.4 ± 0.86 for the control group (B).

Conclusions. The use of grafting materials to augment the HG around immediately placed implants seems to minimize the amount of bone resorption especially in the LBPs. Furthermore, initial LBP thickness is positively correlates with the type of the gingival biotype.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION.....	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	III
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	V
ABSTRACT.....	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	XI
LIST OF TABLES	XIII
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Soft and Hard Tissue Following Tooth Extraction	6
2.2 Timing of Implant Placement after Tooth Extraction	10
2.3 Horizontal Gap Managment	14
2.3.1 Animal Experimental Studies.....	16
2.3.2 Human Clinical Trials	26
2.4 Gingival Biotype and its Impact on the Outcome	35
2.5 Esthetic Evaluation Following Implant Placement	42



3. AIM OF THE STUDY.....48

4. MATERIAL AND METHOD.....49

4.1 Subject Selection49

4.2 Selection Criteria: Inclusion and Exclusion49

4.3 Grouping51

4.4 Surgical Protocol52

4.4.1 Preoperative Evaluation52

4.4.2 Preoperative Care52

4.4.3 Surgical Technique53

4.4.4 Postoperative Care55

4.5 Restorative Protocol56

4.6 Follow Up Phase57

4.7 Methods of Evaluation59

4.7.1 Clinical Evaluation.....59

4.7.2 Radiological Evaluation.....62

4.8 Risk and Compensation.....63

5. RESULTS78

5.1 Sample Characteristics78

5.2 Statistical Analysis84

5.2.1 Clinical Data85



5.2.2	Gingival Biotype Assessment.....	86
5.2.3	Pink Esthetic Score Assessment	88
5.2.4	Radiological Assessment	90
5.2.5	Correlation Between Gingival Biotype and Labial Plate of Bone	93
6.	DISCUSSION	95
7.	SUMMARY	106
8.	CONCLSUION	108
9.	RECOMMENDATION.....	110
10.	DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST	112
11.	REFERENCE	113
12.	ARABIC SUMMARY	138

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Occlusal View of the Tooth to be Extracted.....	64
Figure 2. Lateral View of the Tooth to be Extracted	64
Figure 3. Periotome Used to Sever the Periodontal Attachment.....	65
Figure 4. Occlusal View of the Extraction Socket.....	65
Figure 5. Occlusal View of the Final Drill.....	66
Figure 6. Lateral View of the Final Drill	66
Figure 7. Lateral View of the Implant introduced into the osteotomy.....	67
Figure 8. Occlusal View of the Implant in the Control Group (B) Without the Addition of ABB).	67
Figure 9. Occlusal View of the Implant in Study Group (A) with ABB in the HG.....	68
Figure 10. Occlusal View of the Implant in Study Group (A) with ABB and Collagen Plug Above the Grafting Material.	68
Figure 11. Lateral View of Implant with Figure of X-Suture.	69
Figure 12. Lateral View of the Definitive Crown in Study Group (A).	69
Figure 13. Occlusal View of the Crown Cemented in Study Group (A).	70
Figure 14. Lateral View of the Crown Cemented in Control Group (B).	70
Figure 15. Occlusal View of the Crown Cemented in Control Group (B).	71
Figure 16. Cross Section of Pre-Operative CBCT Showing the Original LBP Thickness (Study Group A).	72
Figure 17. Cross Section of Immediately Postoperative CBCT Showing the Size of the HG and LBP (Study Group A).	73
Figure 18. Cross Section of 1 Year Postoperative CBCT Showing the Thickness of LBP Study Group (A).	74
Figure 19. Cross Section of the Pre-Operative CBCT Showing the Original LBP Thickness (Control Group B).	75
Figure 20. Cross Section of Immediately Postoperative CBCT Showing the Size of the HG and LBP.(Control Group B).	76
Figure 21. Cross Section of 1 Year Postoperative CBCT Showing the Thickness of LBP (Control Group B).	77
Figure 22. Bar Chart Showing the Difference Between the Group in Regard to GB.	87



Figure 23. Bar Chart Shwoing the Difference Between PES Values.89

Figure 24. Bar Chart Demonstrates the amount of LBP Reduction at 1 Year follow up. 91

Figure 25. Bar Chart Demonstrate the Percentage of Ridge Alteration at 1 Year.Follow up Period 92

Figure 26.. Pearson Correlation Curve Showing Positive Association between GB and LBP Thickness..... 94



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Criteria for Success of an Implant.....	58
Table 2. Pink Esthetic Score Variables	61
Table 3. Clinical Data; Age, Gender, Tooth position.....	80
Table 4. Distribution of Implants between the Groups and Implant failure.....	80
Table 5. GB Values as Determined by Periodontal Probe Visibility	81
Table 6. PES Values as Recorded by Each Assessor.....	82
Table 7. Values Obtained from Radiographic Evaluation by CBCT.	83
Table 8. Statistical Analysis Regarding; Age, Gender and tooth position.	85
Table 9. Comparison Between the Treatment Group in Regard to GB.....	86
Table 10. Comparison between the Treatment Groups in Regard to the PES Values.	88
Table 11. Comparison between the Treatment Groups in Regard to the Amount of Ridge Alteration.	91
Table 12. Correlation between the GB and LBP Thickness.....	93

INTRODUCTION

Wound healing process in post extraction socket is a distinctive process as resorption follows which may lead to many prosthetic complications regarding the replacement of a tooth. Extraction socket is characterized by marked bone loss of the socket bony wall in the horizontal plane, which is also escorted by loss of vertical height, the majority of this bone loss occurs during the first year after extraction, and one third of this total bone loss occurs during the first three months.¹⁻⁵ For this reason, preservation of the socket immediately after tooth extraction by applying socket augmentation procedures once feasible is recommended and has a great effectuation on the functional and aesthetic outcomes of the subsequent prosthetic treatment.⁶

Immediate implant placement in the post extraction socket has given implant dentistry the opportunity to ascertain preferable and faster functional results, this approach is a routine surgical procedures that has been utilized since 1980s, the University of Tübingen advocated the procedure as the technique of choice for Tübingen and München ceramic implants and has been thoroughly described in the literature.⁷ Immediate implant placement is referred to the placement of an