



Cairo University

**NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION FOR HEAT TRANSFER  
ENHANCEMENT IN PARABOLIC TROUGH ABSORPTION  
TUBE USING TWISTED TAPE INSERTS**

By

Mohamed Ahmed Abdelazim Abousabae

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
MASTER OF SCIENCE  
In  
MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2017

**NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION FOR HEAT TRANSFER  
ENHANCEMENT IN PARABOLIC TROUGH ABSORPTION  
TUBE USING TWISTED TAPE INSERTS**

By  
**Mohamed Ahmed Abdelazim Abousabae**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
**MASTER OF SCIENCE**  
In  
**MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING**

Under the Supervision of

**Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil Hassan Khalil**  
Professor, Mechanical Power Engineering Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

**Dr. Gamal Abd El-Moniem El Hariry**  
Lecturer, Mechanical Power Engineering Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

**Dr. Ahmed El-Degwy Ahmed El-Degwy**  
Lecturer, Mechanical Power Engineering Department  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2017**

**NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION FOR HEAT TRANSFER  
ENHANCEMENT IN PARABOLIC TROUGH ABSORPTION  
TUBE USING TWISTED TAPE INSERTS**

By  
**Mohamed Ahmed Abdelazim Abousabae**

A Thesis Submitted to the  
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University  
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
**MASTER OF SCIENCE**  
In  
**MECHANICAL POWER ENGINEERING**

**Approved by the Examining Committee**

**Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil Hassan Khalil**

**Thesis Main Advisors and Member**

**Professor**, Mechanical power Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University.

**Prof. Dr. Samy Mourad Morcos**

**Internal Examiner**

**Professor**, Mechanical power Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University.

**Prof. Dr. Mohamed Fayek Abd-Rabbo**

**External Examiner**

**Professor**, Mechanical power Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Benha University.

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY  
GIZA, EGYPT  
2017**

**Engineer's Name:** Mohamed Ahmed Abdelazim Abousabae  
**Date of Birth:** 11 / 01 / 1993  
**Nationality:** Egyptian  
**E-mail:** Mohamed.ahmed.abdel.azzem@gmail.com  
**Phone:** 01111069606  
**Address:** 16 Gesr El Suez, Heliopolis, Cairo  
**Registration Date:** 1 / 10 / 2014  
**Awarding Date:** ...../...../.....  
**Degree:** Master of Science  
**Department:** Mechanical Power Engineering



**Supervisors:**

Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil Hassan Khalil  
Dr. Gamal Abd El-moniem El Hariry  
Dr. Ahmed El-Degwy Ahmed El-Degwy

**Examiners:**

Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil (Thesis main advisor)  
Prof. Dr. Samy M. Morcos (Internal examiner)  
Prof. Dr. Mohamed F. Abd-Rboh (External examiner)  
Professor, Mechanical power Engineering Department,  
Faculty of Engineering, Benha University.

**Title of Thesis:**

NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION FOR HEAT TRANSFER ENHANCEMENT IN  
PARABOLIC TROUGH ABSORPTION TUBE USING TWISTED TAPE INSERTS

**Key Words:**

Fluid Dynamics; Heat Transfer; Twisted Tape; Parabolic Trough Absorption Tube;  
Solar Energy

**Summary:**

In this work, the thermal performance of parabolic trough absorption tube with twisted tape inserts were analyzed numerically using ANSYS FLUENT 15.0 software. An optical modelling tool that uses Monte-Carlo ray tracing techniques (Soltrace) was used to obtain the non-uniform heat flux distribution on the parabolic trough absorber tube. The results show that the use of twisted tape inserts results in high heat transfer rates due to the increased turbulence intensity and the mixing of the heat transfer fluid from that part of the absorber tube which receive concentrated heat flux with the heat transfer fluid from the part which receive only direct solar heat flux and the heat transfer increases between 17 % and 84 % and friction factor increases between 117 % and 415 %

## **Acknowledgment**

First, I would like to thank Almighty God for the gift of life, provision and protection. Without Him, I would not have come this far.

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisors Prof. Dr. Essam E. Khalil, Dr. Gamal Abd El-Moniem El Hariry and Dr. Ahmed El-Degwy Ahmed El-Degwy for their guidance and unremitting encouragement.

I am grateful to them, and to all my respectful teachers and professors, for mentoring me throughout my under graduate and postgraduate studies. I extend my gratitude to my dear colleagues for their valuable suggestions and noteworthy discussions.

I also would like to thank Dr. Kareem Awany and Eng. Waleed Ahmed for their unconditional support and help.

Finally, I cannot express in words my thanks and gratitude to my family for their motivation through finishing this thesis, their patience, and maintaining a perfect environment for study and research.

# LIST OF CONTENTS

<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iii
<b>LIST OF CONTENTS</b>	iv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	vi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	vii
<b>NOMENCLATURE</b>	x
<b>Symbols</b>	xi
<b>Greek Letters</b>	xii
<b>Abbreviations</b>	xiii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	xxi
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Energy Consumption in the World	1
1.3 Energy Consumption in Egypt	3
1.4 Solar Energy Applications in Egypt	5
1.5 Introduction to Parabolic Trough Technology	5
1.6 Thesis Outline	7
<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Literature Concerned With Optical /Thermal Models of PTCs	8
2.3 Literature Concerned With Different Heat Transfer Enhancement Methods of HCE of Parabolic Troughs	14
2.4 Literature Concerned With Heat Transfer Augmentation Using Twisted Tape Inserts.	25
2.5 Summary and Scope of the Present Work	29
<b>CHAPTER 3: GOVERNING EQUATIONS</b>	30
3.1 Introduction	30
3.2 Governing Equation in This Model	30
3.2.1 Mass Conservation Equation (Continuity)	31
3.2.2 Momentum Conservation Equation	31
3.2.3 Energy Equation	33
3.3 Turbulence Modeling	35
3.4 Near-Wall Treatments	38
3.5 Radiation Modelling	39
3.5.1 The P-1 Model Equations	40
<b>CHAPTER 4: NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION</b>	42
<b>PRINCIPLES</b>	42
4.1 Introduction:	42
4.2 Parabolic Trough Collector	42
4.2.1 Parabolic Trough Geometry	42
4.2.2 Thermal Analysis of Parabolic Trough Receiver	44
4.3 Validation of Parabolic Trough Receiver Thermal Model	46

4.3.1 Model Description	46
4.3.2 Ray Tracing	47
4.3.3 Boundary Conditions	49
4.3.4 Solution Methodology	50
4.3.5 Results	52
4.3.5.1 Absorption Tube Model Validation	52
4.3.5.2 Plain Absorber Tube Heat Transfer and Pressure Drop Validation	55
4.3.5.3 Absorber Tube with Twist Ratio of 3 Pressure Drop Validation	56
<b>CHAPTER 5: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	57
5.1 Introduction	57
5.2 Model Description	57
5.2.1 Physical Model	57
5.2.2 Boundary Conditions	59
5.2.3 Solution Procedure	59
5.2.4 Grid Independence	61
5.2.5 Data Reduction	61
5.3 Results and Discussion	63
5.3.1 Ray Tracing	63
5.3.2 Heat Transfer Performance	65
5.3.3 Friction Factor	69
5.3.4 Performance Evaluation	72
5.3.5 Absorption Tube's Circumferential Temperature Difference	74
5.3.6 Flow Field Contours for Absorption Tube With Twisted Tape Insert	77
<b>CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK</b>	84
6.1 Introduction	84
6.2 Conclusions of the Present Work	84
6.3 Recommendations and Proposed Future Work	85
<b>REFERENCES</b>	86
<b>APPENDIX A (SYLTHERM 800 DATA SHEET)</b>	89
<b>APPENDIX B (MATLAB CODE)</b>	92
<b>APPENDIX C (MATLAB CODE)</b>	129

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1.1	Growth and structure of world energy consumption	2
Table 4.1	Dudley geometrical parameters	50
Table 4.2	Experimental data used in validation of parabolic trough absorption tube model	52
Table 5.1	Absorption tube with twisted tape parameters	58
Table 5.2	Present study cases	60
Table 5.3	Mesh independence test for parabolic trough absorption tube	61



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	World energy consumption, 1980-2030	2
Figure 1.2	Energy consumption in Egypt	4
Figure 1.3	Energy production in Egypt	4
Figure 1.4	Parabolic trough collector	6
Figure 1.5	Concentrated solar power plant	6
Figure 1.6	Parabolic trough collectors with thermal storage system in power generation cycle	7
Figure 1.7	Parabolic trough collectors integrated in combined cycle	7
Figure 2.1	Heat gain and efficiency charts comparing the one and two – dimensional models for different HTF temperatures	9
Figure 2.2	Heat loss chart comparing the one and two – dimensional models for different HTF temperatures	10
Figure 2.3	Local concentration ratio distribution	11
Figure 2.4	Heat flux distribution under different GC on circle direction	11
Figure 2.5	The temperature rising under different GCs	12
Figure 2.6	Heat flux distribution under different rim angles on circle direction	12
Figure 2.7	The temperature rising under different rim angles	12
Figure 2.8	Comparison of HTF outlet temperature from the proposed model with experimental data	13
Figure 2.9	Comparison of PTC thermal efficiency from the proposed model to SNL tests and to NREL model in the case of Cermet selective coating.	13
Figure 2.10	Comparison of PTC efficiency from the proposed model to SNL tests in the case of Chrome selective coating.	14
Figure 2.11	Heat loss predictions vs. experimental data for Cermet and Black Chrome selective coatings.	14
Figure 2.12	Solar parabolic trough receiver with porous inclusions (fins)	15
Figure 2.13	Comparison of Nusselt number of present study with Dittus-Boulter correlation.	15
Figure 2.14	Effect of porous fin thickness on heat transfer coefficient in the receiver.	16
Figure 2.15	Effect of porous fins on pressure drop in the receiver.	16
Figure 2.16	Comparison of heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop with Reynolds number for solid longitudinal fin and porous fin.	17
Figure 2.17	Pressure drop across the absorbing tube of each finned configuration and the reference case (LS-2 #1), vacuum tube, mass flow = 47.7 l/min, DNI = 933.7 W/m <sup>2</sup> .	17
Figure 2.18	Mean surface thermal losses across the absorbing tube of each finned configuration and the reference case for an outlet heat transfer temperature of 124 oC, vacuum tube, mass flow = 47.7 l/min and DNI = 933.7 W/m <sup>2</sup> .	18
Figure 2.19	Effect of Tf on Tw and qlost , Re= 3.8×10 <sup>4</sup>	19
Figure 2.20	Effect of Ib on Tw and qlost , Re= 3.8×10 <sup>4</sup>	19
Figure 2.21	Effects of LVG parameters on Nusselt number and friction factor.	20
Figure 2.22	Variation of collector modified thermal efficiency with Reynolds number at different value of insert spacing.	20
Figure 2.23	(a) Variation of temperature gradients with Reynolds number and insert spacing and (b) variation of peak temperatures in the receiver's absorber tube with Reynolds number and insert spacing.	21
Figure 2.24	Symmetric outward convex transverse corrugated tubes fabricated by the author	22
Figure 2.25	Variation of heat transfer rate from the metal tube wall to the heat transfer fluid with the increase of Reynolds number for both the PTR and SCPTR for different p/D values.	22

Figure 2.26	Effective heat transfer coefficient variation with the increase of Reynolds number for both the PTR and SCPTR for different p/D values.	22
Figure 2.27	Pressure drop variation with the increase of Reynolds number for both the PTR and SCPTR with different p/D values.	23
Figure 2.28	heat transfer performance as a function of Reynolds number and nano particle volume fraction at an inlet temperature of 600 K.	23
Figure 2.29	Thermal efficiency as a function of Reynolds number and nanoparticle volume fraction At an inlet temperature of 400 K.	24
Figure 2.30	Thermal efficiency as a function of Reynolds number and nanoparticle volume fraction at an inlet temperature of 550 K.	24
Figure 2.31	Methods of heat transfer enhancement.	25
Figure 2.32	Test tube with short-length twisted tape inserts at different tape-length ratios: (a) LR=0.29, (b) LR=0.43, (c) LR=0.57 and (d) LR=1.0 or full-length tape.	26
Figure 2.33	Nusselt number versus Reynolds Number.	27
Figure 2.34	Friction factor versus Reynolds number.	27
Figure 2.35	Effect of dual twisted tape elements in tandem on Nusselt number.	28
Figure 2.36	Effect of single and full-length dual twisted tape inserts on Nusselt number.	28
Figure 3.1	Typical point velocity measurement in turbulent flow	35
Figure 3.2	Subdivisions of the Near-Wall Region	38
Figure 4.1	Geometrical parabolic trough parameters	42
Figure 4.2	Focal length as shape parameter.	43
Figure 4.3	Collector aperture area and receiver aperture area	44
Figure 4.4	Schematic diagram of a parabolic trough receiver tube	44
Figure 4.5	Schematic diagram showing cross-section view of evacuated parabolic trough receiver tube.	45
Figure 4.6	One-dimensional steady-state energy balance.	45
Figure 4.7	Schematic diagram of receiver model A: (a) longitudinal view and (b) cross-section view.	46
Figure 4.8	Flow chart for Monte Carlo ray-tracing method	47
Figure 4.9	Parabolic trough ray tracing result with 6 m aperture width and 80o rim angle	48
Figure 4.10	Comparison of the present study prediction of local concentration ratio (LCR) as a function of circumferential angle ( $\theta$ ) with literature.	49
Figure 4.11	Representative mesh of the developed receiver model	50
Figure 4.12	Validation of absorber tube temperature gain	52
Figure 4.13	Validation of absorber tube efficiency	53
Figure 4.14	Comparison of predicted receiver heat loss for this study with the experimental data.	53
Figure 4.15	Contours of absorber tube heat flux at Re = 4000, inlet temperature of 375.2 K.	54
Figure 4.16	Contours of temperature at the absorber tube's outlet at Re = 4000, inlet temperature of 375.2 K	54
Figure 4.17	Comparison of present study Nusselt number as a function of Reynolds number with Gnielinski's correlation	55
Figure 4.18	Comparison of present study friction factor as a function of Reynolds number with Petukhov's first equation	55
Figure 4.19	Comparison of present study friction factor as a function of Reynolds number with Eiamsa-ard results	
Figure 5.1	Schematic diagram of parabolic trough absorption tube fitted with twisted tape.	57
Figure 5.2	Schematic diagram for detailed absorption tube fitted with twisted tape.	58
Figure 5.3	Absorption tube with twisted tape insert mesh	59
Figure 5.4	Absorption tube with twisted tape insert mesh (wire frame)	60
Figure 5.5	Non-uniform heat flux distribution over the perimeter of the absorption tube.	63

Figure 5.6	Variation of non-uniform heat distribution with the radial angle.	64
Figure 5.7	Contours of absorber tube temperature at $Re = 50000$ , inlet temperature of 400 K	64
Figure 5.8	Heat transfer performance in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 400 K	65
Figure 5.9	Heat transfer performance in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	65
Figure 5.10	Heat transfer performance in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	66
Figure 5.11	Heat transfer enhancement factor for a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 400 K	67
Figure 5.12	Heat transfer enhancement factor for a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	67
Figure 5.13	Heat transfer enhancement factor for a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	68
Figure 5.14	Friction factor in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 400 K	69
Figure 5.15	Friction factor in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	70
Figure 5.16	Friction factor in a receiver with twisted tape inserts as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	70
Figure 5.17	Pressure drop penalty factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature is 400 K	71
Figure 5.18	Pressure drop penalty factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	71
Figure 5.19	Pressure drop penalty factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	72
Figure 5.20	Thermal performance factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 400 K	73
Figure 5.21	Thermal performance factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	73
Figure 5.22	Thermal performance factor as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	74
Figure 5.23	Absorption tube circumferential difference as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 400 K	75
Figure 5.24	Absorption tube circumferential difference as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 500 K	75
Figure 5.25	Absorption tube circumferential difference as a function of Reynolds number and twist ratio for an inlet temperature of 600 K	76
Figure 5.26	velocity contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 3 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	77
Figure 5.27	velocity contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 5 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	78
Figure 5.28	absorption tube's outlet velocity contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 3 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	79
Figure 5.29	absorption tube's outlet velocity contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 5 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	80
Figure 5.30	absorption tube's inlet pressure contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 3 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	81
Figure 5.31	absorption tube's inlet pressure contours at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 5 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	82
Figure 5.32	absorption tube's streamlines at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 3 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	83
Figure 5.33	absorption tube's streamlines at inlet velocity of 1 m/s and twist ratio of 5 for an inlet temperature of 400 K	83

## NOMENCLATURE

Symbol	Quantity
$K$	Thermal conductivity, $\text{W/m.k}$
$A_{\text{ap},r}$	Absorption tube aperture area, $\text{m}^2$
$A_{\text{ap},c}$	Collector aperture area, $\text{m}^2$
$L$	Length of the trough, $\text{m}$
$f$	The focal length, $\text{m}$
$a$	The aperture width, $\text{m}$
$C_G$	Geometric concentration ratio
$d_{ro}$	Absorption tube outer diameter, $\text{m}$
$d_{ri}$	Absorption tube inner diameter, $\text{m}$
$d_{gi}$	Glass cover inner diameter, $\text{m}$
$d_{go}$	Glass cover outer diameter, $\text{m}$
$Nu$	Nusselt number
$Nu_{\text{en}}$	Nusselt number for enhanced absorber tube
$Nu_p$	Nusselt number for plain absorber tube
$X$	Thermal enhancement factor
$H$	Twist tape pitch, $\text{m}$
$W$	Twist tape width, $\text{m}$
$Y$	Twist ratio
$T_{\text{sky}}$	Sky temperature, $\text{K}$
$h_w$	Wind heat transfer coefficient, $\text{W/m}^2.\text{k}$
$c_p$	Specific heat of fluid, $\text{J/kg.K}$
$g_i$	The component of the gravitational vector in the $i^{\text{th}}$ direction, $\text{m/s}^2$
$G_k$	Turbulence kinetic energy generated due to the mean velocity gradients
$K$	Kinetic energy of turbulence, $\text{m}^2/\text{s}^2$
$k_p$	Turbulence kinetic energy at point P, $\text{m}^2/\text{s}^2$
$l$	Length scale of Turbulence, $\text{m}$
$Pr$	Molecular Prandtl number, $Pr = C_p \mu / k$
$\dot{q}$	Wall heat flux, $\text{W/m}^2$
$S_u$	The source term

$S_\phi$	The source term
$T_i$	Inlet temperature, °C
$T_p$	Temperature at the cell adjacent to wall, °C
$T_w$	Temperature at the wall, °C
$\vec{u}$	The flow velocity vector, m/s
$\vec{u}_g$	The grid velocity of the moving mesh, m/s
$u_i$	The fluctuating velocity component, m/s
$\overline{u_i}$	The mean velocity component, m/s
$u_j$	Mean velocity component, m/s
$U_p$	Mean velocity of the fluid at point $P$ , m/s
$Y_M$	The contribution of the fluctuating dilatation to the overall dissipation rate
$y_P$	Distance from point to the wall, m
$y_T^*$	The non-dimensional thermal sublayer thickness

## Greek Letters

$\rho$	Density of the fluid, kg/m <sup>3</sup>
$\tau_{ij}$	The stress tensor, N/ m <sup>2</sup>
$\psi$	Rim angle, degree
$\theta$	Circumferential angle, degree
$\mu$	Viscosity, kg/m.s
$\varepsilon$	Emissivity,
$\delta_{ij}$	Kronecker delta
$\mu_{eff}$	Effective turbulent viscosity
$\Gamma_\phi$	The diffusion coefficient
$\mu_t$	Turbulent viscosity, kg.m/s
$\epsilon$	Turbulence dissipation rate, m <sup>2</sup> /s <sup>3</sup>
$\beta$	Coefficient of thermal expansion, K <sup>-1</sup>
$\delta V_j$	The volume swept out by the control volume face $j$
$\Phi_f$	Value of $\Phi$ convected through face $f$
$\nabla\Phi$	Gradient of $\Phi$
$\sigma_\epsilon$	The turbulent Prandtl numbers for $\epsilon$
$\sigma_k$	The turbulent Prandtl numbers for $k$
$-\rho \overline{u_i u_j}$	Reynolds stresses term

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
CSP	Concentrated Solar Power Plants
DNI	Direct Normal Irradiance
EES	Engineering Equation Solver
FVM	Finite Volume Method
HCE	Heat Collection Element
HTF	Heat Transfer Fluid
IPH	Industrial Heat Process
LCR	Local Concentration Ratio
LVG	Longitudinal Vortex Generators
MCRT	Monte Carlo Ray Tracing Method
NREL	National Renewable Energy Laboratory
PTC	Parabolic trough Collector
RANS	Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes equations
RKE	Realizable K- $\epsilon$ Turbulence Model
RNG	Re-normalization Group K- $\epsilon$ Turbulence Model
SNL	Sandia National Laboratory
mtoe	Million Tonnes of Oil Equivalent

## ABSTRACT

Parabolic trough systems are one of the most commercially technologies for concentrated solar power. The main development efforts are concentrating on reducing the cost of this technology. The cost reduction options for parabolic trough systems include: (i) improving parabolic trough concentration ratio by increasing its sizes and (ii) improving the optical efficiency. However increasing the concentration ratio of parabolic trough system will cause more circumferential temperature difference on the absorption tube and will increase the system's thermal losses. So the development of the absorption tube becomes very important to increase the concentration ratio.

In the present work, the thermal performance of parabolic trough absorption tube with twisted tape inserts at different inlet temperatures, twist ratios and Reynolds numbers are investigated.

In this work, the thermal performance of parabolic trough absorption tube with twisted tape inserts is analyzed numerically by solving the governing equations using ANSYS FLUENT 15.0 software. An optical modelling tool that uses Monte-Carlo ray tracing techniques (Soltrace) was used to obtain the non-uniform heat flux distribution on the parabolic trough absorber tube. These heat flux distributions were then coupled to the CFD code as a boundary condition by using MATLAB code to convert them to a readable data by the profile function in ANSYS FLUENT 15.0 for the analysis of the thermal performance of the receiver.

The results show that the use of twisted tape inserts for heat transfer enhancement in parabolic trough receivers results in high heat transfer rates due to the increased turbulence intensity and the mixing of the heat transfer fluid from that part of the absorber tube which receive concentrated heat flux with the heat transfer fluid from the part which receive only direct solar heat flux. As the results demonstrated that the heat transfer increases between 17 % and 84 % while friction factor increases between 117 % and 415 % and thermal enhancement factor at a constant pumping comparison with a plain receiver tube was in the range 0.83 - 1.12. Also the results showed that the improved heat transfer performance in the absorption tube due to the use of twisted tape inserts reduces the absorption tube's circumferential temperature difference.