

***Morphometric and DNA Ploidy Study of  
Benign, Precancerous and Malignant  
Prostatic Lesions***

**Thesis**

**Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of M.D. Pathology**

**By Ahmed Abdel Monem Ahmed Ali Soliman**

M.B.B.CH., M.Sc.  
Faculty of Medicine  
Cairo University

**Supervised by**

**PROF. DR. Dalal Anwar Elwi**

Professor of Pathology  
Faculty of Medicine  
Cairo University

**PROF. DR. Samira Abdallah Mahmoud**

Professor of Pathology  
Faculty of Medicine  
Cairo University

**DR. Manal Abd El Magied Badawi**

Lecturer of Pathology  
National Research Center

Faculty of Medicine  
Cairo University

**2009**

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and deepest appreciation to Prof. Dr. Dalal Anwar Elwi, professor of pathology, faculty of medicine, Cairo University, for her close and fruitful supervision, kind guidance, valuable advice and meticulous revision of this work.

I am very grateful and much indebted to Prof. Dr. Samira Abdallah Mahmoud, professor of pathology, faculty of medicine, Cairo University for her kind supervision, constructive criticism and indispensable help throughout the work.

I would like to express my sincere and deepest gratitude to Dr. Manal Abd El Magied Badawi, lecturer of pathology, National Research Centre, for her help and fruitful suggestions during the course of this work.

Last but not least, I would like to express my appreciation, deepest gratitude and great thanks to Prof. Dr. Soheir Mahfouz, faculty of medicine, Cairo University, for her continuous encouragement.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1. List of abbreviation .....	2
2. List of tables .....	3
3. List of figures.....	4
4. Abstract .....	8
5. Introduction .....	10
6. Aim of the work.....	13
7. Review of literature .....	14
○ Gross Anatomy and Histology.....	14
○ Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia.....	19
○ Prostatic Intraepithelial Neoplasia .....	23
○ Adenocarcinoma of the Prostate .....	38
○ Prostatic Duct Adenocarcinoma .....	52
○ Gleason grading and prognostic factors in adenocarcinoma of the prostate .....	57
○ TNM Staging for prostatic adenocarcinoma .....	73
○ Morphometry and Cytometry .....	76
○ Application of DNA ploidy .....	96
8. Material and Methods .....	106
9. Results.....	117
10. Discussion .....	171
11. Summary .....	184
12. Conclusions and recommendations .....	188
13. References .....	190
Arabic Summary .....	231

# **1. LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

**BPH:** Benign prostatic hyperplasia

**PIN:** Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia

**CaP:** Cancer prostate

**PI:** Proliferation index

**GS:** Gleason score

**GD:** Gleason differential

**L.I.:** Labeling index

**T:** Value of t-test

**F:** F ratio for ANOVA

**X<sup>2</sup>:** Chi square test

**P:** P value

**P<0.05:** Significant at 0.05 level

**P>0.05:** Not significant

**Std:** Standard deviation

**SPSS:** Statistical package for the social science

**D.I :** DNA index

**CV:** coefficient of variations

**A.S.A.P.:** Atypical small acinar proliferation

**RRP:** Radical Retropubic Prostatectomy

**LGPIN:** Low grade Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia

**HGPIN:** High grade Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia

**FCM:** Flow cytometry

**ICM:** Image cytometry

**$\Pi$ :** Pie value

**Y-MAX:** Maximum Y axis

**Y-MIN:** Minimum Y axis

**X-MAX:** Maximum X axis

**X-MIN:** Minimum X axis

## **2. LIST OF TABLES**

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Table Name</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
1	Features more common in adenocarcinoma as compared to benign glands	41
2	Stage grouping	75
3	Some Application of Quantitative Morphometry in Diagnostic Pathology	78
4	Histopathological diagnosis of the studied cases	117
5	Age distribution in studied cases	119
6	The distribution of DNA cell population percentage in the studied groups	121
7	The mean of the diploid (2C) cell percentage in the studied groups	128
8	The mean of the proliferating (S-phase) cell percentage in the studied groups	130
9	The mean of the Tetraploid (4C) cells percentage in the studied groups	132
10	The mean of the aneuploid (>4C) cells percentage in the studied groups	134
11	The nuclear parameters in the studied groups	136
12	Different studied variables in the three grades of carcinoma	138
13	Correlations between diploid (2C) value, proliferation index (S-phase), tetraploid (4C) and aneuploid (>4C) values in one hand and studied variables in BPH in the other hand	139
14	Correlations between diploid (2C) value, proliferation index (S-phase), tetraploid (4C) and aneuploid (>4C) values in one hand and studied variables in PIN in the other hand	141
15	Correlations between diploid (2C) value, proliferation index (S-phase), tetraploid (4C) and aneuploid (>4C) values in one hand and studied variables in all carcinoma cases in the other hand	143
16	Correlations between diploid (2C) value, proliferation index (S-phase), tetraploid (4C) and aneuploid (>4C) values in one hand and studied variables in Gleason score 5 or 6 ( well differentiated adenocarcinoma) in the other hand	145
17	Correlations between diploid (2C) value, proliferation index (S-phase), tetraploid (4C) and aneuploid (>4C) values in one hand and studied variables in Gleason score 7 ( moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma) in the other hand	147
18	Correlations between diploid (2C) value, proliferation index (S-phase), tetraploid (4C) and aneuploid (>4C) values in one hand and studied variables in Gleason score 8, 9 or 10 ( poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma) in the other hand	149

### **3. LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>Figure No.</b>	<b>Figure Name</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
1	Schematic of prostate gland depicts zonal anatomy	15
2	Gleason grades: Prostate biopsy interpretation	58
3	Gleason pattern 1 (Grade 1)	59
4	Gleason pattern 2 (Grade 2)	61
5	Gleason pattern 3 (Grade 3)	63
6	Gleason pattern 4 (Grade 4)	64
7	Gleason pattern 5 (Grade 5)	65
8	The cell cycle	80
9	Steps in mitosis during the cell cycle	82
10	DNA histograms	87
11	Normal diploid and abnormal aneuploid single cell DNA histograms	88
12	Influence of scaling on DNA content analysis (ploidy) histograms.	112
13	Pie chart for Histopathological diagnosis of the studied groups	118
14	3D columns of Mean Age /years and numbers of the studied groups	120
15	DNA histogram of control prostate biopsy	122
16	The Scatter plot of the control	122
17	DNA histogram of a case of BPH	123
18	The Scatter plot of BPH	123
19	DNA histogram of a case of PIN	124
20	The Scatter plot of PIN	124
21	DNA histogram of a case of well-differentiated prostatic adenocarcinoma	125
22	The Scatter plot of a case of well-differentiated prostatic adenocarcinoma	125
23	DNA histogram of a case of moderately differentiated prostatic adenocarcinoma	126
24	The Scatter plot of a case of moderately differentiated prostatic adenocarcinoma	126
25	DNA histogram of a case of poorly differentiated prostatic adenocarcinoma	127
26	The Scatter plot of a case of poorly differentiated prostatic adenocarcinoma	127
27	3D columns of the diploid (2C) value percentage in the studied groups	129
28	3D columns of the proliferating (S-phase) value percentage in the studied groups	131
29	3D columns of the Tetraploid (4C) value percentage in the studied groups	133
30	3D columns of the Aneuploid (>4C) value percentage in the studied groups	135
31	3D columns of Mean $\pm$ SD of the nuclear area in the studied groups	137
32	Correlation between nuclear area and different cell types in benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) cases	140
33	Correlation between nuclear area and different cell types in prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) cases	142
34	Correlation between nuclear area and different cell types in carcinoma cases	144
35	Correlation between nuclear area and different cell types in Gleason 5 - 6 cases	146
36	Correlation between nuclear area and different cell types in Gleason score 7 cases	148
37	Correlation between nuclear area and different cell types in Gleason score 8, 9 or 10 cases	150
38	Blue feulgen stain (x100)	151

### 3. LIST OF FIGURES

39	Normal prostatic acini (H&E x400)	151
40	Low power view for Benign prostatic hyperplasia (H&E x100)	152
41	High power view for Benign prostatic hyperplasia showing papillary infoldings of hyperplastic glands and corpus amylaceum (H&E x400)	152
42	Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) showing nuclear hyperchromasia (H&E x200)	153
43	Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), cribriform pattern (H&E x200)	153
44	Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), tufting pattern (H&E x200)	154
45	Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), flat pattern (H&E x400)	154
46	High power view for Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), cribriform pattern (H&E x400)	155
47	High power view for Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), micropapillary pattern (H&E x400)	155
48	Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), tufting pattern (H&E x400)	156
49	Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) (arrow) and Gleason pattern 3 (arrow head) (H&E x400)	156
50	Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) (arrow) and Gleason pattern 4 (arrow head) (H&E x400)	157
51	Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) and Gleason pattern 4 and 5 Pattern 4: Highly infiltrative small fused glands with ragged edges (short arrow) Pattern 5: Ragged sheets of anaplastic cells (arrow head) PIN: Tufting (long arrow) (H&E x200)	157
52	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 2 Glands are variable in size and shape with spacing (H&E x400)	158
53	Glandular atrophy mimicking Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 2 (H&E x200)	158
54	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 3 Glands show angulations and twisting (arrow), Some glands show stromal infiltration (arrow head) (H&E x400)	159
55	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 3 and corpora amylaceae (H&E x400)	159
56	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 4 Fused microacinar, cribriform and papillary arrangement of anaplastic cells with cleared cytoplasm in some cells (H&E x200)	160
57	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 4 Highly infiltrative small fused glands with ragged edges (H&E x200)	160
58	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 4 Fused microacinar arrangement of anaplastic cells (H&E x400)	161
59	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 4 with perineural invasion (H&E x200)	161
60	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 4, corpora amylaceae and crystalloids (H&E x400)	162



### 3. LIST OF FIGURES

61	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 5 Ragged sheets of anaplastic individual cells (H&E x100)	162
62	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 5 (comedocarcinoma) (H&E x200)	163
63	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 5 (Necrosis) (H&E x400)	163
64	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 5 with signet ring appearance (arrow) (H&E x400)	164
65	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 5, corpus amylaceum and necrosis (H&E x400)	164
66	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 5 Ragged sheets of anaplastic individual cells and corpus amylaceum (H&E x400)	165
67	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 5 with mitosis (arrow) and prominent nucleoli (arrow head) (H&E x400)	165
68	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 2+1= score of 3 Pattern 1: uniform sized glands, back to back arrangement (arrow) Pattern 2: Glands are variable in size and shape (arrow head) (H&E x100)	166
69	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 2+2= score of 4 Glands are variable in size and shape with spacing (H&E x100)	166
70	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 3+3= score of 6 Glands show angulations and twisting (arrow), some glands show stromal infiltration (arrow head) (H&E x100)	167
71	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 3+4= score of 7 Pattern 3: Twisted and angulated glands with spacing (arrow) Pattern 4: Highly infiltrative small fused glands with ragged edges (arrow head) (H&E x200)	167
72	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 3+4= score of 7 Pattern 3: Twisted and angulated glands with spacing (arrow) Pattern 4: Highly infiltrative small fused glands with ragged edges (arrow head) (H&E x100)	168
73	Prostatic adenocarcinoma, Gleason pattern 3+5= score of 8 Pattern 3: Twisted and angulated glands with spacing (arrow) Pattern 5: Ragged sheets of anaplastic cells (arrow head) (H&E x100)	168
74	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 4+4= score of 8 Fused microacinar, cribriform and papillary arrangement of anaplastic cells with cleared cytoplasm (Hypernephroid pattern) (H&E x100)	169
75	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 5+4= score of 9 Pattern 4: fused microacinar arrangement of anaplastic cells (arrow) Pattern 5: Ragged sheets of anaplastic cells (arrow head) (H&E x100)	169
76	Prostatic adenocarcinoma Gleason pattern 5+5= score of 10 Pattern 5: Ragged sheets of anaplastic cells (H&E x100)	170

## **4. ABSTRACT**

Both flow cytometry and static image analysis have been used to determine DNA content (ploidy) of prostate lesions. Quantitative DNA variables were evaluated in prostatic core biopsies from patients with various prostatic lesions. An increase of DNA content was observed from normal to cancerous tissues. **Objective:** The goal is to evaluate the role of the image analyser system in differentiation between benign, precancerous, and malignant prostatic lesions. Also, a correlation will be done between the morphometric and DNA ploidy data.

**Study design:** The present study included 60 prostatic core biopsies consisting of 20 cases of benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), 20 cases of prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN), 20 cases of prostatic carcinoma and 5 cases as a control. DNA content analysis of sections stained with blue Feulgen stain and nuclear morphometrical analysis of the haematoxylin and eosin stained sections were carried by using the Leica Qwin 500 image analyzer. **Result:** All benign lesions included in this study were diploid (2C) and all the malignant lesions were aneuploid ( $>4C$ ) except one case which was diploid. The diploid DNA value was significantly higher in the normal group than in all other groups ( $p=0.000$ ); and lower in the carcinoma group than in all other studied groups ( $p=0.000$ ). Also the diploid value was significantly lower in PIN group than in BPH groups ( $p=0.000$ ). The proliferation index (S-phase) value was significantly higher in all groups when compared to normal ( $p<0.008$ ). The aneuploid value was significantly higher in carcinoma group than in all other studied groups ( $p=0.000$ ). The normal group did not show any aneuploid values. The mean nuclear area in carcinoma group was significantly higher than that of normal, BPH and PIN groups ( $P=0.000$ ). **Conclusions:** The DNA ploidy analysis helps to differentiate between normal, BPH, PIN and malignant groups. Distinction

between PIN and cancer could be approached on more objective criteria by DNA and nuclear morphometrical analysis.

**Key words:** morphometry, nuclear area, BPH, PIN and prostatic carcinoma.

## **5. INTRODUCTION**

Prostate cancer is one of the most common malignancies diagnosed in men and is the most common cancer found in men older than 60 years. Prostate cancer accounts for about 10% of cancer-related deaths in men. A third or more of all men older than 50 years, have a latent form of prostate cancer that may progress to life-threatening prostate cancer (American Cancer Society, 2009).

Environmental factors have been implicated in activating latent prostate cancer. If cancer can be identified in an early or latent stage, the neoplastic process may be reversed (Alsikafi et al., 2001).

Prostate cancer occupies an exceptional position among human malignancies because its prevalence at autopsies by far exceeds clinically manifest carcinomas world wide (Epstein and Yang, 2002).

The number of men with latent prostate cancer is the same across all cultures, races, and ethnic groups, but the frequency of clinically active cancer is markedly different. The American Cancer Society estimates that prostate cancer will be diagnosed in one in six men, and one in 35 will die as a result. More than 2 million men in the United States are living with a prostate-cancer diagnosis, and more than 218,000 men have had the disease diagnosed in 2007 (Diiulio, 2008).

Prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) has been identified as a precursor lesion to prostatic carcinoma. PIN involves essentially an atypical proliferation of epithelial cells within acini of the prostate (Zynger and Yang, 2009).

It was agreed at the consensus conference that only the terms low-grade PIN (LGPIN) and high-grade PIN (HGPIN) would be used. Many pathologists no longer report the presence of LGPIN and note only the histologic findings associated with HGPIN (Babaian et al., 2000).

The word morphometry means measurement of Form. The reasons for increasing interest for application of morphometry in diagnostic pathology are its advantage of objectivity, reproducibility and possibility of detecting minor differences or variations in a specimen that would otherwise escape subjective evaluation (Buhmeida, 2006).

Two terms have largely become synonymous, Cytophotometry and cytometry. They are the science that determines the relative optical concentration of intracellular substances in cell populations. The detection of abnormal DNA content in cells has been shown in most cases to be a reliable marker of malignancy, and the degree of DNA ploidy and/or proliferative activity has been related to the biologic behavior of tumors (Isharwal et al., 2009).

Quantitative DNA variables were evaluated in prostatic core biopsies from patients with various prostatic lesions. An increase of DNA content was observed from normal to cancerous tissues (Deitch et al., 1993).

The detection of abnormal DNA content in cells has been shown in most cases to be reliable marker of malignancy, and the degree of DNA ploidy or proliferative activity has been related to the biologic behavior of tumors. Nuclear morphometry allowed the quantitative estimation of an

increase in the nuclear size in different pathological states (Micklos and Freyer, 2003).

Cytophotometric determination of nuclear DNA content undoubtedly provide a powerful diagnostic method for discrimination between low grade and high grade malignant tumors as the incidence of DNA aneuploidy increases with increasing histologic grade and stage of tumors. DNA measurement may be used as an important objective predictor for prognosis, complementary to traditional clinical and morphologic parameters (Markel et al., 1987 and Auer et al., 1989).

## **6. AIM OF THE WORK**

The aim of this work is to evaluate the role of the image analyser system in differentiation between benign, precancerous, and malignant prostatic lesions. Also, a correlation will be done between the morphometric and DNA Ploidy data. In prostatic carcinoma cases, the correlation between the morphometric characteristics, the DNA ploidy status and the conventional histopathological grades will be studied.