

Evaluation of stress induced on different implant
abutment connections used in implant supported
mandibular overdenture
(3D finite element stress analysis)

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry
Ain-Shams University

In partial fulfillment of the requirements of Master degree
in Oral and Maxillofacial Prosthodontics

By

Radwa Gamal Hosney Ghanem

B.D.S, (2009)

Tanta University

Ain Shams University

2017

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Rami Maher Ghali

Professor of Prosthodontics and Vice Dean of Community Service &
Environmental Development

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mona Mohamed Ali Abo Elnagga

Associate professor of Prosthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Acknowledgement

First of all; I thank **ALLAH** who paved me the way and give me strength and ability to accomplish this study.

I would like to express my most sincere gratitude and grateful appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Rami Maher Ghali**, Professor of Prosthodontics and Vice Dean of Community Service & Environmental Development, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University for his endless encouragement, valuable guidance, immeasurable support, immense knowledge, motivation, enthusiasm and patience. I could not have imagined having a better advisor for my study. His great help will always be sincerely remembered.

My deepest thanks and appreciations are extended to **Dr. Mona Mohamed Abo Elnagga**, Associate professor of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her sincere help, unique cooperation, valuable supervision and devoted effort.

I would like to express my heartfelt thanks and sincere appreciation to **Eng. Basma Eltlhawy**, Assistant Lecturer of Production, Faculty of Engineering, Mansoura University, for her support, generous help, and guidance.

My deep appreciation to **Eng. Ahmed Elbahje** for his valuable help, effort and cooperation in programs' problem solving.

Countless thanks are extended to all professors and staff members of the Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain-Shams University, for their encouragement and support.

I want to express my profound appreciation to my **husband Amr**, my beloved trail mate, for his loyal help, support and encouragement to accomplish all this work.

Iam extremely grateful to my family members, **my Mom, Dad, Brother and Sister** for their sincere help, support and encouragement.

CONTENTS

Contents	I
List of Figures	III
List of Tables	VI
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	3
❖ Edentulism	3
• Classification System for Complete Edentulism	4
❖ Residual ridge resorption	5
❖ Implant retained overdenture	6
• Classification	7
• Indications of implant overdenture	8
• Advantages of implant overdenture.....	9
❖ Role of implant design on force transmission to bone	9
❖ Implant- abutment connection	15
• Classification of implant-abutment interface	15
❖ Biomechanical considerations of implant abutment connections	18
❖ Stress strain analysis	20
• Photoelastic stress analysis	21
• Strain gauge analysis	22
• Holographic interferometry	23
• Finite Element Analysis (FEA)	23

❖ Application of FEA in implant dentistry.....	25
❖ Equivalent von Mises stress (EQV) analysis around different implant abutment interface using FEA program	28
Aim of study.....	31
Materials and methods.....	32
Results.....	58
Discussion	72
Summary	81
Conclusion	83
References	84

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure NO.	Title	Page
1	Preoperative CBCT for case planning	34
2	Upper and lower final impressions	35
3	Upper and lower complete dentures try in	36
4	Complete denture delivery	37
5	Implant direct system surgical kit and implant	38
6	Marking the site of the two canines	39
7	Transfer marks to the crest of the ridge	39
8	Crestal incisions	40
9	Reflection of the mucoperiosteal flap	40
10	Drilling of the implant site	41
11	Final drilling of the implant site	41
12	Implant initial threading with screw driver	42
13	Implant was screwed with hand ratchet to the crestal bone level	42
14	Cover screws were inserted in place	43
15	Repositioning and suturing of the mucoperiosteal flap	43
16	The ball abutments were screwed to implants after removing the cover screws	44
17	The metal housing is placed directly over the ball abutments	45
18	The site of metal housings located using pressure indicating paste	46
19	Patient closing in light centric occlusion with minimal pressure during pick up.	46
20	Denture finished and polished with metal housing picked up in its fitting surface	46
21	Post operative CBCT showing site and inclination of implants.	48
22	3D view for the whole structure.	48

23	Overdenture during and after refining with CATIA program.	49
24	Implant abutment connections, O-ring attachment and metal housing.	49
25	Mandibular overdenture with attachments	50
26	Implant fixtures in relation to the mandible	50
27	Mandible with the two implants in place and the overdenture, frontal view	51
28	Mandible and implants supported overdenture, occlusal view	51
29	Whole model meshed	53
30	Magnified part of the model showing the shape of the mesh	53
31	Unilateral loading conditions (right side)	54
32	Unilateral loading conditions (left side)	54
33	Bilateral loading conditions	55
34	Model constrained mucosa (pink color).	55
35	The von Mises yield surfaces in principal stress coordinates circumscribes a cylinder with radius $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sigma_y$ around the hydrostatic axis	56
36	Von Mises stress distribution inside the cone connection	59
37	Von Mises stress distribution on implant collar and outer surface of fixture	60
38	Von Mises stress distribution over the outer surface of the whole implant assembly in the loaded side	60
39	Von Mises stresses on compact bone in the loaded side	61
40	Von Mises distribution on cancellous bone in the loaded side	61
41	Von Mises stress on the denture in the loaded side	62
42	Von Mises stress on the whole implant assembly in the unloaded side	62
43	Von Mises stress distribution inside the tri-lobe connection	64
44	Von Mises stress distribution on implant collar and outer surface of fixture	64
45	Von Mises stress distribution over the outer surface of the whole implant assembly in the loaded side	65
46	Von Mises stresses on compact bone in the loaded side	65
47	Von Mises stresses on cancellous bone in the loaded side	66

48	Von Mises stresses on the denture in the loaded side	66
49	Von Mises stress on implant in the unloaded side	67
50	Von Mises stresses induced in cone and tri-lobe interface and the whole assembly of the two implants.	68
51	Von Mises stress on cone interface during bilateral load	69
52	Von Mises stress on tri-lobe interface during bilateral load	69
53	Von Mises stress distribution on outer surface of the implant with conical connection	69
54	Von Mises stress distribution on outer surface of the implant with tri-lobe connection	69
55	Von Mises stress distribution on the cortical bone during bilateral loading	70
56	Von Mises stress distribution on cancellous bone during bilateral loading	70
57	Von Mises stress distribution on the denture during bilateral loading from occlusal and lingual view	71

LIST OF TABLES

Table NO.	Title	Page
1	Material properties	52
2	Von Misses stress (in MPa) induced on implants, bone and denture during unilateral load application on the cone connection side	59
3	Von Misses stress (in MPa) induced on implants, bone and denture during unilateral load application on the trilobe connection side	63
4	Von Misses stress (in MPa) induced on implants, bone and denture during bilateral load application	68

Introduction

Edentulism was found to be a global issue, with estimates for an increasing demand for complete denture prostheses in the future ⁽¹⁾, it is defined as the loss of all permanent teeth ⁽²⁾ and is the terminal outcome of a multifactorial process involving biological factors and patient-related factors. It continues to represent a tremendous global health care burden, and will for the near future ⁽¹⁾.

Endosteal implants are widely used because of the advantages offered by their mechanical properties and excellent anchorage in the jawbone, known as “osseointegration”. This ankylotic anchorage of the titanium implant in bone is considered an essential criterion for a successful dental implant.

Manufacturers have developed various implant–abutment connection designs. These interface designs can be roughly divided into two groups. The first group may be described as butt joints or slip fit joints, with a passive connection and a slight space between implant and abutment. The second group comprises conical interface designs with friction fit joints.

Both types can be sub-classified into internal and external connection types. With the internal connection type, connective parts of the abutment are placed into the implant body. In contrast, an external connection type is observed when connective parts of the abutment enclose an extension of the implant body.

Biomechanics is the application of engineering mechanics (statics, dynamics, strength of materials, and stress analysis) to the solution of biological problems. Biomechanics pertains to dentistry because the teeth and jaw perform biomechanical activities during mastication. The implant

will transmit loading to the interfacial tissues around the implant, which then must tolerate them without adverse tissue response. ⁽³⁾

Among the methods for the evaluation of implant biomechanics, three-dimensional (3D) finite-element analysis has been widely used for the quantitative evaluation of such stresses and strains in the bone due to technical limitations of stress assessment in bone in vivo. ⁽⁴⁾

Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is a numerical stress analysis technique that is widely used to assess engineering and biomechanical problems before they occur, it is a powerful and effective tool for predicting the mechanical behavior of dental restorations, and implant supported prosthesis. ⁽⁵⁾

FEA is a technique for obtaining a solution to a complex mechanical problem by dividing the problem domain into a collection of much smaller and simpler domains (elements) in which the field variables can be interpolated with the use of shape functions. An overall approximated solution to the original problem is determined based on variation principles. ⁽⁶⁾

Because the components in a dental implant- bone system are extremely complex geometrically, FEA has been viewed as the most suitable tool to evaluate stresses induced on supporting structures influenced by different implant abutment connections. ⁽⁶⁾

Review of literature

Edentulism is described as the loss of all permanent teeth⁽²⁾ and is the terminal outcome of a multifactorial process involving biologic processes ; caries, periodontal disease, pulpal pathology, trauma, oral cancer, as well as non biologic factors related to dental procedures; access to care, patient preferences, treatment options, etc.⁽¹⁾

Chronic oral disease represents an enormous global health care burden that is often neglected in developed and developing countries; because of its economic impact, and association with other life-threatening entities such as coronary artery disease, stroke, and cancer, the treatment of chronic oral diseases, including the completely edentulous condition, should not go unnoticed.⁽¹⁾

The distribution and prevalence of complete edentulism between developed and less-developed countries may be associated with a complex inter relationship between cultural, individual, access to care, and socioeconomic factors.⁽¹⁾

Complete edentulism is an international problem, particularly in the 65 years and older age groups,⁽⁷⁾ World Health Organization databanks indicate that caries is still prevalent in the majority of countries internationally, with some reporting 100% incidence in their populations and the incidence of complete edentulism has been estimated between 7% and 69% internationally.⁽⁸⁾

Classification system for complete edentulism ⁽⁹⁾

Class I

This classification level characterizes the stage of edentulism that is most apt to be successfully treated with complete dentures using conventional prosthodontic techniques. ⁽¹⁰⁾

All of the following diagnostic criteria are favorable: residual bone height of 21 mm or greater measured at the least vertical height of the mandible on a panoramic radiograph, residual ridge morphology resists horizontal and vertical movement of the denture base; Type A maxilla, and class I maxilla-mandibular relationship.

Class II

This classification level distinguishes itself by the continued physical degradation of the denture supporting anatomy and is characterized by the early onset of systemic disease interactions, patient management, and/or lifestyle considerations.

The patients related to this classification have residual bone height of 16 to 20 mm measured at the least vertical height of the mandible on a panoramic radiograph, residual ridge morphology that resists horizontal and vertical movement of the denture base; Type A or B maxilla and class I maxilla-mandibular relationship. ⁽¹⁰⁾

Class III

This classification level is characterized by the need for surgical revision of supporting structures to allow for adequate prosthodontic function. Additional factors now play a significant role in treatment outcomes such as, residual alveolar bone height of 11 to 15 mm measured at the least vertical height of the mandible on a panoramic radiograph, residual ridge

morphology has minimum influence to resist horizontal or vertical movement of the denture base; Type C maxilla and Class I, II, or III maxillomandibular relationship.

Class IV

This classification level depicts the most debilitated edentulous condition. Surgical reconstruction is almost always indicated but cannot always be accomplished because of the patient's health, preferences, dental history, and financial considerations. When surgical revision is not an option, prosthodontic techniques of a specialized nature must be used to achieve an adequate treatment outcome.

Residual ridge resorption

It is well known that removal of teeth leads to alveolar bone resorption. This response varies in extent among individuals, but appears to be a general occurrence that is inevitable for the majority of individuals experiencing complete tooth loss. Continued reduction in the alveolar bone volume leads to unstable clinical conditions that require awareness of the process and accommodation to it. ⁽¹¹⁾

Mandibular teeth extraction will result in a continual reduction in the alveolar bone volume which is more dramatic in the mandible than the maxilla. The continued resorption of the mandibular alveolar bone is associated with greater difficulty with mandibular denture construction, use, and satisfaction. The absence of teeth is also associated with reduced social and physiologic function. ^(12,13)

Oral implant placement may prevent the continued resorption of bone and has been associated with increased mandibular bone height distal to the implant location. ⁽¹⁴⁾

Wright et al.⁽¹⁵⁾ described an increase in the posterior mandibular bone height in response to functioning with implant-supported fixed dentures, but not overdentures. Subsequent contradictory findings indicated that implant-supported overdenture use was associated with mandibular bone resorption.⁽¹⁶⁾

Although positive bone responses are widely recognized following implant placement in the para symphyseal mandible, the extent of this benefit remains controversial and merits additional investigation. Posterior mandibular alveolar ridge resorption should be anticipated.⁽¹¹⁾

The management of the edentulous patient involves continuous monitoring of residual alveolar ridge resorption and related issues of denture function. Clinical management of residual alveolar bone mass must be addressed. Beyond the promise of endosseous implants, the prevention or management of residual alveolar ridge resorption should be the second part of a contemporary strategy in the treatment of edentulism.⁽¹¹⁾

Implant retained overdenture

Although the prevalence of edentulism is falling in all age groups, the number of older people is still increasing. As edentulism can be regarded as a handicap and is reported to affect oral and general health as well as overall quality of life, treatment of edentulism will continue to be a challenge for clinicians.⁽¹⁷⁻²⁰⁾

For more than 100 years, conventional dentures were the only available treatment for edentulism. This classical treatment relies on the retention and support provided by remaining bone ridge, but many denture-wearing patients have a poor diet and cannot speak clearly due to lack of denture retention and stability.^(21,22)