



Scientific Computing Department
Faculty of Computers and Information Sciences
Ain Shams University

Smart Imaging Analysis Techniques for Bioprocesses in Liquid Environment

Thesis submitted to the Department of Scientific Computing,
Faculty of Computers and Information Sciences, Ain Shams University,
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the M.Sc. degree in Computers and
Information Sciences (Scientific Computing)

By:

Mayar Aly Mohammed Atteya

B.Sc. of Computers and Information Sciences (2012)
Department of Scientific Computing,
Faculty of Computer and Information Sciences,
Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ismail Roushdy

Professor Doctor, Department of Computer Science, and
Dean of Faculty of Computer and Information Sciences,
Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohammed A.-Megeed Salem

Associate Professor, Department of Scientific Computing,
Faculty of Computer and Information Sciences,
Ain Shams University

Dr. Doaa A.-Kereem Hegazy

Associate Professor, Department of Scientific Computing,
Faculty of Computer and Information Sciences,
Ain Shams University

December 2016

DEDICATED TO

MY LOVELY MOTHER,

MY FATHER,

MY BROTHER,

AND MY SWEET SISTER

RAHAF

Acknowledgment

I kneel humbly to the almighty ALLAH for his support and guidance to finish this thesis in its proper form.

I wish to express my deep thanks to my supervisors for their faithful supervising this work, and profound reading and everlasting support throughout this study.

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Ismail Roushdy

Computer Science Department, Faculty of Computer and Information Sciences, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohammed A.-Megeed Salem

Scientific Computing Department, Faculty of Computer and Information Sciences, Ain Shams University

Dr. Doaa A.-Kereem Hegazy

Scientific Computing Department, Faculty of Computer and Information Sciences, Ain Shams University

*I would also thank **Dr.-Ing. Stefan Junne** and **Prof. Dr. Peter Neubauer**, Chair of Bioprocess Engineering, Berlin University of Technology, for making the data sets available for the study.*

Also, thanks to my colleagues, my friends for their fruitful support.

Finally, I would like to thank my mother for her great effort, my father, and my siblings for their usual support.

Abstract

Nowadays, many developments allow the replacement of fish oil ingredients with the products yield from the biotechnological process of marine microalgae, which is used for the ω -3 production to large amounts. ω -3 fatty acids are used in protection against heart and cancer diseases.

For the improvement of biotechnological processes, an enhanced in-line imaging technology is strongly needed in order to track the morphological changes of the microalgae cells, which are important for the process yield, while the cells must be kept in a certain age.

As the microalgae cells live in submerged environment, the size parameter can differentiate between the biological cells, grain stones, and air bubbles. Indeed, particle size recognition is an important task in different applications those applied on microscopic imaging.

This thesis presents different methods for enhancing the automation of particles recognition, counting, and classifying using a recently developed SOPAT-System (Smart On-line Particle Analysis Technology), a photo-optical image acquisition device for in-situ microscopic imaging.

We have proposed three segmentation methods, namely, *template matching*, *edge detection and multi-thresholding*, and *iterative contrast enhancement and image adaptation*, to accurately identify the microalgae cells of the image. These methods are applied on different datasets as synthetic and real microscopic images of microalgae (low and high contrast).

The template matching method is applied on all datasets and approved that the segmentation results don't have accurate values. The second method, edge detection and multi-thresholding based segmentation, includes *image de-noising* using *Haar* wavelet transform, *image binarization* using edge detection and multi-thresholding methods, *image enhancement* using morphological operations, and *watershed transform*, alternatively, *circular Hough transform* for touched cells separation. This method proves that the microalgae particles could be recognized correctly with accuracy reaches up to 99 %. But, it is limited to high contrast images.

The third one, iterative contrast enhancement and image adaptation based segmentation is applied on all datasets. It includes *image de-noising*, *image normalization* by Contrast Limited Histogram Equalization method, *image enhancement* by morphological operations, a region of interest extraction using an integral filter, and active contour method, or, fuzzy c-mean, alternatively, Otsu's thresholding, circular Hough transform, or, watershed transform as segmentation step. The segmentation result of this method reaches up to 99 %.

Furthermore, for differentiating between the biological cells and others, the combination of *cell's size* and *cell's texture analysis* are measured for accurate classification based on segmentation process. The experimental results prove that the microalgae particles can be classified correctly with the accuracy reaches up to 100% compared to reference values.

List of Publications

- 1- Mayar A M A, Mohammed A-M S, Doaa A-K H, and Mohamed I R. Image Analysis for Particle Size Recognition of Bioprocesses in Liquid Environment. Asian Journal of Applied Science. 2016; Vol. 9, No. 4. pp: 170-177.
- 2- Mayar A M A, Mohammed A-M S, Doaa A-K H, and Mohamed I R. Image Segmentation and Particles Classification using Texture Analysis Method. Research on Biomedical Engineering. 2016; Vol. 32, No. 3. pp: 243-252.

Table of Contents

	PAGE
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 MOTIVATION.....	1
1.2 FRAMEWORK OVERVIEW	3
1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT	6
1.4 OBJECTIVES	7
1.5 THESIS ORGANIZATION.....	8
CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....	11
2.1 RELATED WORK.....	11
2.1.1 <i>Survey of Image Analysis for Bioprocesses Applications</i>	11
2.1.2 <i>Survey of Image Enhancement Techniques</i>	20
2.1.3 <i>Survey of Image Segmentation Techniques</i>	24
2.2 DISCUSSION	31
CHAPTER 3. SEGMENTATION METHODOLOGIES	33
3.1 IMAGE SEGMENTATION OVERVIEW	34
3.2 SEGMENTATION BASED ON TEMPLATE MATCHING METHOD	36
3.3 SEGMENTATION BASED ON EDGE DETECTION AND MULTI-THRESHOLDING.....	41
3.3.1 <i>Wavelet Based De-Noising Processing</i>	41
3.3.2 <i>Image Binarization</i>	44
3.3.3 <i>Image Enhancement</i>	46
3.3.4 <i>Connected Objects Separation</i>	47
3.4 SEGMENTATION BASED ON ITERATIVE CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT AND IMAGE ADAPTATION	53
3.4.1 <i>Anisotropic Diffusion Based Image De-Noising</i>	53
3.4.2 <i>Contrast Enhancement</i>	54
3.4.3 <i>Image Normalization</i>	55
3.4.4 <i>ROI Extraction</i>	57
3.4.5 <i>Image Segmentation</i>	59
CHAPTER 4. CLASSIFICATION METHODOLOGIES.....	67
4.1 CELLS CLASSIFICATION	68
4.2 TEXTURE ANALYSIS.....	69
CHAPTER 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	73
5.1 DATA SETS	73
5.2 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS	75
5.2.1 <i>Segmentation Based on Template Matching Method</i>	76
5.2.2 <i>Segmentation Based on Edge Detection And Multi-Thresholding</i>	80
5.2.3 <i>Segmentation Based on Iterative Contrast Enhancement and Image Adaptation</i> ..	89
5.2.4 <i>Classification Method</i>	104
CHAPTER 6. CONCLUSION.....	109
REFERENCES	113

List of Figures

1.1 MULTIPLE DAUGHTER CELLS INSIDE THE ENCAPSULATED CYST	3
1.2 VARIOUS MICROSCOPIC IMAGES. (A) SIMPLE ONE (HIGH RESOLUTION IMAGE), (B) SMALL SIZE OF OBJECTS, (C) UN-BALANCED COLOR, (D) LOW CONTRAST AND RESOLUTION IMAGE.....	4
1.3 THE SYSTEM SOPAT (SMART ON-LINE PARTICLE ANALYSIS TECHNOLOGY	4
1.4 THE PROPOSED FRAMEWORK	5
3.1 THE EXAMPLE OF TEMPLATE MATCHING, (A) THE SOURCE IMAGE S , (B) TEMPLATE PATTERN T , (C) AN OUTPUT IMAGE WITH SUB IMAGES I (IN BLUE SQUARES) WHOSE SUITABLE SIMILAR TO THE TEMPLATE T	37
3.2 MULTILEVEL REPRESENTATION OF A FUNCTION	42
3.3 TWO DIMENSIONAL WAVELET ANALYSIS. H PARTITION HAS THE HORIZONTAL EDGES, V PARTITION HAS THE VERTICAL EDGES, AND D PARTITION HAS THE DIAGONAL EDGES OF THE ORIGINAL IMAGE.....	43
3.4 TOPOGRAPHIC SURFACE OF GREY LEVEL IMAGE.	48
3.5 REPRESENTATION OF CIRCULAR HOUGH TRANSFORM	51
3.6 BLOCK DIAGRAM OF SECOND APPROACH (SEGMENTATION BASED ON EDGE DETECTION AND MULTI-THRESHOLDING)	52
3.7 BOTTOM HAT MORPHOLOGICAL OPERATION.....	55
3.8 AN EXAMPLE OF INTEGRAL IMAGE.....	58
3.9 BASIC FORM OF ACTIVE CONTOUR.	60
3.10 BLOCK DIAGRAM OF GENERAL APPROACH (SEGMENTATION BASED ON ITERATIVE CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT AND IMAGE ADAPTATION)	66
5.1 EXAMPLE OF SYNTHETIC IMAGE	74
5.2 THE REAL IMAGES OF <i>C. COHNII</i> – GROUP A (HIGH CONTRAST)	75
5.3 THE REAL IMAGES OF <i>C. COHNII</i> – GROUP B (LOW CONTRAST)	75
5.4 THE TEMPLATE PATTERNS FOR ACTIVE AND NO-ACTIVE OBJECTS. (A, B) FOR SYNTHETIC IMAGE, (C, D) FOR REAL IMAGE – GROUP A, (E) FOR REAL IMAGE – GROUP B.....	76

5.5 THE RESULT OF TEMPLATE MATCHING OF <i>SYNTHETIC</i> IMAGE IN BLUE SQUARES	77
5.6 THE RESULT OF TEMPLATE MATCHING OF REAL IMAGE- <i>GROUP A</i> IN BLUE SQUARES.....	78
5.7 THE RESULT OF TEMPLATE MATCHING OF REAL IMAGE- <i>GROUP B</i> IN BLUE SQUARES.....	78
5.8 INITIAL GRAY IMAGE FOR (A) <i>SYNTHETIC</i> IMAGE, AND (B) REAL IMAGE GROUP- A.....	81
5.9 BASIC FORM OF ACTIVE CONTOUR DE-NOISED IMAGE OF MICROALGAE BY <i>HAAR</i> WAVELET METHOD FOR (A) <i>SYNTHETIC</i> IMAGE, AND (B) REAL IMAGE GROUP- A.....	81
5.10 IMAGE CONVOLUTION USING SOBEL KERNEL FOR (A) <i>SYNTHETIC</i> IMAGE, AND (B) REAL IMAGE GROUP- A.	82
5.11 IMAGE ADJUSTMENT FOR (A) <i>SYNTHETIC</i> IMAGE, AND (B) REAL IMAGE GROUP- A	82
5.12 BINARY IMAGE FOR (A) <i>SYNTHETIC</i> IMAGE, AND (B) REAL IMAGE GROUP- A	83
5.13 THE IMAGE AFTER CLOSING AND DILATION FOR (A) <i>SYNTHETIC</i> IMAGE, AND (B) REAL IMAGE GROUP- A	84
5.14 THE IMAGE AFTER FILLING HOLES FOR (A) <i>SYNTHETIC</i> IMAGE, AND (B) REAL IMAGE GROUP- A.....	84
5.15 THE IMAGE AFTER EROSION AND MEDIAN FILTER FOR (A) <i>SYNTHETIC</i> IMAGE, AND (B) REAL IMAGE GROUP- A.	85
5.16 THE IMAGE SEGMENTATION USING WATERSHED TRANSFORMS	86
5.17 THE IMAGE SEGMENTATION USING CHT	86
5.18 AREA HISTOGRAM (IN PIXELS) OF BIO-CELLS FOR 1H, 18H, AND 42H.	87
5.19 NUMBER OF TRUE AND FOUND CELLS	88
5.20 THE ORIGINAL GRAY IMAGE FOR <i>SYNTHETIC</i> (A), HIGH CONTRAST (B), LOW CONTRAST (C) IMAGES.....	90
5.21 THE DE-NOISED IMAGE FOR <i>SYNTHETIC</i> (A), HIGH CONTRAST (B), LOW CONTRAST (C) IMAGES.....	90
5.22 CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT – ITERATION 1 - FOR <i>SYNTHETIC</i> (A), HIGH CONTRAST (B), LOW CONTRAST (C) IMAGES	91
5.23 CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT – ITERATION 2.....	91

5.24 CONTRAST ENHANCEMENT – ITERATION 3.....	92
5.25 THE IMAGES AFTER ADAPTATION AND ADJUSTMENT FOR SYNTHETIC (A), HIGH CONTRAST (B), LOW CONTRAST (C) IMAGES.....	92
5.26 THE DE-BLURRED IMAGE FOR SYNTHETIC (A), HIGH CONTRAST (B), LOW CONTRAST (C) IMAGES.....	93
5.27 FOREGROUND OBJECTS BY SUBTRACTING THE INTEGRAL FILTERED IMAGE FROM THE ORIGINAL ONE.....	93
5.28 IMAGE SEGMENTATION USING OTSU’S METHOD FOR SYNTHETIC (A), HIGH CONTRAST (B), LOW CONTRAST (C) IMAGES.....	94
5.29 IMAGE SEGMENTATION USING CHT METHOD FOR SYNTHETIC (A), HIGH CONTRAST (B), LOW CONTRAST (C) IMAGES.....	94
5.30 IMAGE SEGMENTATION USING WATERSHED METHOD FOR SYNTHETIC (A), HIGH CONTRAST (B), LOW CONTRAST (C) IMAGES.....	95
5.31 IMAGE SEGMENTATION USING ACTIVE CONTOUR METHOD FOR SYNTHETIC (A), HIGH CONTRAST (B), LOW CONTRAST (C) IMAGES.....	95
5.32 IMAGE SEGMENTATION USING FUZZY C-MEAN METHOD FOR SYNTHETIC (A), HIGH CONTRAST (B), LOW CONTRAST (C) IMAGES.....	96
5.33 THE RED CIRCLES REPRESENT THE OBJECTS WITH RADIUS >29 , BUT NOT FORM AS ACTIVE CELLS.....	105
5.34 IMAGE CLASSIFICATION FOR SYNTHETIC IMAGE. THE GREEN SQUARES REPRESENT THE ACTIVE OBJECTS AND BLUE SQUARES REPRESENT THE NON-ACTIVE CELLS.....	105
5.35 IMAGE CLASSIFICATION FOR REAL IMAGE. THE GREEN SQUARES REPRESENT THE ACTIVE OBJECTS AND BLUE ONES REPRESENT THE NON-ACTIVE CELLS.....	106

List of Tables

5.1 ACCURACY PERCENTAGE OF TEMPLATE MATCHING METHOD FOR SYNTHETIC IMAGES ON GIVEN DATA SET	79
5.2 ACCURACY PERCENTAGE OF TEMPLATE MATCHING METHOD FOR REAL IMAGES GROUP- A ON GIVEN DATA SET	79
5.3 ACCURACY PERCENTAGE OF TEMPLATE MATCHING METHOD FOR REAL IMAGES GROUP- B ON GIVEN DATA SET	80
5.4 ACCURACY PERCENTAGE OF REAL INPUT IMAGES SEGMENTATION USING WATERSHED TRANSFORM	88
5.5 ACCURACY PERCENTAGE OF REAL INPUT IMAGES SEGMENTATION USING CHT	89
5.6 THE ACCURACY PERCENTAGE (%) FOR SYNTHETIC IMAGES USING FIVE DIFFERENT SEGMENTATION METHODS	97
5.7 THE ACCURACY PERCENTAGE (%) FOR HIGH CONTRAST REAL IMAGES USING FIVE DIFFERENT SEGMENTATION METHODS	98
5.8 THE ACCURACY PERCENTAGE (%) FOR LOW CONTRAST REAL IMAGES USING OTSU'S THRESHOLDING SEGMENTATION METHODS	99
5.9 THE ACCURACY PERCENTAGE (%) FOR LOW CONTRAST REAL IMAGES USING CHT SEGMENTATION METHODS	100
5.10 THE ACCURACY PERCENTAGE (%) FOR LOW CONTRAST REAL IMAGES USING WATERSHED TRANSFORM SEGMENTATION METHODS	101
5.11 THE ACCURACY PERCENTAGE (%) FOR LOW CONTRAST REAL IMAGES USING ACTIVE CONTOUR SEGMENTATION METHODS	102
5.12 THE ACCURACY PERCENTAGE (%) FOR LOW CONTRAST REAL IMAGES USING FCM SEGMENTATION METHODS	103
5.13 THE COMPARISON BETWEEN PROPOSED METHODS AND PREVIOUS PUBLISHED RESULTS .	107

List of Abbreviations

1. AC- Active Contour
2. ACF - Auto-Correlation Function
3. ANN – Artificial Neural Network
4. BPNN - Back Propagation Neural Network
5. C. Cohnii – Crypthecodinium Cohnii
6. CCF - Cross-Correlation Function
7. CHT – Circular Hough Transform
8. CLAHE – Contrast Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization
9. DHA – Docosahexaenoic Acid
10. DS - Dempster-Shafer
11. EM - Expectation-Maximization
12. EPA – Eicosapentaenoic Acid
13. FCM – Fuzzy C-Mean
14. FFNN - Feed Forward Neural Network
15. ISM – In-Situ Microscope
16. ISWT - Inverse Stationary Wavelet Transform
17. LOG – Laplacian of Gaussian
18. MAD - Mean Absolute Difference
19. MLFF - Multi Layer Feed Forward Neural Network
20. MLP - Multi Layer Perceptron
21. MRI - Magnetic Resonance Imaging
22. MSE - Mean Square Error
23. OCR - Optical Character Recognition
24. PCNN - Pulse Coupled Neural Network
25. PDE – Partial Differential Equation

- 26. PUFA – Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid
- 27. ROI – Region of Interest
- 28. SAD - Sum of Absolute Difference
- 29. SHD - Sum of Hamming Distance
- 30. SOM - Self Organizing Map
- 31. SOPAT – Smart On-line Particle Analysis Technology
- 32. SSD - Sum of Squared Difference
- 33. TEM - Transmission Electron Microscopy
- 34. TM – Template Matching

Chapter 1

Introduction

This chapter introduces the problem that is addressed in this thesis; how to develop a vision-based technique(s) for the improvement of a biotechnological process. The chapter includes the objective of the study, the conception of a framework, and concise description of thesis organization.

1.1 Motivation

Seafood (oily fish) plays important role in human's health. This importance is due to its unique composition of polyunsaturated fatty acids (*PUFAs*). Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (*eicosapentaenoic acid*, *EPA*, ω -3, C20:5 and *docosahexaenoic acid*, *DHA*, ω -3, C22:6) are two important fatty acids in early and old age metabolism in humans [1]. They are used in prevention and protection against risky diseases i.e. heart, cancer, diabetes and depression diseases [2] [3] [4] and as nutritional supplements for people and marine organisms in liquid culture [5].

Actually, the growing sector of aquaculture drives an increasing demand for fish oil. Hence, the costs for fish oil are steadily increasing, currently reaching a maximum of over 2000 USD/t. Due to that, there are many efforts are made to replace the fish oil by the sources of organisms.

Large-scale microalgae production is studied for decades, given the wide variety of practical and potential metabolic products, such as food supplements, lipids, enzymes, biomass, polymers, toxins, pigments, tertiary wastewater treatment, and green energy products that can be obtained. These products are achieved by cultivating the microalgae on various mineral media, organic substrates, and synthetic waters [5].

Thus, many developments allow the replacement of fish oil ingredients with the products yield from the biotechnological process of marine heterotrophic microalgae, which is used for the ω -3 (*PUFAs*) production to large amounts up to (50 % of their dry weight) containing (30 - 70) % of DHA [1].

The microalgae *Crypthecodinium cohnii*, shown in Figure 1.1, is a small marine heterotrophic, identified as a good producer of DHA [6] [7]. The *C. cohnii* particles are grown in Liquid culture under ideal environments, in the dark at 27°C in the normal glass vessel tank bioreactor [8]. Through its life cycle, two shapes of *C. cohnii* are observed; motile swimming cells and non-motile (cysts). Both forms can be differentiated by the size [6]. Out of one cyst, 1, 2, 4 or 8 swimming daughter or small cells can initiate inside the encapsulated cyst, as shown in Figure 1.1 [6] [9].