



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Mechanical Power Department

CFD SIMULATION OF COLD AIR SYSTEM IN ENCLOSED SPACES

By

Ahmed Ali Youssef Abo Ashour

B.Sc. Mechanical Power Engineering
Ain Shams University

A Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Degree of Master
of Science in Mechanical Power Engineering

Supervised by

Prof. Dr.

Raouf Nassif AbedlMessih

Mechanical Power Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr.

Ahmed Reda Elbaz

Mechanical Power Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr.

Ehab Mouris Mina

Mechanical Power Department
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

©Cairo - 2014

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

The undersigned certify that they have read and recommend to the Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for accepting the thesis entitled “CFD Simulation of Cold Air System in Enclosed Spaces”, submitted by Ahmed Ali Youssef, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Mechanical Power Engineering.

1- Prof. Dr. Mousa Mohamed Mohamed Mousa (.....)

Faculty of Engineering at Shebin El-Kom
Menoufia University
Menoufia – Egypt

2- Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abo El Einin El Samanoudy (.....)

Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University
Cairo – Egypt

3- Prof. Dr. Raouf Nassif AbedlMessih (.....)

Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University
Cairo – Egypt

4- Prof. Dr. Ahmed Reda Elbaz (.....)

Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University
Cairo - Egypt

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Master of Science in Mechanical Power Engineering.

The work included in this thesis has been carried out by the author at the Department of Mechanical Power Engineering, Ain Shams University, during the period from 2010 to 2014.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institution.

Name : Ahmed Ali Youssef Abo Ashour

Signature :

Date : / /

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, praise and thanks to Almighty Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful, and peace be upon His Prophet.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Dr. Raouf Nassif AbedlMessih and Prof. Dr. Ahmed Reda Elbaz, for their invaluable guidance, support, and encouragement.

I also greatly appreciate the help and guidance provided by Dr. Ehab Mouris Mina throughout all stages of the research.

Finally, I would like to express my appreciation to my father, my beloved mother, my lovely sister, and my brother for their tremendous support in all aspects of my life.

Ahmed Ali Youssef

ABSTRACT

Cold air systems have proven to offer economical and environmental benefits, and are found suitable for many applications. However, these systems did not spread because of the fear from cold draft formation leading to unaccepted indoor air conditions. The current study aims to evaluate the performance of cold air systems when used in enclosed spaces using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD).

A brief introduction is presented explaining theory, advantages, and disadvantages of cold air systems. Also, different factors and design considerations affecting human comfort are explained. A brief literature review of previous work in the field of cold air distribution systems and indoor flow simulations is presented as well.

The basic theory and governing equations of CFD are explained, and different turbulence modeling techniques are presented. The CFD code used in the current study is validated by comparing its results with experimental results found in the literature. Three validation cases are conducted to assess the accuracy of the CFD model and to evaluate several turbulence models when used for indoor simulations.

The flow of cold air was studied in a 2D room at different supply temperatures and velocities and at different sensible heat loads. The velocity field and the temperature distribution were analyzed and used to calculate the effective draft temperature. And hence, the comfort level was assessed using the air diffusion performance index, ADPI. The effect of each factor was studied independently and it was found that the supply temperature and velocity have minimum effect on the room conditions. The room thermal environment was uniform and satisfactory even at low supply temperature due to the good mixing of air inside the room. Reduction in supply temperature and reduction in supply velocity each causes limited enhancement on the ADPI. The main source of drafts inside the room was the heat flux from the floor. The velocities induced

by the floor heat flux were much higher than those caused by the air jet. At lower thermal loads, the induced air velocity was weaker, and hence the ADPI values increased.

It was observed that air circulates in the room under the effect of natural convection with no air supplied to the room. As the rate of heat transferred by air increased, the air circulation and velocity increased as well. Air circulation and velocity were also enhanced when the percent of the thermal load concentrated in the floor was increased in the air conditioned room. Also, it was found that separating the air jet from the ceiling does not affect the jet attachment to the ceiling. Air jet when supplied far from the ceiling was still pushed upwards by the air circulating in the room causing it to attach to the ceiling.

Cold air system was also studied in a 3D room similar to a typical office room with a standard vertical supply diffuser. A comparison was made between the performance of a conventional air conditioning system (supply air at 14°C) and a cold air system (supply air at 10°C) in the same room space. The performance of the conventional system was convenient since air velocities and temperatures throughout the room were within acceptable limits. The cold air system on the other hand had a poor performance due to high-velocity low-temperature air falling directly to room space which reduced comfort level in the room. It was found that changing the air supply angle from the diffuser from 45° to 30° has noticeably improved the performance of the cold air system and increased the room's comfort level. This improvement was due to the nearly horizontal supply air which caused the air jet to attach to the room's ceiling and hence eliminating the direct effect of cold air on the room.

Finally, a summary of the work carried out in this thesis along with general conclusions obtained from the study and recommendations for future studies in the same field are presented.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE	I
STATEMENT.....	II
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	III
ABSTRACT	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VI
LIST OF FIGURES	X
LIST OF TABLES	XV
NOMENCLATURE.....	XVI
ABBREVIATIONS	XVIII
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Cold Air System	1
1.1.1 Benefits and Applications of Cold Air System.....	2
1.1.2 Cold Air System Drawbacks and Methods of Treatment.....	3
1.2 Thermal Comfort.....	4
1.2.1 EDT and ADPI.....	8
1.3 Research Objectives.....	9

1.4	Contents of the Thesis.....	9
CHAPTER 2		11
LITERATURE REVIEW		11
2.1	Cold Air Distribution Systems.....	11
2.2	Economical Impact of Cold Air System.....	20
2.3	Numerical Study of Indoor Flow Problems.....	23
CHAPTER 3		31
COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS.....		31
3.1	Introduction.....	31
3.2	Governing Equations	33
3.2.1	Conservation of Mass	33
3.2.2	Conservation of Momentum	34
3.2.3	Conservation of Energy	35
3.3	Turbulence Modeling Techniques.....	36
3.4	Turbulence Model Equations	39
CHAPTER 4		41
VALIDATION OF NUMERICAL TECHNIQUE		41
4.1	General.....	41
4.2	Mixed Convection in a 2D Room.....	41
4.2.1	Case Description and Simulation Settings.....	41
4.2.2	Comparison of Different Discretization Schemes	44

4.2.3 Comparison of Different Near-Wall Treatment Approaches	48
4.2.4 Comparison of Different Turbulence Models.....	51
4.3 Isothermal Forced Convection in a 3D Room.....	60
4.3.1 Case Description and Simulation Settings.....	60
4.3.2 Grid Dependency Study	63
4.3.3 Evaluation of Turbulence Models.....	66
4.3.4 Enhanced Wall Treatment Approach.....	70
4.4 Mixed Convection in a 3D Room.....	75
4.4.1 Case Description and Simulation Settings.....	75
4.4.2 Results and Discussion	77
CHAPTER 5	82
STUDYING COLD AIR SYSTEM IN INDOOR ENVIRONMENTS.....	82
5.1 General.....	82
5.2 2D Room with Side Air Supply.....	82
5.2.1 Effect of Reducing Supply Air Temperature.....	85
5.2.2 Effect of Reducing Supply Air Velocity	95
5.2.3 Effect of Supply Air Velocity at Part Loads.....	100
5.2.4 Effect of Natural Convection.....	107
5.2.5 Effect of Thermal Load Distribution	112
5.2.6 Effect of Jet Location.....	118
5.3 3D Room with Vertical Air Supply	122
5.3.1 Conventional System VS Cold Air System.....	124
5.3.2 Enhancement of Cold Air System	131
CHAPTER 6	138
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	138

6.1	Summary.....	138
6.2	Conclusions.....	139
6.3	Recommendations for Future Work.....	141
	REFERENCES.....	142

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Acceptable range of operative temperature and humidity (ASHRAE, 2004)	5
Figure 1-2 Air speed required to offset increased temperature (ASHRAE, 2004)	6
Figure 1-3 Local thermal discomfort caused by vertical temperature difference (ASHRAE, 2004)	7
Figure 1-4 Allowable mean air speed as a function of air temperature and turbulence intensity (ASHRAE, 2004)	7
Figure 2-1 Swirl diffuser, (Hu S C, 1999)	11
Figure 2-2 Room temperature distribution, (Hu S C, 1999).....	12
Figure 2-3 Experimental apparatus plan and section views, (S. Jafri, 2001)	13
Figure 2-4 Specification of tested outlets, (Y. Kashirajima, 2002).....	14
Figure 2-5 Application limits of several types of tested outlets, (Y. K, 2002) ..	15
Figure 2-6 ADPI before and after outlet enhancement, (Y. Kashirajima, 2002)	16
Figure 2-7 Physical room model, (Liyen Zhu, 2006)	17
Figure 2-8 Physical room model, (Yiwen Jian, 2006).....	18
Figure 2-9 Statistics of ADPI values of occupied zone, (Yiwen Jian, 2006)	20
Figure 2-10 Room geometry, (Blay D., 1992).....	23
Figure 2-11 Comparison of simulated and measured results on the centerline $X/L = 0.5$ in the room with mixed convection: a) temperature; b) turbulence kinetic energy, (Zhao Zhang, 2007).....	26
Figure 2-12 Test room, (Miao Wang, 2009).....	28
Figure 2-13 Three experimental cases with gradually increase on flow complexity, (Miao Wang, 2009).....	28
Figure 3-1 Different turbulence modeling techniques.....	38
Figure 4-1 2D room similar to Blay's experiment.....	42

Figure 4-2 Observed flow patter from the experiment, (Blay D., 1992).....	43
Figure 4-3 Predicted flow pattern from the CFD simulation.....	43
Figure 4-4 Solution residuals with first-order discretization scheme.....	46
Figure 4-5 Solution residuals with second-order discretization scheme	46
Figure 4-6 Comparison of the measured and predicted results with different discretization schemes (a) Velocity at $X/L = 0.5$, (b) Temperature at $X/L = 0.5$, (c) TKE at $X/L = 0.5$	48
Figure 4-7 Comparison of the measured and predicted results with different near-wall treatment approaches (a) Velocity at $X/L = 0.5$, (b) Temperature at $X/L = 0.5$, (c) TKE at $X/L = 0.5$	51
Figure 4-8 Grid dependency study (a) Velocity at $X/L = 0.5$, (b) Temperature at $X/L = 0.5$, (c) TKE at $X/L = 0.5$	55
Figure 4-9 Solution residuals (a) RNG k- ε model, (b) SST k- ω model, (c) RSM	56
Figure 4-10 Comparison of measured and predicted results with different turbulence models (a) Velocity at $X/L = 0.5$, (b) Velocity at $Y/L = 0.5$, (c) Temperature at $X/L = 0.5$, (d) Temperature at $Y/L = 0.5$, (e) TKE at $X/L = 0.5$, (f) TKE at $Y/L = 0.5$	59
Figure 4-11 (a) Test room, (Miao Wang, 2009) (b) Ten positions in room were measurements were taken	60
Figure 4-12 ‘44x44x44’ grid at $X/L = 0.5$	61
Figure 4-13 Grid dependency study (a) U/U_{\max} at position 3, (b) U/U_{\max} at position 4, (c) k/k_{\max} at position 3, (d) k/k_{\max} at position 4.....	64
Figure 4-14 Comparison between quadrilateral and tetrahedral grids (a) U/U_{\max} at position 4, (b) k/k_{\max} at position 4	65
Figure 4-15 Comparison between different turbulence models, U/U_{\max} (a) position 3, (b) position 4, (c) position 5, (d) position 6.....	68
Figure 4-16 Comparison between different turbulence models, k/k_{\max} (a) position 3, (b) position 4, (c) position 5, (d) position 6.....	69
Figure 4-17 Comparison between standard and enhanced wall treatment approaches, U/U_{\max} (a) position 3, (b) position 4, (c) position 5, (d) position 6	72

Figure 4-18 Comparison between standard and enhanced wall treatment approaches, k/k_{\max} (a) position 3, (b) position 4, (c) position 5, (d) position 6..	73
Figure 4-19 Velocity vectors at $Z/L=0.5$ for different wall treatment approaches (a) standard approach, (b) enhanced approach	74
Figure 4-20 Room with heated box, (Miao Wang, 2009).....	75
Figure 4-21 Grid at $X/L = 0.5$	76
Figure 4-22 Comparison between experimental and numerical results at position 6 when walls are assumed adiabatic (a) U/U_{\max} , (b) k/k_{\max} , (c) Temp.....	79
Figure 4-23 Temperature profiles at several locations inside the room with the assumption of 5 W/m^2 heat flux from walls (a) position 4, (b) position 5, (c) position 6, (d) position 8	80
Figure 4-24 Comparison between adiabatic walls case and wall heat flux case at position 6 (a) U/U_{\max} , (b) k/k_{\max}	81
Figure 5-1 Experimental test room, (Miao Wang, 2009)	83
Figure 5-2 2D room used in the numerical study	83
Figure 5-3 Grid for 2D room with density 44×44	84
Figure 5-4 Grid dependency study (a) velocity profiles at $X/L=0.5$ (b) temperature profiles at $X/L=0.5$	86
Figure 5-5 Velocity vectors for different supply temperature cases (a) 14°C case, (b) 10°C case, (c) 6°C case	89
Figure 5-6 Velocity contours for different supply temperature cases (a) 14°C case, (b) 10°C case, (c) 6°C case	90
Figure 5-7 Velocity profiles for different supply temperature cases (a) u at $X/L=0.5$, (b) v at $Y/L=0.5$	91
Figure 5-8 Temperature contours clipped between 22°C and 26°C for different supply temperature cases (a) 14°C case, (b) 10°C case, (c) 6°C case	92
Figure 5-9 Temperature profiles for different supply temperature cases (a) T at $X/L=0.5$, (b) T at $Y/L=0.5$	93
Figure 5-10 EDT contours clipped between -1.7 and 1.1 for different supply temperature cases (a) 14°C case, (b) 10°C case, (c) 6°C case.....	94

Figure 5-11 Velocity contours for different supply velocity cases (a) 0.8 m/s case, (b) 0.6 m/s case, (c) 0.4 m/s case, (d) 0.2 m/s case.....	97
Figure 5-12 Velocity profiles near ceiling (at $Y/L=0.99$) for different supply velocity cases	98
Figure 5-13 ADPI versus jet velocity	98
Figure 5-14 EDT contours clipped between -1.7 and 1.1 for different supply velocity cases (a) 0.8 m/s case, (b) 0.6 m/s case, (c) 0.4 m/s case, (d) 0.2 m/s case.....	99
Figure 5-15 Velocity contours for different load cases (a) 100% - 0.8m/s, (b) 100% - 0.6m/s, (c) 100% - 0.4m/s, (d) 75% - 0.6m/s, (e)75% - 0.45m/s, (f) 75% - 0.3 m/s, (g) 50% - 0.4m/s, (h) 50% - 0.3m/s, (i) 50% - 0.2 m/s.....	102
Figure 5-16 Velocity profiles for different load cases (100% at 0.8m/s, 75% at 0.6m/s, and 50% at 0.4m/s) (a) u at $X/L=0.5$, (b) v at $Y/L=0.5$	103
Figure 5-17 Temperature profiles for different load cases (100% at 0.8m/s, 75% at 0.6m/s, and 50% at 0.4m/s) (a) T at $X/L=0.5$, (b) T at $Y/L=0.5$	104
Figure 5-18 EDT contours clipped between -1.7 and 1.1 for different load cases (a) 100% - 0.8m/s, (b) 100% - 0.6m/s, (c) 100% - 0.4m/s, (d) 75% - 0.6m/s, (e)75% - 0.45m/s, (f) 75% - 0.3 m/s, (g) 50% - 0.4m/s, (h) 50% - 0.3m/s, (i) 50% - 0.2 m/s	105
Figure 5-19 ADPI versus jet velocity at different loads	106
Figure 5-20 Room used for natural convection cases.....	107
Figure 5-21 Velocity vectors for natural convection cases (a) $\Delta T=10$, (b) $\Delta T=20$, (c) $\Delta T=30$, (d) $\Delta T=40$	109
Figure 5-22 Velocity contours for natural convection cases (a) $\Delta T=10$, (b) $\Delta T=20$, (c) $\Delta T=30$, (d) $\Delta T=40$	110
Figure 5-23 Velocity profiles for natural convection cases (a) u at $X/L=0.5$, (b) v at $Y/L=0.5$	111
Figure 5-24 Average computed room air velocity vs total heat transferred.....	112
Figure 5-25 Velocity contours for different load distribution cases (a) 45% case, (b) 75% case, (c) 100% case	115
Figure 5-26 Velocity profiles for different load distribution cases (a) u at $X/L=0.5$, (b) v at $Y/L=0.5$	116

Figure 5-27 Temperature contours clipped between 21°C and 26°C for different load distribution cases (a) 45% case, (b) 75% case, (c) 100% case	117
Figure 5-28 Velocity contours for different jet location cases (a) jet drop = 2.1cm, (b) jet drop = 4.2cm, (c) jet drop = 6.3cm, (d) jet drop = 8.4cm, (e) jet drop = 10.5cm, (f) jet drop = 12.6cm.....	119
Figure 5-29 Velocity vectors near air inlet for different jet location cases (a) jet drop = 2.1cm, (b) jet drop = 4.2cm, (c) jet drop = 6.3cm, (d) jet drop = 8.4cm, (e) jet drop = 10.5cm, (f) jet drop = 12.6cm	120
Figure 5-30 Jet attachment location versus air inlet drop from ceiling	121
Figure 5-31 3D room geometry	122
Figure 5-32 3D room grid	123
Figure 5-33 Velocity contours for conventional system at the room's vertical mid-sections (a) at $X/L = 0.5$, (b) at $Z/L = 0.5$	126
Figure 5-34 Velocity contours for cold air system at the room's vertical mid-sections (a) at $X/L = 0.5$, (b) at $Z/L = 0.5$	127
Figure 5-35 Temperature contours for conventional system at the room's vertical mid-sections (a) at $X/L = 0.5$, (b) at $Z/L = 0.5$	128
Figure 5-36 Temperature contours for cold air system at the room's vertical mid-sections (a) at $X/L = 0.5$, (b) at $Z/L = 0.5$	129
Figure 5-37 Velocity and temperature profiles at several locations in $Z/L = 0.5$ plane (a) velocity magnitude, (b) temperature.....	130
Figure 5-38 Velocity contours at the room's vertical mid-sections for enhanced cold air system (a) at $X/L = 0.5$, (b) $Z/L = 0.5$	133
Figure 5-39 Temperature contours at the room's vertical mid-sections for enhanced cold air system, (a) at $X/L = 0.5$, (b) $Z/L = 0.5$	134
Figure 5-40 Temperature contours at several horizontal sections, (a) $Y=0.5m$, (b) $Y=1.0m$, (c) $Y=1.5m$, (d) $Y=2.0m$, (e) $Y=2.5m$, (f) $Y=2.9m$	135
Figure 5-41 Velocity profiles for different cold air cases at $Z/L = 0.5$ plane (a) at $X=1.00m$, (b) at $X=1.75m$, (c) at $X=2.25m$, (d) at $X=3.00m$	136
Figure 5-42 Temperature profiles for different cold air cases at $Z/L = 0.5$ plane (a) at $X=1.00m$, (b) at $X=1.75m$, (c) at $X=2.25m$, (d) at $X=3.00m$	137