



**Effect of electromagnetic interference of  
digital cellular telephones with different  
specific absorption rate value on  
implantable cardioverter defibrillators**

Thesis  
Submitted for partial fulfillment  
Of Master Degree in Cardiology

By  
**Amr Salah Kotb Hassan Masaud**  
M.B.B.Ch.

Under Supervision of  
**Prof. Dr. Wagdy Abd-Alhameed Galal**  
Professor of Cardiology  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

**Prof. Dr. Mervat Abo-Elmaaty Nabeh**  
Professor of Cardiology  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

**Dr. Rania Samir Ahmed**  
Assistant professor of cardiology  
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

**Faculty of Medicine  
Ain Shams University  
2014**

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

وَعَلَّمَكَ مَا لَمْ تَكُنْ تَعْلَمُ  
وَكَانَ فَضْلُ اللَّهِ عَلَيْكَ عَظِيمًا

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ  
الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

سورة النساء (١١٣)

## *Acknowledgment*

*First of all, my thanks and all gratitude to **ALLAH** whose help I always seek and without his will this work would not see the light.*

*I wish to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Wagdy Galal** Professor of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his great encouragement, support, and kindness. It was a great honor to work under his supervision.*

*I am really indebted to **Prof. Dr. Mervat Abo-Elmaaty**, Professor of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, who had expressed so much sincere care and devoted much of her time, her kind continuous assistance and her precious remarks which enabled me to go ahead and complete this work.*

*My deepest appreciation and profound gratitude to **Dr. Rania Samir**, Assistant Professor of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University. I appreciated and enjoyed her valuable advice, generous cooperation and great support. Her valuable continuous guidance during this study has made its completion possible.*

*Finally, I would like to thank my dear family. And I would like to thank everyone encouraged me even with a little word...even with a little smile.*

*Amr Salah Kotb Hassan Masaud*

# LIST OF CONTENTS

<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>LIST OF CONTENTS</b>	i
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	ii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	vi
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xi
<b>INTRODUCTION &amp; AIM OF THE WORK</b>	1
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	3
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	24
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	67
<b>PATIENTS AND METHODS</b>	95
<b>RESULTS</b>	104
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	153
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	170
<b>SUMMERY</b>	171
<b>REFERENCES</b>	177
<b>ARABIC SUMMERY</b>	-

## LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
1	The first working model for an ICD.	4
2	Comparison of implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) from several years ago with a current model from the same manufacturer.	4
3	An example of successive ICD generators made over time by one device company.	5
4	Anatomic locations of S-ICD components with sensing vectors.	9
5	Chest radiographs of two different implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) systems.	10
6	Overview of ICD function.	13
7	Brugada syndrome: three types of ST-segment elevation.	45
8	ESCAPE pathway.	62
9	Phones that we used in the study. (a) Phone number 1: HTC Touch Pro2. (b) Phone number 2: Nokia N73.	101
10	Programmer models that used in our study (a) Medtronic Carelink 2090. (b) Biotronik ICS 3000. (c) Boston Scientific ZOOM LATITUDE 3120 PRM. (d) St. Jude Medical Merlin PCS	102
11	Changes in the P wave and R wave sensing values during calling for phone number 1	112
12	Changes in the P wave and R wave sensing values during calling for phone number 2	112
13	Changes in the P wave and R wave sensing values during receiving for phone number 1	113
14	Changes in the P wave and R wave sensing values during receiving for phone number 2	113
15	Changes in the P wave and R wave sensing values during Dialogue & Listening for phone number 1	114
16	Changes in the P wave and R wave sensing values during Dialogue & Listening for phone number 2	114
17	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular and left ventricular pacing threshold values during calling for phone number	122

<b>Fig. No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
18	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular and left ventricular pacing threshold values during calling for phone number 2.	122
19	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular and left ventricular pacing threshold values during receiving for phone number 1.	123
20	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular and left ventricular pacing threshold values during receiving for phone number 2.	123
21	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular and left ventricular pacing threshold values during Dialogue & Listening for phone number 1	124
22	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular and left ventricular pacing threshold values during Dialogue & Listening for phone number 2	124
23	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular, left ventricular and defibrillator lead impedance values during calling for phone number 1	135
24	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular, left ventricular and defibrillator lead impedance values during calling for phone number 2	135
25	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular, left ventricular and defibrillator lead impedance values during receiving for phone number 1	136
26	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular, left ventricular and defibrillator lead impedance values during receiving for phone number 2	136
27	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular, left ventricular and defibrillator lead impedance values during Dialogue & Listening for phone number 1	137
28	Changes in the atrial, right ventricular, left ventricular and defibrillator lead impedance values during Dialogue & Listening for phone number 2	137
29	Incidence of conducted and non conducted tests for the phone number 1 versus phone number 2. At the ICD pocket	144
30	Noise detection on real time telemetry with loss of marker channel annotation and intracardiac EGM, with Biotronic programmer ICS 3000 in patient number 13 with Lexos VR during calling with phone number 1 near ICD pocket.	150

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
31	Loss of communication with St. Jude Medical programmer Merlin PCS in patient number 15 with Atlas + VR V-193 during calling with phone number 2 near ICD pocket	150
32	The telemetric interference on the intrinsic electrograms sparing surface ECG of patient number 3 with St. Jude Medical (Epic + VR V-196) single chamber ICD (a) Phone 1 near ICD pocket during receiving. (b) Phone 1 near ICD pocket during Dialogue & Listening. (c) Phone 2 near ICD pocket during receiving.	151
33	The telemetric noise with the intrinsic electrograms of ventricular channels of patient number 15 with St. Jude Medical (Atlas + VR V-193) single chamber ICD (a) Phone 1 near ICD pocket during calling. (b) Phone 2 near ICD pocket during calling.	152

## LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
1	Criteria for the diagnosis of ARVD: diagnosis depends on two major and two minor criteria	33
2	LQTS types: channelopathy, frequency, triggers, and ECG morphology	40
3	Role of ICDs in the prevention against SCD: multiple prospective randomized multicenter clinical trials	42
4	Documented sources of electromagnetic interference in patients with implanted cardiac devices.	69
5	Baseline characteristics of the studied patients	105
6	Distribution of patients based on the device manufacturer and the device model.	107
7	P wave sensing for phone number 1.	110
8	P wave sensing for phone number 2	110
9	R wave sensing for phone number 1	111
10	R wave sensing for phone number 2	111
11	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during P wave sensing with phone number 1 At the ICD pocket.	115
12	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during P wave sensing with phone number 2 At the ICD pocket.	115
13	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during R wave sensing with phone number 1 At the ICD pocket.	116
14	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during R wave sensing with phone number 2 At the ICD pocket.	116
15	Pacing threshold of the atrial lead during phone number 1 testing	119
16	Pacing threshold of the atrial lead during phone number 2 testing	119
17	Pacing threshold in the right ventricle for phone number 1.	120
18	Pacing threshold in the right ventricle for phone number 2.	120

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
19	Pacing threshold in the left ventricle for phone number 1.	121
20	Pacing threshold in the left ventricle for phone number 2.	121
21	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during Pacing threshold test of the atrial lead with phone number 1 At the ICD pocket	125
22	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during Pacing threshold test of the atrial lead with phone number 2 At the ICD pocket	125
23	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during Pacing threshold test in the right ventricle with phone number 1 At the ICD pocket	126
24	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during Pacing threshold test in the right ventricle with phone number 2 At the ICD pocket	126
25	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during Pacing threshold test in the left ventricle with phone number 1 At the ICD pocket	127
26	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during Pacing threshold test in the leftt ventricle with phone number 2 At the ICD pocket	127
27	Atrial lead impedance for phone number 1	131
28	Atrial lead impedance for phone number 2	131
29	Right ventricle lead impedance for phone number 1.	132
30	Right ventricle lead impedance for phone number 2.	132
31	Left ventricle lead impedance for phone number 1.	133
32	Left ventricle lead impedance for phone number 2.	133
33	Defibrillator lead impedance for phone number 1.	134
34	Defibrillator lead impedance for phone number 2.	134
35	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during the atrial lead impedance test with phone number 1 At the ICD pocket	138
36	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during the atrial lead impedance test with phone number 2 At the ICD pocket	138

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
37	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during the right ventricular lead impedance test with phone number 1 At the ICD pocket	139
38	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during the right ventricular lead impedance test with phone number 2 At the ICD pocket	139
39	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during the leftt ventricular lead impedance test with phone number 1 At the ICD pocket	140
40	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during the leftt ventricular lead impedance test with phone number 2 At the ICD pocket	140
41	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during the defibrillator lead impedance test with phone number 1 At the ICD pocket	141
42	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests during the defibrillator lead impedance test with phone number 2 At the ICD pocket	141
43	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests due to: telemetric errors, Interference with communication, Limited programmability of the old machines, Test could not be carried out due to patient limitations and Couldn't be detected due to technical limitations. At the ICD pocket.	143
44	Number of performed tests versus the non conducted tests due to: telemetric errors, Interference with communication, Limited programmability of the old machines, Test could not be carried out due to patient limitations and Couldn't be detected due to technical limitations. On the ipsilateral ear.	143
45	The distribution of the non conducted tests according to the device manufacturer. At the level of ICD pocket	145
46	The distribution of the non conducted tests according to the ICD model. At the level of ICD pocket	146
47	Marker channel annotation errors during calling for both phones.	148
48	Marker channel annotation errors during receiving for both phones	149
49	Marker channel annotation errors during Dialogue & Listening for both phones.	149

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>ACC</b>	: American College of Cardiology.
<b>ACR</b>	: American College of Radiology.
<b>AF</b>	: Atrial fibrillation.
<b>AHA</b>	: American Heart Association.
<b>ARVD</b>	: Arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia.
<b>ATP</b>	: Anti-tachycardia pacing.
<b>CABG</b>	: Coronary artery bypass graft.
<b>CEPT</b>	: Conference Europeene des Postes et des Telecommunications
<b>CHD</b>	: Congenital heart disease.
<b>CMOS</b>	: Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor.
<b>CRT-D</b>	: Cardiac resynchronization therapy-defibrillator.
<b>CRT-P</b>	: Cardiac resynchronization therapy-pacer.
<b>DC</b>	: Direct current.
<b>DCM</b>	: Dilated cardiomyopathy.
<b>DFT</b>	: Defibrillation threshold.
<b>ECG</b>	: Electrocardiogram.
<b>EMI</b>	: Electro-magnetic interference.
<b>EPS</b>	: Electrophysiological study.
<b>FDA</b>	: Food and Drug Agency.
<b>FVT</b>	: Fast ventricular tachycardia.
<b>GSM</b>	: Global System for Mobile Communication.
<b>Gy</b>	: Grey unit.
<b>HF</b>	: Heart failure.
<b>HOCM</b>	: Hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy.
<b>Hz</b>	: Hertz.
<b>ICD</b>	: Implantable cardioverter defibrillator.
<b>IHD</b>	: Ischemic heart disease.
<b>ILR</b>	: Implantable loop recorder.
<b>IRD</b>	: Implantable rhythm device.
<b>LQTS</b>	: long Q-T syndrome.

<b>LVEF</b>	: Left ventricular ejection fraction.
<b>MI</b>	: Myocardial infarction.
<b>MRI</b>	: Magnetic resonance imaging.
<b>NYHA</b>	: New York Heart Association.
<b>OMT</b>	: Optimal medical therapy.
<b>PCS</b>	: Personal Communication Services.
<b>PPM</b>	: Permanent pacemaker.
<b>PVC</b>	: Premature ventricular complex.
<b>RF</b>	: Radiofrequency.
<b>RR</b>	: Relative risk.
<b>RV</b>	: Right ventricle.
<b>SAECG</b>	: Signal averaged electrocardiogram.
<b>SAR</b>	: Specific absorption rate.
<b>SCD</b>	: Sudden cardiac death.
<b>SVC</b>	: Superior vena cava.
<b>TACS</b>	: Total Access Communication System.
<b>TENS</b>	: Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation.
<b>TOF</b>	: Tetralogy of Fallot.
<b>VF</b>	: Ventricular fibrillation.
<b>VT</b>	: Ventricular tachycardia.
<b>VTA</b>	: Ventricular tachyarrhythmia.

## INTRODUCTION

The development of implantable cardioverter-defibrillators (ICDs) during the past 25 years has revolutionized the approach to prevention of sudden cardiac death (SCD). Multiple clinical trials have defined the indications for ICD therapy in the prevention of primary and secondary SCD. Our current understanding of risk stratification is a victory for evidence-based medicine; perhaps no other area of cardiology has been so rigorously evaluated. (*Margaret et al., 2007*)

Electromagnetic interference (EMI) is defined as any signal, biological or nonbiological, that is within a frequency spectrum detectable by the sensing circuitry of the ICD. EMI can result in rate alteration, sensing abnormalities, asynchronous pacing, noise reversion, or reprogramming. EMI can also cause failure to deliver antibradycardia pacing, inappropriate delivery of antitachycardia therapy, resetting of programmed parameters, and damage to the pulse generator or myocardial interface. (*Hayes et al., 1997*)

Mobile phones (also known as cellular or cellphones) allow communication from any location via a network of base stations.

The information is transmitted from the mobile phone to the base station and vice versa via high-frequency electromagnetic fields.

The proportion of the radiation that is absorbed by the body when making a call varies according to the model of mobile phone. It is expressed by the specific absorption rate (SAR). The lower SAR of the device, the lower the radiation that is absorbed by the body. (*Bit-Babik et al., 2003*)

A SAR value is a measure of the maximum energy absorbed by a unit of mass of exposed tissue of a person using a mobile phone, over a given time or more simply the power absorbed per unit mass. SAR values are usually expressed in units of watts per kilogram (W/kg) in either 1g or 10g of tissue. (*Bit-Babik et al., 2003*)

## **AIM OF THE WORK**

To investigate whether electromagnetic field generated by mobile phones with different SAR values can interfere with the function of implantable cardioverter defibrillators.

## HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

The implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) was the vision of Michel Mirowski, who first implanted a defibrillator in Baltimore in 1980, after more than 10 years of development. The devices were not approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for widespread use until 1985 (*Kastor et al., 1989*). The discovery that defibrillation could successfully restore sinus rhythm to fibrillating dog heart was made in the late 1800s in Switzerland and was applied in humans with an external alternating current defibrillator in 1947 by Claude Beck at University Hospitals in Cleveland during open heart surgery using paddles applied to the myocardial surface (*Beck et al., 1947*). By the mid 1950s, external closed chest defibrillators had been developed, and by the late 1950s alternating current was replaced by direct current power sources. As units became smaller, the 1960s saw the development of smaller, portable units that could be placed in ambulances. The discovery that a biphasic waveform could successfully defibrillate with smaller amounts of energy in greater than 90% of patients has allowed both the external and internal devices become even smaller. (*Mirowski et al., 1982*)

The earliest implanted devices had limited capabilities with respect to pacemaker function, stored telemetry, and arrhythmia discrimination ability. The only criterion for detection of