



Computer Systems Department  
Faculty of Computer and Information  
Sciences  
Ain Shams University

# **High Performance Techniques For Multi-Class 3D Object Categorization**

**Dissertation**

**Submitted as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for  
the degree of  
Master of Science in Computer and Information Sciences.**

**By**

Ahmed Hamdy Mohamed Eleliemy  
B.Sc. in Computer and Information Sciences  
Demonstrator at Computer Systems Department  
Faculty of Computer and Information Sciences  
Ain Shams University

**Supervisors**

1. Prof. Dr. H. Faheem  
Head of Computer Systems department at Faculty of Computer  
and Information Sciences, Ain Shams University
2. Prof. Dr. W. Elkelani  
Computer Systems department at Faculty of Computer and In-  
formation Sciences, Ain Shams University

# Dedication

This thesis is dedicated to the memory of my parents. I really miss you, but I know you are happy and proud of this progress in my life.

I always pray for your happiness.

*Many thanks To*

My brother Mohamed, my sister Aisha and my lovely wife Omnia. Mohamed keeps pushing me, his words of encouragement ring in my ears. He was my first teacher, without his experience I could not find the right path.

Aisha supports from my early childhood till today. I will never forget your long hours of caring us.

My beautiful and lovely wife, without your support and patience, I could not complete such work.

Also I dedicate this work to the best Prof. I met; Dr. Hossam whom gives me his support and never gives up. He treats me as a son.



# Acknowledgements

Many people helped in this work, but special thanks for Dr. Doaa Hegazy. She guides me to the correct way. Her wide knowledge and experience in the field of computer vision enriches my research. I would like to thank Dr. Wail Elkelani for his encouragement and guideness. Also, I would never forget the unlimited support of my Faculty; Computer and Information Sciences (FCIS). It facilitates my research and supports me with the needed equipment. Finally, I would like to appreciate Dr. Mohamed Hamdy; The manager of Information Network for Ain Shams University. He gave me his support and allowed me to access some of the network servers for my experiments.



# Abstract

This thesis is an example of how high performance computing techniques can be used to speedup the processing of computationally intensive problems. The problem of machine ability to categorize different objects is our selected problem. Object categorization is the task of classifying objects into generic classes. Although such task is effort-less for humans, it is a complex and computationally intensive for computers. Object categorization is an important task for its different applications such as image annotation, image retrieval, video annotation, surveillance, driver assistance, autonomous robots, interactive games. It is also used as a preparation step for object recognition.

The performance metric for the categorization task consists of two key measurements, success categorization rate and system run-time. Different trials to solve the categorization problem are currently in place. However, few trials consider both of them. In fact, the heavy processing tasks needed for accurate categorization system lead to increase system run-time. Recently, the high performance techniques are used to solve the problem of increasing system run-time

In this thesis, we provide a study of 3D object categorization algorithms based on complex feature and a study of different high performance computing techniques that could be used to enhance such

algorithms performance. We introduce a system that categorizes 3D objects based on their depth information. It matches the real time constraint with high success categorization rate compared to other existing systems.

In the proposed categorization system, spin-images are selected as features also Support Vector Machine is selected as a classifier. Spin-image is a complex feature to be extracted. We investigated the task dependancy in such feature extraction. Moreover, we modified the original spin-image algorithm to eliminate the unnecessary blocking tasks based on our task dependancy analysis.

Different strategies enhancing spin-point selection in order to enhance success categorization rate are evaluated. Also, we evaluated the benift of using bag of features technique for the success categorization rate. During our initial experiments, the success categorization rate was approached 65%. After futher improvements, this rate has been significantly increased such that we have achieved almost 81% in 0.85033 second for each single object.

Eventually, an evaluation to our implemented categorization system is carried out in comparison to two different types of publicly available 3D objects datasets. The first one was the Princeton shape benchmark. The second one was the RGB-D dataset. Results have proved that our categorization system provides much more accurate and faster categorization.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Overview . . . . .	3
1.2	Problem Statement . . . . .	5
1.3	Motivation . . . . .	6
1.4	Research Goals . . . . .	7
1.5	Contributions . . . . .	7
1.6	Thesis Outline . . . . .	8
1.7	Summary . . . . .	9
<b>2</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Spin-images . . . . .	11
2.2	Spin-image Generation Parameters . . . . .	16
2.2.1	Image-width parameter . . . . .	16
2.2.2	Support-angle parameter . . . . .	16
2.2.3	Bin-size parameter . . . . .	18
2.3	Support Vector Machine . . . . .	19
2.3.1	Support Vector Machine kernel functions . . . . .	21
2.4	High Performance Computing Development Strategies . . . . .	21
2.4.1	PC clusters . . . . .	22
2.4.2	Symmetric Multi-Processing . . . . .	24
2.4.3	Graphical Processing Units . . . . .	27
2.5	Summary . . . . .	28



<b>3</b>	<b>State of The Art</b>	<b>29</b>
3.0.1	Object Recognition and Categorization . . . . .	29
3.1	Object Categorization as A Pattern Recognition Problem	30
3.1.1	Template Matching Model for Pattern Recognition	31
3.1.2	Statistical model for pattern recognition . . . . .	31
3.1.3	Syntactic model for pattern recognition . . . . .	32
3.1.4	Knowledge-based model for pattern recognition	32
3.2	Structure of Object Categorization Systems . . . . .	33
3.2.1	2D and 3D Vision . . . . .	34
3.2.2	Features Selection and Extraction . . . . .	35
3.3	The Related Research for Spin-images . . . . .	36
3.4	Summary . . . . .	38
<b>4</b>	<b>Proposed Categorization System</b>	<b>39</b>
4.1	Proposed Sequential System . . . . .	41
4.2	Random Selection Strategy . . . . .	45
4.3	Dependancy Analysis . . . . .	45
4.4	Proposed Parallel Categorization System . . . . .	48
4.5	Categorization System Enhancements . . . . .	51
4.5.1	Curvature Selection Strategy . . . . .	56
4.5.2	Bag of Features . . . . .	56
4.5.3	Convolution Edge Detection Selection Strategy	57
4.6	Summary . . . . .	59
<b>5</b>	<b>Case studies and Results</b>	<b>61</b>
5.1	Datasets . . . . .	61
5.1.1	Princeton Shape Benchmark Dataset . . . . .	62
5.1.2	RGB-D Dataset . . . . .	63
5.2	Platforms Specifications . . . . .	64
5.2.1	PC Cluster Specifications . . . . .	65
5.2.2	SMP Server Specifications . . . . .	65
5.3	Results . . . . .	66

5.3.1	Results for MPI Based System . . . . .	66
5.3.2	Results for SMP Based System . . . . .	70
5.4	Summary . . . . .	73
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>75</b>
6.1	Conclusions . . . . .	75
6.2	Future Work . . . . .	76



# List of Figures

2.1	Oriented Point P with normal $N_p$ belongs to bunny 3D mesh . . . . .	12
2.2	The analogy of Spin-image generation process . . . . .	13
2.3	$\alpha$ and $\beta$ values between oriented point P and X . . . . .	14
2.4	Bilinear Interpolation for increment value of i and j indices . . . . .	15
2.5	The effect of changing image-width parameter . . . . .	17
2.6	The effect of changing support-angle parameter . . . . .	17
2.7	The effect of changing bin-size parameter . . . . .	18
2.8	How Kernel trick transfers dimensions X1 and X2 to new higher dimensions of $X'1$ and $X'2$ to make it possible to perform linear speration . . . . .	20
2.9	PC Cluster . . . . .	23
2.10	Symmetric Multi-processing Architecture . . . . .	24
2.11	Single VS Multi threaded process . . . . .	25
3.1	System structure for a categorization system based on statistical approach . . . . .	34
4.1	Sequential 3D object categorization system . . . . .	41
4.2	Classifier judges list for different 4 categories W,X,Y and Z . . . . .	44
4.3	System runtime analysis . . . . .	46

4.4	Sequence diagram for the tasks of the sequential categorization system . . . . .	47
4.5	Mean of success categorization rate at different numbers of spin-points . . . . .	49
4.6	Parallel 3D object categorization system . . . . .	50
4.7	The 3D connected mesh for chair object . . . . .	52
4.8	3D point cloud of chair object . . . . .	53
5.1	Some of PSB furniture objects . . . . .	62
5.2	Some samples of RGB-D objects . . . . .	63
5.3	Relation between system overall runtime and number of spin-points . . . . .	67
5.4	Relation between number of workers and overall system run time . . . . .	69
5.5	Confusion matrix of proposed model shows the mean of success categorization rate equal to 65% . . . . .	70
5.6	Relation between success categorization rate and number of spin-points for four the different categorization techniques . . . . .	72

# List of Tables

5.1	Table of PSB furniture classes . . . . .	62
5.2	Distribution of RGB-D classes into our 3 categories . .	64
5.3	Table of sequential and parallel parts of our approach .	68
5.4	Comparison between Random Selection Strategy (RSS), Curvature Selection Strategy (CSS) for both medoid and Mean Keypoint and Edge Detection selection strategy (EDSS) with medoid Key spin-point —spin- image generation parameters: bin-size=0.1,image-width=20 and support-angle=60, SVM parameters: RBF kernel function with $\sigma = 8$ and $C = 32$ for PSB and $\sigma = 512$ and $C = 4$ for RGB-D , number of spin-points=100 . .	71
5.5	System Runtime for RSS -as in [1]- technique, CSS with MCT, CSS with MDCT and EDSS with MDCT . . . .	73

