

Evaluation of Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology (FNAC) Role in Diagnosis of Various Breast Lesions and its Diagnostic Pitfalls

Thesis

Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in
Pathology

By

Mariam Mahmoud Seleem El-Rashedy

M.B.B.CH Ain Shams University

Supervised by

Professor / Magda Hassan Nasreldin

Professor of Pathology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Professor / Manal Mohammed El-Mahdy

Professor of Pathology

Faculty of Medicine-Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2017

Acknowledgment

In every step towards the completion of this work, I thank Allah for being beside me pushing me on his land to success and achievement.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **Professor / Magda Hassan Nasreldin** for her great support, supervision and scientific advices throughout this work.

And with all love and gratitude to **Professor / Manal Mohammed El-Mahdy** for her sincere reviewing and contributing to this work.

And my special thanks to **Doctor / Somaia Ahmed Saad El-Din** for going with me every step reviewing and supporting this work.

Finally, I owe many thanks to the Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams university with all its staff members creating the best home for this work to arise.

Many thanks and appreciation to the staff members of the Unit of Early Cancer Detection, Ain Shams Maternity Hospital giving the professional help throughout the work done.

List of contents:

I-Abstract	20
II-Introduction.....	23
III-Aim of work.....	26
IV-Review of literature:	
Chapter (1):.....	28
Fine needle aspiration technique and breast diagnostic approach	
Chapter (2):.....	51
Cytology of breast lesions	
V-Materials and methods.....	111
VI-Results.....	115
VII-Discussion.....	168
VIII-Conclusion.....	177
IX-Recommendations.....	179
X-Summary.....	181
XI-References.....	183
XII-Arabic summary.....	206

Abbreviations:

FNAC	Fine needle aspiration cytology
CNB	core needle biopsy
FNA	Fine needle aspiration
H&E	Haematoxylin and Eosin
RPMI	Roswell Park Memorial Institute
FISH	fluorescence in situ hybridization
DNA	Deoxyribonucleotide
ER	Estrogen receptor
PR	Progesterone receptor
HER2	Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2
FCCs	Fibrocystic changes
DCIS	Ductal carcinoma in situ
PAS	Periodic acid schiff
BIRAD	Breast imaging reporting and database system
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
MHz	Megahertz
RBC	Red blood corpuscle
N/C	Nucleocytoplasmic
UDH	Usual ductal hyperplasia
ADH	Atypical ductal hyperplasia
ILC	Invasive lobular carcinoma
IDC	Invasive ductal carcinoma

List of figures:

Figure(number)	Description
Figure (1)	Triple assessment
Figure (2)	Equipments for fine needle aspiration
Figure (3)	One smear method
Figure (4)	Two smear method
Figure (5)	Air dried and alcohol fixation equipments
Figure (6)	Equipments needed for extraction traces from the needle to maximize sample amount
Figure (7)	Normal ductal cells in a monolayered cohesive group.
Figure (8)	Benign ductal group.
Figure (9)	Normal myoepithelial cells – Bare bipolar nuclei.
Figure (10)	Normal myoepithelial cells within a cell group – Elongated darker cells
Figure (11)	Normal apocrine cells with abundant granular cytoplasm and prominent central nucleoli.
Figure (12)	Mastitis with marked reactive changes. Round nuclei and central nucleoli.
Figure (13)	Fat necrosis with foamy macrophages.
Figure (14)	Lactational adenoma.
Figure (15)	Gynaecomastia. Cohesive ductal groups and stromal fragments. Resembles a fibroadenoma.
Figure (16)	Fibrocystic changes.
Figure (17)	Usual ductal hyperplasia.
Figure (18)	Collagenous spherulosis with hyaline globules.
Figure (19)	Fibroadenoma. Branching ductal groups.
Figure (20)	Phyllodes tumour. Hypercellular stromal fragments with atypical spindle cells
Figure (21)	Papillary lesion (Papilloma).
Figure (22)	Papillary lesion (Papilloma). Note palisaded edge

Figure (23)	Papillary lesion (Papillary DCIS). Difficult to distinguish from a papilloma.
Figure (24)	Papillary lesion (Papillary DCIS).
Figure (25)	DCIS.
Figure (26)	DCIS with comedo-necrosis.
Figure (27)	Invasive ductal carcinoma. Not all vacuolated background means lactation
Figure (28)	Mucinous carcinoma.
Figure (29)	Invasive lobular carcinoma.
Figure (30)	Invasive lobular carcinoma. Tumour cells are uniform and they have round nuclei. They may mimic bare bipolar nuclei.
Figure (31)	Invasive lobular carcinoma. Indian file with nuclear moulding
Figure (32)	Invasive carcinoma. Note targetoid inclusion(s): cytoplasmic vacuole with mucin droplet
Figure (33)	Radiotherapy effect. Atypical cell with low N/C ratio, bizarre shaped and vacuolated cytoplasm
Figure (34)	Main complaints of the patients
Figure (35)	Pie chart represents the reported laterality of the examined lump.
Figure (36)	Pie chart provides the clinical assessment of the examined cases as the first step in triple assessment.
Figure (37)	Pie chart provides results of the BIRAD score given that score 1,2,3 are mostly benign, 4 is suspicious and 5 is malignant.
Figure (38)	Pie chart describes the findings of fine needle aspiration
Figure (39)	Graph describes the distribution of non malignant diagnoses among fine needle aspiration cases.
Figure (40)	Graph describes the distribution of Malignant diagnoses among fine needle aspiration cases.
Figure (41)	Categories found by histopathology represented

	by the wheel chart
Figure (42)	Pie chart compares the results of both fine needle aspiration and histopathology results of the same cases.
Figure (43)	Graph represents correlation between FNA and histopathological results regarding malignancy diagnoses.
Figure (44)	Graph represents agreement between BIRAD and biopsy regarding malignancy diagnosis
Figure (45)	Graph represents agreement between clinical suggestion and biopsy regarding malignancy diagnosis
Figure (46):	FNA aspiration of a painful breast mass: inflammatory smear with closely packed polymorphonuclear leucocytes and pus cells.(Papanicolaou stain x200)
Figure (47)	FNA from breast abscess showing polymorphonuclear leukocytes with their characteristic segmented nuclei in a proteinaceous background.(Giemsa stain x200)
Figure (48)	FNA of breast abscess in a lactating female: inflammatory smear with numerous foamy histiocytes indicated by the arrow. (H&E x200)
Figure (49)	FNA of breast abscess in another case: inflammatory smear and a bloody background. (Papanicolaou x200)
Figure (50)	Histopathology of the previous case of breast abscess:showing infiltration of breast parenchyma by acute inflammatory cells ,periductal mastitis. (H&E X40)
Figure (51)	FNA of infected breast cyst showing inflammatory cells in a background of cellular debris and proteinaceous fluid (Giemsa x400)
Figure (52)	FNA of a breast mass: showing mainly macrophages and few binucleated giant cells(G)

	with lymphocytes and few neutrophils in the background (papanicolaou x200)
Figure (53)	Histopathology of previous case :Showing granulomatous inflammation centered on lobules with lymphocytes, plasma cells, epithelioid histiocytes, multinucleated giant cells in a case of granulomatous mastitis. (H&E X100)
Figure (54)	FNA of a breast mass: showing multinucleated giant cells, epithelioid cells, plasma cells and lymphocytes suggesting granulomatous mastitis (H&E x200)
Figure (55)	Histopathology of the previous case revealing multiple giant cells , epithelioid cells and granuloma formation proving to be granulomatous mastitis (H&E x100)
Figure (56)	Higher magnification on histopathology of previous case showing granuloma with multinucleated giant cells (G). (H&E x200)
Figure (57)	FNA of a breast mass showing with many foamy histiocytes(H) in a case of fat necrosis (Giemsa x200)
Figure (58)	FNA of a breast mass :showing multinucleated giant cell(G) and foamy histiocytes(H) in a case of fat necrosis. (H&E X400)
Figure (59)	FNA of a breast mass showing: benign ductal cells and bare oval nuclei(arrow) in a case of fibroadenoma, also fibromyxoid stroma of fibroadenoma(*) is evident. (Giemsa x200)
Figure (60)	FNA of a breast mass:showing a group of benign ductal cells in a case of fibroadenosis. (H&E x200)
Figure (61)	Histopathology of the previous case showing increased number of ducts and more fibrous stroma. (H&E X40)
Figure (62)	Higher magnification Histopathology of the

	previous case by H&E X100.
Figure (63)	FNA of a breast mass: showing branching cohesive sheets of benign ductal cells with finger like structures.(arrow) proved to be a case of fibroadenoma. (Papanicolaou stain x100)
Figure (64)	FNA of a breast mass :cohesive ductal cells and bare oval nuclei and fibromyxoid stroma(*) in a case of fibroadenoma (Papanicolaou x200)
Figure (65)	Histopathology of fibroadenoma of the previous case. (H&E x40)
Figure (66)	FNA of a soft breast mass: showing numerous scattered fat cells suggesting lipoma. (Papanicolaou x200)
Figure (67)	Histopathology of the previous case: showing section in lipoma. (H&E stainX100)
Figure (68)	FNA of a breast mass: showing mild ductal hyperplasia and bare nuclei in the background. A case of usual ductal hyperplasia. (Giemsa x200)
Figure (69)	FNA of a breast mass: showing mild ductal hyperplasia and bare nuclei in the background. A case of usual ductal hyperplasia. (Giemsa x200)
Figure (70)	FNA of a breast mass in a case of atypical ductal hyperplasia showing moderate cellularity, variable size of cells ,abundant cytoplasm,enlarged nuclei and prominent nucleolus. (H&E x400)
Figure (71)	Histopathology of the previous case Showing cribriform arrangment with monomorphic cells with ovoid nuclei. (H&E X200)
Figure (72)	FNA in a case of invasive ductal carcinoma showing highly cellular smear. (H&E x100)
Figure (73)	FNA of the previous case showing numerous loosely cohesive cells with abundant cytoplasm and enlarged nuclei. (H&E x200)
Figure (74)	FNA of another case of invasive duct carcinoma showing poorly cohesive cells in a background

	full of dense RBCs. (Papanicolaou x200)
Figure (75)	FNA of a breast mass : showing cellular malignant smear with loosely cohesive pleomorphic cells with macronuclei.(Giemsa x400)
Figure (76)	Histopathology of the previous case (invasive ductal carcinoma) showing infiltration of the breast parenchyma by malignant ductal cells.(H&E x40)
Figure (77)	Histopathology of another case(with malignant smear in cytology) proved to be (invasive ductal carcinoma with in situ component (comedo type). (H&E x40)
Figure (78)	FNA of a breast mass: in a case of invasive ductal carcinoma with mucinous component showing malignant ductal cells and pale bluish mucin.(M) (Giemsa stain x200)
Figure (79)	Histopathology of the previous case:A case of invasive duct carcinoma with mucinous component showing infiltrating malignant cells in heavy mucin. (H&E x200).
Figure (80)	FNA in a breast mass: showing a malignant smear with small blue cells in a case proved to be invasive duct carcinoma with neuroendocrine features by (H&E x200)
Figure (81)	Histopathology of the previous case of invasive duct carcinoma with neuroendocrine features showing infiltrating small rounded blue cells in a papillary pattern with smooth contoured papillae. (H&E x100)
Figure (82)	Histopathology of the previous case (invasive duct carcinoma with neuroendocrine features): showing the fibromyxoid stroma and hyaline globules (arrow). (H&E x200)
Figure (83)	FNA of a breast mass:(in a case proved to be medullary carcinoma) showing highly cellular

	smear with large cells with pleomorphic nuclei and large nucleoli arranged some as single cells and in syncytia. Also numerous lymphocytes and plasma cells are present in the background. (H&E x200)
Figure (84)	FNA of the previous lesion with higher magnification showing large malignant cells with large nuclei and multiple nucleoli.(arrows) (H&E x400)
Figure (85)	Histopathology of a case of medullary carcinoma showing the pushing margin, lymphoplasmcytic stroma and large malignant cells. (H&E x400)
Figure (86)	FNA of a malignant smear showing monotonous malignant cells (Giemsa x100)
Figure (87)	Histopathology proved to be a case of invasive lobular carcinoma with in situ component showing characteristic monotonous cells and indian file arrangement.(H&E x40)
Figure (88)	FNA of a breast mass showing: Hypercellular smear with large branching finger like branches that are commonly seen in fibroadenoma with pale bluish mucinous looking material. In a case was falsely diagnosed as fibroadenoma and then it was revealed to be a mucinous carcinoma. (H&E x100)
Figure (89)	FNA of the previous case:showing Large branching groups of bland looking ductal epithelial cells in mucinous carcinoma smear that misinterpreted as fibroadenoma. (H&E,x200)
Figure (90)	The histologic section showed the well differentiated glands with the tall columnar minimally atypical mucinous cells with intraluminal mucin. (H&E x100).
Figure (91)	Histopathology of mucinous carcinoma,showing mucin in the stroma. (H&E x100)

List of tables:

Table(number)	Description
Table 1	Comparison between air dried and alcohol fixed smears
Table 2	Ancillary studies could be done on cytology smears
Table 3	Frequency of pneumothorax as a complication of fine needle aspiration
Table 4	Breast imaging reporting and database system
Table 5	Demographic characteristics of the studied cases
Table 6	Main complaints among the studied cases
Table 7	Clinical findings among the studied cases
Table 8	clinical suggestion of the examined cases
Table 9	BIRAD characteristics of the studied cases
Table 10	Pathology readers of the studied slides
Table 11	FNA characteristics of the studied cases

Table 12	FNA brief diagnosis of the studied cases
Table 13	FNA detailed diagnosis of the studied cases
Table 14	FNA reports recommendations reported for further steps should be taken of the studied cases
Table 15	Type of biopsy for histopathology of the studied cases
Table 16	Results of the histopathology of the examined cases.
Table 17	Biopsy detailed diagnosis of the studied cases
Table `18	Agreement between FNA and biopsy regarding malignancy diagnosis
Table 19	Agreement between FNA and biopsy
Table 20	Diagnostic characteristics of FNA in diagnosis of malignancy (diagnosed by biopsy)
Table 21	Agreement between BIRAD and biopsy regarding malignancy diagnosis