

***The Role of MR Imaging In The Preoperative
Staging And Planning For Sphincter Sparing
Surgery In Rectal carcinoma***

THESIS

**Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of MD Degree In
Radiodiagnosis**

Submitted by

Mohammed Mohammed Mohammed Gomaa

M.B.B;CH, M.Sc

Cairo University

Supervised by

Prof. Maha Hussein Helal

Professor of Radiodiagnosis

National Cancer Institute

Cairo University

Prof. Esmaeil Abd Elmonem Morad

Professor Of Surgery

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Farid Gamil Amin

Lecturer of Radiodiagnosis

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

Cairo University

2013

Abstract

Back ground .Rectal cancer constitutes about one third of all gastrointestinal tumor. High-resolution MRI is the best method of assessing the relation of the rectal tumor with the potential circumferential resection margin (CRM). Therefore it is currently considered the method of choice for local staging of rectal cancer. The primary surgery of rectal cancer is total mesorectal excision (TME), which plane of dissection is formed by the mesorectal fascia surrounding mesorectal fat and rectum.

This fascia will determine the circumferential margin of resection.

OBJECTIVE. The purpose of this study was to assess the accuracy of MRI in the preoperative staging, prediction of negative circumferential resection margin and planning of surgical management of rectal carcinoma.

Subjects and METHODS. Seventy five patients (30 men, 45 women) with pathologically proven rectal carcinoma underwent MRI study with trans-rectal gel administration .

CONCLUSION. MRI of rectal cancer is accurate for preoperative staging, prediction of negative circumferential resection margin ,lymph nodes involvement and planning the feasibility of sphincter-sparing surgery.

Key Words:

Rectal cancer; High - resolution magnetic resonance imaging; Circumferential resection margin;

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to give thanks to ALLAH the almighty.

I wish to express my great indebtedness and deep gratitude to Prof. Dr. Maha Helal, Professor of Diagnostic Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University for accepting the idea of this work, her kind assistance and efforts, which helped me in accomplishing this thesis.

I also extend my thanks and appreciation to Prof. Dr. Esmaeil Morad ,Professor of oncological surgery, Faculty of Medicine, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University for his invaluable guidance and great help in supervising this work .No words can express my feelings, respect and gratitude to Prof. Dr. Esmaeil Morad as regards his continuous encouragement and constructive criticism given to me at every stage of this work.

My profound thanks and sincere appreciation goes to prof. Dr. Farid Gamil, assistance Professor of Diagnostic Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. Without his patience and guidance I would not have proceeded efficiently with this work.

I would like to record my utmost appreciation to Prof. Dr. Ikram Hamed, Head of Radiology Department, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University for his great interest and encouragement.

I also want to express my gratitude and respect to Prof. Dr. Alaa el-Orabby, Professor of Diagnostic Radiology, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University for his support and great efforts.

My sincere appreciation goes to Prof. Dr. Prof. Dr. Iman Zaky, Head of radiology department, 57357 Children cancer hospital; as regards her great continuous encouragement.

I would also like to thank the staff members of the Radiology department at the National Cancer Institute, 57357 children cancer hospital and faculty of Medicine, for accepting my work and affirming its eligibility.

To all my family, to whom I am overwhelmingly indebted to, thank you and GOD bless you.

List of Contents

• Abstract	
• Introduction and aim of work.....	1
• Review of Literature:.....	5
1. Anatomy of the rectum.....	5
> Anatomy and Embryology of the Rectum	6
> Blood supply of rectum.....	8
> Lymphatic drainage of rectum.....	11
2. Pathology of rectum	26
> Types of cancer in the colon and rectum.....	27
> Colorectal staging	28
> Epidemiology	35
> Risk factor of colon cancer	38
> Early detection of colorectal polyps and cancer	43
> American Cancer Society recommendations for colorectal cancer early detection.....	47
> Prevention colorectal cancer	53
3.Role of radiological imaging of cancer rectum	61
> Double contrast barium enema	62
> CT colonography	63
> Endorectal sonographic examination	66
> Role of MRI in cancer rectum	67
• Patients and Methods.....	72
• Results.....	78
• Case presentation.....	87
• Discussion.....	114
• English Summary and conclusion.....	123
• References.....	126
• Arabic summary.....	138

List of Abbreviations

- **-ve** = **Negative**
- **+ ve** = **Positive**
- **ADC** = **Apparent Diffusion Coefficient**
- **AJCC** = **American Joint Committee On Cancer**
- **APC** = **Adenomatous Polyposis Coli**
- **ACS** = **American Cancer Society**
- **APR** = **Abdomino-Prineal Resection**
- **CM** = **Centimeter**
- **COX** = **Cyclooxygenase**
- **CT** = **Computed Tomography**
- **DCBE** = **double contrast barium enema**
- **DRE** = **Digital Rectal Examination**
- **FIT** = **fecal immunochemical test**
- **FOBT** = **fecal occult blood testing,**
- **FSE** = **Fast Spine Echo**
- **G** = **Grad**
- **GIST** = **Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors .**
- **IFOBT** = **Immunochemical Fecal Occult Blood Test**
- **IMA** = **Inferior Mesenteric Artery**
- **LN** = **Lymph Node**
- **MHz** = **Mega Hertz**
- **MRI** = **Magnetic Resonance Imaging**
- **NSAIDs** = **Non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs**
- **NCI** = **National Cancer Institute**
- **NPV** = **Negative Predictive Value**
- **PPV** = **Positive Predictivevalue**
- **sDNA** = **Stool DNA test**
- **TRUS** = **Transrectal Ultrasonography**
- **TSE** = **turbo-spine-echo**
- **USA** = **United State Of America**
- **US** = **Ultrasound**

List of Tables

Table 1	American Cancer Society Guidelines on Screening and Surveillance for the Early Detection of Colorectal Adenomas and Cancer in People at Increased Risk or at High Risk.	50 & 51
Table 2	T 2 weighted high resolution parameters	67
Table 3	Number of the lesions and percentage of different pathological lesions .	79
Table 4	Location of the rectal lesions and the number of cases at the affected site .	79
Table 5	Staging by MRI and histopathological results .Bold figures indicate agreement	81
Table 6	True diagnosis , false +ve and false –v cases	81
Table 7	Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy in evaluation of T staging in correlation to the histopathological examination	82
Table 8	Sensitivity, specificity,(+) ve PV , (-)ve PV and accuracy of assessment of lymph node involvement in comparison to pathological results.	85

LIST OF FIGURES

FIG. 1	DSA of the superior rectal artery and its branches and the accompanying superior rectal vein	10
FIG. 2	Drawing illustrates the most common nodal pathways of tumor spread in rectal cancer.	12
FIG.3	Retrorectal space.	18
FIG.4	Rectosacral fascia.	19
FIG.5	Peritoneal reflection.	20
FIG.6	Peritoneal reflection.	20
FIG.7	Denonvillier's fascia.	21
FIG. 8	Mesorectum and mesorectal fascia.	21
FIG.9	Coronal turbo spin-echo T2-weighted MR image shows the normal anatomy of the rectum.	21
FIG. 10	Normal anatomy of the mesorectum.	22
FIG. 11	Relationship of tumor to the anal sphincter.	23
FIG. 12	Rectal wall layers. Typical signal behavior and architecture of the rectal wall components on the para-axial heavily T2-weighted TSE image	24
FIG .13	a Axial T2-weighted FSE (TSE) sequence of the pelvis depicting the layers of the rectal wall.	25
FIG. 14	histological layering of the recetal wall	30
FIG. 15	Spiral CT scan obtained with rectal contrast material shows an eccentric rectal cancer (black arrow) as well as adjacent nodes (white arrows).	65

FIG. 16	Transverse CT colonographic image. In this patient, the rectal wall thickness has fine hyperattenuating spiculations (arrow) in perirectal fat.	66
FIG. 17	Transverse endorectal sonographic images	67
FIG18	Drawing illustrates tumor staging in rectal cancer.	68
FIG19	Orthogonal axial high-resolution T2-weighted MR image shows an ulcerated tumor (arrowhead) along the posterior rectal wall.	68
FIG 20	Orthogonal axial high-resolution T2-weighted MR image shows an ulcerated lesion (arrowhead) along the anterior aspect of the rectum infiltrating through the muscularis propria into the mesorectal fat.	68
FIG 21	Relationship of tumor to the peritoneal reflection.	69
FIG 22	Coronal turbo spin-echo T2-weighted MR image shows the normal anatomy of the rectum.	70
FIG. 23	Mesorectal lymph node involvement.	71
FIG. 24	Column chart showing True diagnosis , false positive and false negative percent in evaluation of T staging in correlation to the histopathological examination	81
FIG.25	Column chart showing Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy in evaluation of T staging in correlation to the histopathological examination	82
FIG.26	Pie chart of false negative, false positive ,true diagnosed cases.	84

FIG.27	Column chart showing Sensitivity, specificity,(+) ve PV , (-)ve PV and accuracy of assessment of lymph node involvement in comparison to pathological results.	85
FIG.28	Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis	86

Introduction

Introduction

Colorectal cancer ranks third amongst the most frequently diagnosed tumors in the world, after lung cancer and breast cancer. (AJCC Cancer Staging Manual.7th ed. 2010).

High-resolution T2-weighted imaging is the key sequence in the magnetic resonance (MR) imaging evaluation of primary rectal cancer. This sequence generally consists of thin-section (3-mm) axial images obtained orthogonal to the tumor plane, with an in-plane resolution of 0.5–0.8 mm. In experienced hands, this technique allows differentiation between rectal tumors confined within the rectal wall (stage T2 tumors) and those that extend beyond the muscularis propria (stage T3 tumors) .(Harmeet et al; 2012)

Most important, the depth of invasion outside the muscularis propria can be assessed with a high degree of accuracy .In addition, high-resolution T2-weighted images allow the morphologic assessment of pelvic nodes, thereby improving accuracy in the characterization of nodes as benign or malignant, since size criteria have proved to be of limited value. (Harmeet et al; 2012)

The evolution of surgical techniques and the shift to neoadjuvant chemotherapy–radiation therapy, along with the prognostic heterogeneity of stage T3 tumors, necessitate accurate preoperative staging—primarily in terms of tumor (T) and nodal (N) staging, depth of tumor invasion outside the muscularis propria (early versus advanced stage T3 tumors), and the relationship of the tumor to the potential CRM. The accurate assessment of these factors allows the triage of patients to up-front surgical resection or short- or long-course preoperative radiation therapy or chemotherapy–radiation therapy with appropriate modification of the CRM. (Mulla et al, 2010)

Introduction and aim of the work

Currently, surgical resection with stage-appropriate neoadjuvant combined-modality therapy is the mainstay in the treatment of rectal cancer. In the past decade, the increasingly widespread adoption of total mesorectal excision (TME) has resulted in a dramatic decline in the prevalence of local recurrence from 38% to less than 10%. TME is a surgical technique that entails en bloc resection of the primary tumor and the mesorectum by means of dissection along the mesorectal fascial plane or the circumferential resection margin (CRM). (Harmeet et al ;2012)

Recent studies have shown that high-resolution MR imaging is a reliable and reproducible technique with high specificity (92%) for predicting a negative CRM, the relationship of the tumor to the CRM, and the depth of tumor invasion outside the muscularis propria. (Harmeet et al ;2012)

The presence of tumor at 1 mm or less from the fascia is directly related with local recurrence and a poor survival rate. While TME cures early stages of the disease, preoperative radiotherapy or chemoradiotherapy provide tumor size and staging reduction in most extensive disease, increasing the possibility of attaining free margins in surgery. (Chau et al; 2010)

High-spatial-resolution MR imaging has important role in the accurate assessment of the distance between the lower margin of the rectal cancer to the point at which the levator ani muscle attached to the rectum as a criterion for predicting the feasibility of sphincter sparing surgery and it also can assess the infiltration of the internal and external anal sphincter and the feasibility of internal sphincter resection with prolapsing technique to save at least the external sphincter and the anus although the distance was only about 1.5 cm. (Xiao et al; 2008)

Introduction and aim of the work

Although susceptibility artifacts from bowel gas increase at higher field strength, most of recent MRI studies have been performed with high field strength of 1.5 T or more because this artifact can be reduced with the use of the spine echo sequences and distention of the rectum with warm gel (Brown et al; 2010).

Aim of the work:

This study aims at assessment the role of MRI in the preoperative staging, and planning of sphincter sparing surgery of rectal carcinoma.

Anatomy