



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Mechanical Power Engineering

Performance Analysis of S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine (SHWT)

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of

Master of Science In Mechanical Engineering

(Mechanical Power Engineering)

By

Yahia Salah El-Din Mohamed Zakaria El-Masry

Master of Science In Mechanical Engineering

(Mechanical Power Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams, 2016

Supervised By

Prof. Mohamed Abo El Enein El Samanoudy

Dr. Ashraf Abd El Badee Ghorab

Cairo - (2016)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Mechanical Power

Performance Analysis of S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine (SHWT)

By

Student name

Master of Science In Mechanical Engineering

(Mechanical Power Engineering)

Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams, 2016

Examiners' Committee

Name and Affiliation

Signature

Prof. Mohamed Fayek Abd Rabu

.....

Mechanical Power , Banha University

Prof. Kadah Shaker Kadah

.....

Mechanical Power , Ain Shams University

Prof. Mohamed Abo El Enein El-Samanoudy

.....

Mechanical Power , Ain Shams University

Dr. Ashraf Abd El-Badee Ghorab

.....

Mechanical Power , Ain Shams University

Date: 21 November 2016

Statement

This thesis is submitted as a partial fulfilment of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering , Faculty of Engineering Ain shams University degree in Mechanical Power Engineering.

A huge work in this thesis is carried out by the author. This work includes, designs of six S-Shape Helical wind turbines SHWT 90°, 120° and 180°, single and double, then manufacture all of them, then testing the SHWT turbines in an open system wind tunnel with calibrated measurement instruments.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Yahia Salah El Din El-Masry

Signature

Date: 21 November 2016

Researcher Data

Name	: Yahia Salah El-Din Mohamed Zakaria El-Masry
Date of birth	: 25/8/1988
Place of birth	: Cairo, Egypt
Last academic degree	: Bachelor of Engineering
Field of specialization	: Mechanical Power
University issued the degree	: Ain Shams University
Date of issued degree	: June 2011
Current job	: Engineer “Senior Engineer” UNDP

Thesis Summary

In this study we present an experimental and numerical investigation for the performance of a specially designed S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine (SHWT). The study is carried out for single blade & double blade turbines with three different helical angles; namely (90, 120 & 180 degree). The turbines fabricated from ply wood with 0.6 m diameter, 0.7 m length and 2 cm thickness.

Experiments are performed using $0.8 \times 0.8 \text{ m}^2$ wind tunnel cross section area at a constant wind speed of 8 m/sec. Experiments are performed to obtain the turbine performance for the several considered designs and comparing between them. Numerical analysis using computer software "Ansys V14.5" is used to develop single blade turbine with helical profile 90 angle degree to study the velocity and pressure contours. This aims to compare the results with the obtained experimentally for the verification of the selected model.

Several results are obtained for the selected designs. It is found that, within the tested range, the double blade turbine with helical angle of 120 degree has the highest performance compared with the other configurations. The obtained Power Coefficient (C_p) is 0.12 at Tip Speed Ratio (TSR) of 0.52. Double blade S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbines SHWT has higher torque coefficient than single blade at the same helical profile and the single and double blade S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine SHWT at helical profile 180 degree has the lowest performance and torque coefficient compared to other SHWT turbines, where single blade at 180 degree the power coefficient is 0.058, torque coefficient 0.24 and the double blade at 180 degree the power coefficient is 0.0853, torque coefficient is 0.28.

All of the obtained results are compared with other investigators and a fair agreement is found.

Key words: S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine, Horizontal Axis Wind Turbine, Coefficient of Power, Torque Coefficient, Tip Speed Ratio, Single Blade, and Double Blade.

Acknowledgment

I want to express my deep gratitude and appreciation to:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abo El Enein El- Samanoudy,
professor doctor of, Mechanical Power department, Faculty of
Engineering Ain Shams University.

And

Assistant Pro. Dr. Ashraf Abd El Badee Ghorab,
Assistant professor doctor, Mechanical Power department, Faculty
of Engineering Ain Shams University.

For their guidance and continuous encouragement through this huge work and their sincere assistance, continuous help. I also appreciate their following up and continuous advice and constructive remarks in the preparation and all the process of this thesis.

November 2016

Table of Contents

Chapter 1:

Introduction.....	1
1. The characteristics of wind	1
1.1. Global circulation.....	1
1.2. The wind power Extractable limit.....	3
1.3. Wind power (WP) generation.....	3
1.4. The shear of wind.....	4
1.5. Directions of the wind.....	5
1.6. The Turbulence of wind.....	6
1.7. The Wind Rose graphical representation.....	6
2. Advantage and disadvantage of wind energy.....	7
3. History of Wind Turbine.....	8
3.1. Development of Wind Turbines.....	8
3.2. Revolution of wind energy development.....	9
4. Wind energy in Egypt.....	10
4.1. Total installed capacity.....	10
4.2. The places suitable for wind energy generation.....	10
5. Wind Turbines types.....	12
5.1. Horizontal Axis Wind Turbine (HAWT).....	14
5.2. Vertical Axis Wind Turbine (VAWT).....	16
6. The objective of this thesis.....	20
6.1. Developed a new wind turbine.....	20
6.2. The main approach.....	21
6.3. The proposed study of SHWT.....	21

Chapter 2:

Historical Review.....	22
1. VAWT and HAWT.....	22
2. VAWT.....	23
3. Savonius wind turbine	25
4. CFD modeling on Helical Savonius Rotor with a twist angel.....	36
5. Output from all the previous work is as following.....	43

Chapter 3:

Turbine Manufacturing and Test Setup.....	44
1. Way of Manufacturing.....	44
2. Manufacturing idea.....	45
3. The construction of the S-Shape Helical blade.....	46
4. Main parts of SHWT for Single and double Blade	51
4.1. S-Shape Helical blade.....	52
4.1.1. S-Shape Helical Blade with helical profile (90) degrees for Single and double Blade.....	52
4.1.2. S-Shape Helical Blade with helical profile (120) degrees for Single and double Blade.....	54
4.1.3. S-Shape Helical Blade with helical profile (180) degrees for Single and double Blade.....	56
4.2. Connector Hub.....	58
4.3. Shafts.....	61
4.4. Bearing House.....	62
4.5. Bearing.....	63
5. Full assembly of S-Shaped Helical Horizontal Axis Wind Turbine of different twisted angle profile and different number of blades.....	63
6. Cost of the turbine.....	64
7. Experimental Setup and Measurements.....	65

7.1. Measuring instruments that used in variable measurements.....	66
7.1.1. Wind Tunnel.....	66
7.1.2. Digital Anemometer.....	68
7.1.3. Digital Tachometer.....	69
7.1.4. Force scale.....	70
7.2. Calculations.....	72
8. Procedures to run the experimental work according to the following.....	73

Chapter 4:

Experimental Results and discussion.....74

1. Single blade S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine SHWT at helical profile of angle 90°	76
2. Single blade S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine SHWT at helical profile of angle 120°	80
3. Single blade S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine SHWT at helical profile of angle 180°	84
4. Double blade S-Shaped Helical Horizontal Axis Wind Turbine at helical profile of angle 90°	88
5. Double blade S-Shaped Helical Horizontal Axis Wind Turbine at helical profile of angle 120°	92
6. Double blade S-Shaped Helical Horizontal Axis Wind Turbine at helical profile of angle 180°	96
7. Comparison between of different angle profile and Number of blades	100
7.1. Comparison of S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine “SHWT” at helical profile of angle 90° between single and double blade.....	100

7.2.	Comparison of S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbines “SHWT” at helical profile of angle 120° between single and double blade.....	103
7.3.	Comparison of S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbines “SHWT” at helical profile of angle 180° between single and double blade.....	106
7.4.	Comparison of single S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbines “SHWT” at Different helical profile at angles 90°,120° and 180°	109
7.5.	Comparison of double SHWT at Different helical profile of helical angles 90°,120° and 180°	112
8.	Comparison between SHWT and pervious work.....	115
8.1.	Comparison of SHWT Single Blade at helical angle 90° Versus M.A kamojo Helical Savonius Rotor at helical angle 90°	115
8.2.	8.2. Comparison of “SHWT” single blade at helical angle 180° and A.Damak Helical Savonius Rotor at helical angle 180°	119
8.3.	Comparison between SHWT double blade and at helical angle 120° and Savonius of Three Blades....	123

Chapter 5:

Computational Fluid Dynamics of S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine of Angel 90°	127
1. Introduction.....	127
2. Designing 3D model.....	128
3. Computational design	128
4. The turbulence flow model.....	132
5. Results.....	133

5.1. Velocity distribution.....	133
5.2. Pressure Distribution.....	136
6. Comparison of the 90 degree S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine SHWT performance in Experimental and CFD results.....	138

Chapter 6:

Conclusion and Recommendation.....	140
1. Conclusion.....	140
2. Recommendation.....	141

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: The circulation of atmosphere	2
Figure 1.2: Sea breeze and land breeze.....	3
Figure 1.3: Wind flow over a cylinder of area A.....	4
Figure 1.4: Wind shear Diagram.....	5
Figure 1.5: The Wind Rose Diagram.....	6
Figure 1.6: The Netherlands wind mills.....	8
Figure 1.7: Global wind capacity.....	10
Figure 1.8: Wind Atlas Egypt.....	11
Figure 1.9: Wind Turbines types.....	12
Figure 1.10: Betz limit as reference to all wind turbine.....	13
Figure 1.11: Convention HAWT.....	14
Figure 1.12: Various types VAWT.....	16
Figure 1.13: Darrieus turbine.....	17
Figure 1.14: Savonius turbine.....	18
Figure 1.15: Helical Savonius turbine.....	18
Figure 1.16: Giromill Turbine.....	19
Figure 2.1: C_T versus λ 2 and 3 blades Savonius wind turbine.....	29
Figure 2.2: C_p versus λ for both 2 and 3 blades Savonius wind turbine.....	30
Figure 2.3: Twisted Savonius wind turbine.....	31
Figure 2.4: Helical Savonius.....	32
Figure 2.5: the comparison between the performances of the helical Savonius blade with twist angle 180° with the traditional Savonius Blade.....	33
Figure 2.6: 3D Helical Savonius wind turbine with three blades and twist angle 90°	37
Figure 2.7: CFD domain and boundary condition.....	37
Figure 2.8: CFD mesh for Helical Savonius wind turbine with twist angle 90°	38
Figure 2.9: Vertical-Axis Spiral Rotor.....	40

Figure 3.1: Single S-Shaped Segment.....	45
Figure 3.2: Double S-Shaped Segment Perpendicular on Each Other.....	46
Figure 3.3: Helical of each (S) Segments by the required leading angle for the required helical profile.....	47
Figure 3.4: Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 90° single blade before Coating.....	48
Figure 3.5: Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 120° single blade before Coating.....	48
Figure 3.6 Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 180° single blade before Coating.....	49
Figure 3.7: Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 90° double blade before Coating	49
Figure 3.8: Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 120° double blade before Coating	50
Figure 3.9: Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 90° double blade before Coating	50
Figure 3.10: Main parts of S-Shaped Helical Wind Turbine SHWT for Single and Double Blade	51
Figure 3.11: Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 90° single blade after Coating	53
Figure 3.12: Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 90° double blade after Coating	54
Figure 3.13: Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 120° single blade after Coating	55
Figure 3.14: Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 120° double blade after Coating	56
Figure 3.15: Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 180° single blade after Coating	57
Figure 3.16: S Helical Profile of SHWT at helical angle 180° single blade after Coating	58
Figure 3.17: Single Slot Connector Hub.....	59

Figure 3.18: The working mechanism of Single Slot Connector Hub.....	59
Figure 3.19: Double Slot Connector Hub.....	60
Figure 3.20: The Working Mechanism of double Slot Connector Hub.....	60
Figure 3.21: The Shaft Assembly with the Bearing and the Connector Hub.....	61
Figure 3.22: The Shaft Mechanism.....	62
Figure 3.23: Bearing House.....	62
Figure 3.24: Bearing.....	63
Figure 3.25: Assembly of Single blade at helical angle 90°	63
Figure 3.26: Assembly of Double blade at helical angle 90°	63
Figure 3.27: Assembly of Single blade at helical angle 120°	64
Figure 3.28: Assembly of Double blade at helical angle 120°	64
Figure 3.29: Assembly of Single blade at helical angle 180°	64
Figure 3.30: Assembly of Double blade at helical angle 180°	64
Figure 3.31: Wind Tunnel.....	67
Figure 3.32: Digital Anemometer.....	68
Figure 3.33: Measurement of wind speed at the outlet area of the wind tunnel.....	69
Figure 3.34: Digital Tachometer.....	70
Figure 3.35: Force Indicator.....	71
Figure 3.36: breaking system.....	72
Figure 4.1: C_p Vs. λ at 90° helical angle, Single blade.....	76
Figure 4.2: C_T Vs. λ at 90° helical angle, Single blade	77
Figure 4.3: $C_p/C_{p,max}$ Vs. λ/λ at $C_{p,max}$ at 90° helical angle, Single blade.....	78
Figure 4.4: $C_T/C_{T,max}$ Vs. λ at 90° helical angle, Single blade.....	79
Figure 4.5: C_p Vs. λ at 120° helical angle, Single blade.....	80
Figure 4.6: C_T Vs. λ at 120° helical angle, Single blade	81
Figure 4.7: $C_p/C_{p,max}$ Vs. λ/λ at $C_{p,max}$ at 120° helical angle, Single blade.....	82
Figure 4.8: $C_T/C_{T,max}$ Vs. λ at 120° helical angle, Single blade.....	83

Figure 4.9: C_p Vs. λ at 180° helical angle, Single blade.....	84
Figure 4.10: C_T Vs. λ at 180° helical angle, Single blade.....	85
Figure 4.11: $C_p/C_{p,max}$ Vs. λ/λ at $C_{p,max}$ at 180° helical angle, Single blade	86
Figure 4.12: $C_T/C_{T,max}$ Vs. λ at 180° helical angle, Single blade....	87
Figure 4.13: C_p Vs. λ at 90° helical angle, Double blade.....	88
Figure 4.14: C_T Vs. λ at 90° helical angle, Double blade.....	89
Figure 4.15: $C_p/C_{p,max}$ Vs. λ/λ at $C_{p,max}$ at 90° helical angle, Double blade.....	90
Figure 4.16: $C_T/C_{T,max}$ Vs. λ at 90° helical angle Double blade.....	91
Figure 4.17: C_p Vs. λ at 120° helical angle, Double blade.....	92
Figure 4.18: C_T Vs. λ at 120° helical angle, Double blade.....	93
Figure 4.19: $C_p/C_{p,max}$ Vs. λ/λ at $C_{p,max}$ at 120° helical angle Double blade.....	94
Figure 4.20: $C_T/C_{T,max}$ Vs. λ at 120° helical angle Double blade....	95
Figure 4.21: C_p Vs. λ at 180° helical angle, Double blade.....	96
Figure 4.22: C_T Vs. λ at 180° helical angle, Double blade.....	97
Figure 4.23: $C_p/C_{p,max}$ Vs. λ/λ at $C_{p,max}$ at 180° helical angle, Double blade.....	98
Figure 4.24: $C_T/C_{T,max}$ Vs. λ at 180° helical angle, Double blade...	99
Figure 4.25: C_p Vs. λ at 90° helical angle, comparison between Single and double Blade.....	100
Figure 4.26: C_T Vs. λ at 90° helical angle, comparison between Single and double Blade.....	100
Figure 4.27: $C_p/C_{p,max}$ Vs. λ/λ at $C_{p,max}$ at 90° helical angle, comparison between Single and double Blade.....	102
Figure 4.28: C_p Vs. λ at 120° helical angle, comparison between Single and double Blade.....	103
Figure 4.29: C_T Vs. λ at 120° helical angle, comparison between Single and double Blade.....	104
Figure 4.30: $C_p/C_{p,max}$ Vs. λ/λ at $C_{p,max}$ at 120° helical angle, comparison between Single and double Blade.....	105