




شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

@ ASUNET



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها
علي هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٢٥ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٤٠%

To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of
15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%

بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة

بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالاصل

29120

TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL EVALUATION OF CONSTRUCTION BIDS

By

MOUCHIR SAMI CHENOUDA

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

Civil Engineering
(Structures)

Under the Supervision of

Dr. Osman M. Osman Ramadan
Associate Professor
Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering
Cairo University

O. Ramadan

Dr. Metwally Abdel Aziz Ahmed
Associate Professor
Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering
Cairo University

Metwally

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT

March, 2002

TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL EVALUATION OF CONSTRUCTION BIDS

By

MOUCHIR SAMI CHENOUDA

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE

In

Civil Engineering
(Structures)

Approved by the Examining Committee:

Dr. Osman Mohamed Ramadan

Associate Professor in Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

O. Ramadan

Dr. Metwally Abdel Aziz Ahmed

Associate Professor in Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Metwally

Dr. Mahmoud Abdel Salam Taha

Associate Professor in Structural Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Mahmoud

Dr. Osama Ahmed Hosny

Associate Professor in Civil Engineering Dept.
Faculty of Engineering at Mataria – Helwan University

Hosny

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT

March, 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<i>page</i>
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
DEDICATION	xiv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	xv
ABSTRACT	xvi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1 – 3
1.1 General.....	1
1.2 Research Objectives.....	2
1.3 Scope of Work.....	2
1.4 Thesis Organization.....	3
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	4 – 17
2.1 Introduction.....	4
2.2 Contractor Selection Criteria.....	4
2.3 Assessment and Evaluation.....	7
2.4 Decision Models for Contractor Evaluation.....	12
2.5 Summary.....	17

CHAPTER THREE: TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF BIDS	18 – 34
3.1 Introduction.....	18
3.2 Previous Questionnaire Forms.....	18
3.2.1 Russell, Hancher, & Skibniewski (1992) Questionnaire Form.....	19
3.2.2 Hatush and Skitmore (1996) Questionnaire Form.....	24
3.2.3 El-Kashif (2000) Questionnaire Form.....	26
3.3 Proposed Questionnaire.....	29
3.4 Summary.....	34
 CHAPTER FOUR: FINANCIAL EVALUATION OF BIDS	 35 – 73
4.1 Introduction.....	35
4.2 Bid Present Value.....	35
4.3 Closeness to Fair Cost Price.....	36
4.4 Proposed Approach to Adjust Closeness to Fair Cost Price.....	38
4.5 “Cost-Adjust” Program.....	42
4.5.1 Structure of the Program.....	42
4.5.2 Program Description.....	42
4.6 Program Applications.....	46
4.6.1 Effect of Changing Probability Distribution Function (PDF) of the Estimated Cost (EC).....	46
4.6.2 Effect of Changing the Range Between the Upper and Lower Limits of the Estimated Cost (EC).....	57
4.6.3 Effect of Changing Markup Percentage (M).....	62
4.6.4 Effect of randomness in the Markup (M) on Adjustment Factor Distribution.....	66
4.7 Summary.....	73

CHAPTER FIVE: COMBINATION OF TECHNICAL AND FINANCIAL EVALUATIONS	74 – 93
5.1 Introduction.....	74
5.2 Least Price Method.....	75
5.3 Utility Function Method Based on Additive Rule.....	76
5.4 Sensitivity Analysis of the Additive Rule Method Parameters.....	82
5.5 Utility Function Method Based on Fraction Rule.....	87
5.6 Effect of Changing the Power of the Technical and Financial Scores.....	91
5.7 Summary.....	92
CHAPTER SIX: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	94 – 98
6.1 Summary.....	94
6.2 Conclusions.....	95
6.3 Recommendations.....	97
REFERENCES	99 – 103
APPENDIX 'A': CONTRACTOR EVALUATION CRITERIA QUESTIONNAIRE	104 – 105
APPENDIX 'B': MONTE CARLO SIMULATION TECHNIQUE	106 – 114
B.1 Introduction.....	106
B.2 Generation of Random Numbers.....	108
B.3 Properties of Some Probability Density Functions.....	109
B.4 Relation Between Generated Numbers and Random Variables for Different Probability Distribution Types.....	110

APPENDIX 'C': COST-ADJUST PROGRAM	115 – 121
C.1 Input/Output Variables.....	115
C.2 Program Input Syntaxes.....	116

ARABIC ABSTRACT

LIST OF TABLES

	<i>page</i>
Table (2.1) Relative importance of project execution factors (Moore, 1985)	9
Table (2.2) Hybrid contractor evaluation decision model configuration (Russell, 1992)	16
Table (3.1) Form of questionnaire by Russell, Hancher, and Skibniewski (1992)	20
Table (3.2) Rank order of the 10 questionnaire items with the largest mean impact values (Russell, Hancher, and Skibniewski, 1992)	23
Table (3.3) Weight of 20 criteria used for contractor's bid evaluation (Hatush and Skitmore, 1996)	25
Table (3.4) Categories of the participating experts (El-Kashif, 2000)	27
Table (3.5) Questionnaire final form and assigned weight to each criterion (El-Kashif, 2000)	28
Table (3.6) Modified weights assigned to each criterion based on El-Kashif (2000) questionnaire results	31
Table (4.1) Relative location of (p_{mean}) curve to (p_{max}) and (p_{min}) curves for commonly used probability density functions (PDF)	48
Table (B.1) Mean for commonly used probability density functions (PDF)	109
Table (B.2) Standard Deviation for commonly used probability density functions (PDF)	110

Table (B.3)	Relation between standard uniformly distributed random variable (N) and random variable (X) for commonly used probability density functions (PDF) of (X)	111
--------------------	--	------------