

**Ain Shams University  
Faculty of Arts  
Department of English Language and  
Literature**



**M.A. Thesis**

**The Representation of Madness in Jacobean Drama  
In Selected Plays of  
William Shakespeare and John Webster**

**Submitted To**

*The Department of English Language and Literature,  
Faculty of Arts, Ain Shams University*

**By**

**Mohammed Mahmoud Mohammed Ali**

**Under the Supervision of**

**Prof. Nadia Soliman Hafez**

**2010**

## Table of Contents

<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter One: Madness and the Context.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Chapter Two: Madness and the Text .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Chapter Three: Madness and Gender.....</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>A Conclusion:.....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>Summary:.....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>Abstract:.....</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>Bibliography:.....</b>	<b>120</b>

## **Acknowledgements**

My gratitude to Professor Nadia Soliman is so great that words are so weak to express it, and speech to describe it is inadequate. I am sure that my gratitude to Professor Nadia Soliman is greater than the words which I can utter. I am indebted to her for her patience, perspicacity and guidance. True, she deserves hearty appreciation for the support she gave me to complete my work.

My wife's endless prayers sustained me in my frustrating moments. She deserves appreciation for her persistent support and encouragement.

Without the cooperation, trust, and friendship of my dearest friend Nessreen Ibrahim Hafez this work could never have been completed.

My dear thanks to Yasmin Mohamed and Tamer Ibrahim who helped me to complete this work.

# Introduction

## Introduction

Throughout history, madness has posed a challenge to man's powers, as well as a threat to his self image as a rational being. Human beings were preoccupied with extreme forms of mental aberration and bizarre behaviour long before they recorded it in literature.

The purpose of this thesis is to demonstrate how madness was represented and the different attitudes towards it in two of the great tragedies that were written during the Jacobean era (1603-25): William Shakespeare's *King Lear* (1606) and John Webster's *The Duchess of Malfi* (1613—14). In both Shakespeare and Webster madness occupies an extreme place. They both lived in an age of transition comprising the last decade of the sixteenth century and the early decades of the seventeenth century. The Jacobean era witnessed some social, political, cultural, and economic circumstances that instigated the psychological instability of the English people and led to a change in the general perception of madness. Apprehension and disillusionment spread through political and social life of the English people obliterated their serenity and imperiled their sanity. Jacobean drama encompassed all the fears and uncertainty of the age with all the poverty of spirit. Abnormality and melancholy became the core of most of the plays.

# Introduction

Representation of madness during the first two decades of the seventeenth century has been influenced by the socio-ideological matrix of the Jacobean era. Madness was feared, repressed, neglected, mocked, and instrumentalised. The two selected plays encompass different attitudes towards madness. Madness also became the only way left to deal with the unbearable terrors of the age.

Many incidents coalesced to intensify the mood of apprehension and pessimism that overwhelmed the English people during the Jacobean era. These incidents include the uncertainty that followed the death of Queen Elizabeth in 1603 after forty four years of prosperity and the successive attempts to assassinate the new king. The death of the promising Prince Henry was also shocking. The Black Death broke out and harvested thousands of souls. Along with these unendurable incidents many treatises were published to certify that the earth no longer became the centre of the universe. Man lost his former status as the centre of his world. Madness hence became the only way to evade the frustrating circumstances that can not be endured. Malcontents filled the Jacobean courts. Being a typically Jacobean product, the malcontent, considered mentally ill, became an emblem of his distorted world.

As a result of the vast changes in the political, social, economic, and cultural strata, the general perception of madness was also changing. Madness was feared and repressed as it was

# Introduction

threatening to any established order. Madmen were confined as being idle and chaotic. The mad were also mocked and seen as a source of entertainment. Malcontents were also instrumentalised and contained.

*King Lear* encompasses different attitudes towards madness. The play represents the fall of man when kings are deprived of sanity and serenity. King Lear acts against all norms of reason as he parcels his kingdom into three portions without any clear purpose. The abnormal behaviour of the king is signaled by Goneril and Regan from the first scene who will consequently behave accordingly. Having been driven savage by their father's unbearable behaviour, they elicit consent for their scornful behaviour, first they neglect him, and then they exclude him.

Shakespeare introduces a prototype of a madman in the figure of Edgar disguised as Tom O' Bedlam, the mad beggar who incarnates the lowest point of humanity. Edgar stimulating the role of Tom O' Bedlam, articulates the suppression of the naked by autocratic force. Bedlams were confined, repressed and even were exploited as a source of entertainment. Madness in *Lear* depicts the fall of man in Jacobean drama. Madness was not only seen as a subversive element to authority, King James himself exploited madness to impose "fiction" upon the English people and to consolidate his power in a time when the Parliament tried to wrest power from the monarch. *Lear* seems to provide a

## Introduction

theatrical version of James's theory of divine-right kingship that life would be unbearable without a powerful king.

*The Duchess of Malfi* opens with a powerful prince who fills the whole scene from the very beginning. Ferdinand's choleric behaviour is underlined. His vehement choler is incited when his sister, the Duchess, marries Antonio, her steward, a man inferior to her rank. The Duke also instrumentalises a group of madmen to intensify her misery and break her will. Having seen his murdered sister, all hidden impulses of anger and violence overwhelm him. Now he is metamorphosed into a werewolf, a disease known as lycanthropy. His anger causes him to be deformed into a beast. This illness not only threatens the identity of him as a duke but as a human being. Malcontents—or pseudo madmen—were also instrumentalised to carry out the prince's instructions. Bosola, the malcontent, is presented as the opportunist malcontent with parasitic qualities and the one who is capable of moral awareness in the pursuit of justice and disowning in himself a compassion for others.

During the Jacobean era madness was seen as having had a feminine origin as women were prone to mental illness rather than men. The female characters in the two plays offer a contradicted hypothesis to this idea. In the two selected plays, matters are turned upside down. The males are weak, insane, powerless, and need to be tamed. Goneril and Regan tame the insane king, scorn him, emasculate him and finally exclude him.

# Introduction

They show strength from the very beginning of the play. Cordelia's potency lurks in her silence, Goneril and Regan in their tongues' lash. They transgressed the king's sovereignty and masculinity. The Duchess too disobeys her brothers' will and marries a man inferior to her. The Duchess has the power her brothers lack. She shows aggressive female sexuality which interrogates the traditional boundaries between male and female. Her sanity opposes her brother's insanity. Shakespeare and Webster do not seem to provide an alternative form of power incarnated in woman. Do Shakespeare and Webster provide an alternative form of power incarnated in the woman in a male-dominated society? It does not seem so as all the female characters fail to assert their power till the end. The female's voice is eradicated and the appearance of their bodies on the stage brings some satisfaction to the audience who see the transgression of the female characters.

This thesis is divided into three chapters and a conclusion.

**Chapter One**, entitled "**Madness and the Context**" tackles two points: First, a bird's eye view on the history of treating the insane in the English society. Secondly, demonstrating some of the socio-political, economic, and cultural circumstances instigated the psychological unrest of the Jacobean society.



# Introduction

**Chapter Two**, entitled "**Madness and the Text**" attempts to examine the different attitudes towards madness and to reach a conclusion that the insanity of the two major characters represents the fall of man. The chapter attempts to demonstrate the instrumentalisation of madness when King James exploited madness to consolidate his political theory of divine-right kingship. The chapter ends with more elaboration of the malcontent, or the semi-mad man and how madness was instrumentalised through exploiting the malcontent for the duke's interest.

**Chapter Three**, entitled "**Madness and Gender**" tackles the relationship between madness and gender. The chapter attempts to find an answer to the following question "Do Shakespeare and Webster provide an alternative form of power incarnated in the female character?" The chapter demonstrates how the female characters try to assert their power against the insanity of the male characters.

**The conclusion** is an evaluation of all issues raised in this thesis.

# Bibliography

## Bibliography

### Primary Sources

Shakespeare, William. King Lear, ed. Kenneth Muir, London & New York: Methuen, 1972

-----, The Complete Works of William Shakespeare. Oxford: Wordsworth Editions Limited, 1996.

Webster, John. The Duchess of Malfi. Ed. Elizabeth M. Brennan, London: Earnest Benn Limited, 1964.

### Secondary Sources

- Akrigg, G.P.V. Jacobean Pageant or The Court of King James I. Cambridge: Mass Harvard Univ. Press, 1963
- Babb, Lawrence. The Elizabethan Malady: A Study of Melancholia in English Literature from 1580 to 1642. East Lansing: Michigan State UP, 1951.
- Braudel, Ferdinand. Civilization and Capitalism 15<sup>th</sup>–18<sup>th</sup> Century: The Structures of Everyday Life. Vol.1.trans.Sian Reynolds, Berkley& Los Angeles: University Of California Press, 1992.
- Burton, Robert (1621). The Anatomy of Melancholy. Ed. Thomas Faulkner *et al* Vol.1.Oxford:, Clarendon Press, 1992

# Bibliography

- Callaghan, Dymphna. Woman and Gender in Renaissance Tragedy: A Study of King Lear, Othello, The Duchess of Malfi, and The White Devil. London: Harvester Wheatsheaf, 1989.
- Carey, John. John Donne: Life, Mind and Art. London & Boston: Faber and Faber, 1981
- Clemen, Wolfgang. The Development of Shakespeare's Imagery. London: Methuen & Co Ltd, 1977
- Dekker, Thomas. "The Wonderful Year 1603" in Elizabethan Prose. Ed, D.J.Harris, London: Longmans & Green, 1968.
- Dollimore, Jonathan & Allan Sinfield, eds. Political Shakespeare: New Essays in Cultural Materialism. London: Manchester University Press, 1985.
- Dollimore, Jonathan (1984). Radical Tragedy: Religion, Ideology and power in the Drama of Shakespeare and his Contemporaries. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004.
- Donne, John. The Complete English Poems, ed. A.J.Smith, Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1971
- Drakakis, John. Shakespearean Tragedy. London & New York: Longman, 1992
- Feder, Lillian. Madness in Literature. New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1980.

## Bibliography

- Foucault, Michael. Madness and Civilization, Trans, Richard Howard. New York: Vantage Books, 1973.
- -----, The Archaeology of Knowledge and the Discourse on Knowledge. trans. A.M. Sheridan Smith, 1972.
- Gibson, Rex: Shakespearean and Jacobean Tragedy. London: Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- Goldberg, Jonathan. James I and the Politics of Literature: Jonson, Shakespeare, Donne, and their Contemporaries. Baltimore & London. Hopkins Press, 1983
- -----, "Shakespearean Inscriptions: the Voicing of Power" in Shakespeare and the Question of Theory. Patricia Parker and Geoffrey Hartman, ed. New York and London: Methuen Inc, 1985.
- Greenblatt, Stephen. Renaissance Self-Fashioning: From More to Shakespeare. Chicago & London: The University of Chicago Press, 1980
- -----, Learning to Curse: Essays in Early Modern Culture. New York & London: Routledge, 1990
- -----, Shakespearean Negotiations: The Circulation of Social Energy in Renaissance England. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1988.

## Bibliography

- Harrison, G.B. A Jacobean Journal: Being A Record of Those Things Most Talked of During the Year 1603-1606. London, George Routledge & Sons Ltd, 1946.
- Hill, Christopher. The Century of Revolution: 1603-1714. Vol.5. London & Edinburgh: Thomas Nelson Ltd, 1960
- Hirst, Derek. England in Conflict 1603-1660: Kingdom. Community. Commonwealth. London: Arnold & Hodder Head Group, 1999
- Holy Bible, The. New International Version, New York: The Gidesons International Press, 1978.
- Jardine, Lisa. Still Harping on Daughters: Women and Drama in the age of Shakespeare. New Jersey: Barnes & Noble Books, 1983
- Kermode, Frank. Shakespeare's Language. New York: Penguin Books, 2000
- Kernan, Alvin. Shakespeare, the King's Playwright: Theatre in the Stuart Court 1603-1613. New Haven and London: Yale University Press, 1995.
- King, Bruce. The Seventeenth Century English Literature, London: Macmillan, 1982.
- Lyons, Bridget. Voices of Melancholy: Studies in the Literary Treatment of Melancholy in Renaissance England. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1971

# Bibliography

- MacDonald, Michael. Mystical Bedlam: Madness, anxiety, and Healing in Seventeenth Century England. London: Cambridge University Press, 1981.
- Macherey, Pierre. "A Theory of Literary Production" (1966) in The Theory of Criticism: From Plot to the Present: A Reader. Raman Selden. Ed. London & New York: Longman, 1988
- Mangan, Michael. A Preface to Shakespeare. New York: Longman Group, 1991.
- Marx, Karl. "The Manifesto of the Communist Party" in Great Books of the Western World. Vol.50, trans., Samuel Moore. Ed. Robert Maynard Hutchins, London: Encyclopaedia Britannica. Inc, 1952.
- McIlwain, Howard. The Political Works of James I: Reprinted from the edition of 1616. New York: Russel & Russel. Inc, 1965
- Oram, Richard. The Kings and Queens of Scotland. Charleston: Tempus Publishing Inc, 2001.
- Orwell, George. "Lear, Tolostoy and the Fool" (1950) in King Lear: A Bookcase, Frank Kermode, ed. London and Basingstoke, Macmillan Press Ltd, 1969
- Peck, Linda Levy. Court Patronage and Corruption on Early Stuart England. Boston: Unwin Hyman, 1990.

# Bibliography

- Perry, Crutis. The Making of Jacobean Culture: James 1 and The Regenerations of Elizabethan Literary Practice. London: Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- Peterson, Joyce. Curs'd Example: The Duchess of Malfi and Commonweal Tragedy, Columbia & London: University of Missouri Press, 1978
- Porter, Roy. Mind-Forg'd Manacles: A History of Madness in England from the Restoration to the Regency. London: Athlone Press, 1987
- -----, Madness: A Brief History, London: Oxford University Press, 2002.
- Reed, Robert Rentoul, JR. Bedlam on the Jacobean Stage. New York: Octagon Books, 1970
- Rosen, George. Madness in Society: Chapters in the Historical Sociology of Mental Illness. London : Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1968
- Rovine, Harvey. Silence in Shakespeare: Drama, Power and Gender. Anne Arbor / London: UMI Research Press, 1985
- Salkeld, Duncan. Madness and Drama in the Age of Shakespeare. Manchester and New York: Manchester University Press, 1993
- Scott, W.I.D. Shakespeare's Melancholics, London: Mills & Boon Ltd, 1962