

**STUDIES ON SELF INCOMPATIBILITY
PHENOMENON IN SOME MANGO
CULTIVARS**

By

MAHMOUD FATHI MAHMOUD MAKLAD

B.Sc. Agric.Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 1999

M.Sc. Agric.Sc. (Pomology), Ain Shams University, 2005

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment
of
the requirements for the degree of**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in
Agricultural Science
(Pomology)**

**Department of Horticulture
Faculty of Agriculture
Ain Shams University**

2012

Approval Sheet

**STUDIES ON SELF INCOMPATIBILITY
PHENOMENON IN SOME MANGO
CULTIVARS**

By

MAHMOUD FATHI MAHMOUD MAKLAD

B.Sc. Agric.Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 1999

M.Sc. Agric.Sc. (Pomology), Ain Shams University, 2005

This thesis for Ph.D. degree has been approved by:

Dr. Ahmed Abdel Salam Mahmoud -----

Prof. Emeritus of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig
University

Dr. Assem Dessouki Shaltout -----

Prof. Emeritus of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Dr. Mohamed Abdel-Salam Rashed -----

Prof. Emeritus of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Dr. Ibrahim Mohamed Dessoukey -----

Prof. Emeritus of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams
University

Date of Examination: 18 / 7 / 2012

STUDIES ON SELF INCOMPATIBILITY PHENOMENON IN SOME MANGO CULTIVARS

By

MAHMOUD FATHI MAHMOUD MAKLAD

B.Sc. Agric.Sc. (Horticulture), Ain Shams University, 1999

M.Sc. Agric.Sc. (Pomology), Ain Shams University, 2005

Under the supervision of:

Dr. Ibrahim Mohamed Dessoukey

Prof. Emeritus of Pomology, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Principal Supervisor)

Dr. Mohamed Abdel-Salam Rashed

Prof. Emeritus of Genetics, Department of Genetics, Faculty of
Agriculture, Ain Shams University

Dr. Amr Mohamed Egeez

Researcher of protein and nucleic acid chemistry, Agricultural
Genetic Engineering Research Institute, Agricultural Research
Center

دراسات على ظاهرة عدم التوافق الذاتى فى بعض أصناف المانجو

رسالة مقدمة من

محمود فتحى محمود مقاليد

بكالوريوس علوم زراعية (بساتين)، جامعة عين شمس، 1999

ماجستير فى العلوم الزراعية (فاكهة)، جامعة عين شمس، 2005

للحصول على

درجة دكتور فلسفة فى العلوم الزراعية
(فاكهة)

قسم البساتين

كلية الزراعة

جامعة عين شمس

2012

ABSTRACT

Mahmoud Fathi Mahmoud Maklad: Studies on Self Incompatibility Phenomenon in Some Mango Cultivars. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, 2012.

Five mango cultivars (Alphonse, Ewais, Hindi khassa, Keitt and Zebda) were used in this study during two successive seasons i.e. 2009 and 2010 at the Horticulture Research Station in El-Kanater El-Kheireia Kalubia Governorate, Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, Department of Genetics, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Shoubra El-Kheima, Cairo, Egypt and Department of protein and nucleic acid chemistry, Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute, ARC Giza, Egypt, were examined for their self and cross-compatibility. At the same time, the fluorescence microscope was used to determine the growth of pollen tube in the style tissue after pollination. RAPD-PCR (polymerase chain reaction randomly amplified polymorphic DNA) analysis was performed to assess the genetic variation in cross-compatibility between them.

The obtained results showed that, Hindi khassa cv. was the earliest in beginning of blooming date while, Keitt and Zebda cv.s. were the latest in blooming date in the two seasons of study. Zebda cv. had the least length of panicle (13.00 to 13.10 cm) compared to the other four cultivars. The highest sex ratio (more perfect flowers) was found in Keitt cv. followed by the other cultivars under study in the two seasons. Microscopic examination for Alphonse, Ewais and Hindi khassa cultivars after self pollination revealed various degrees of self incompatibility characteristics in most of selfing pollen tubes such as short tubes which were unable to penetrate the style. Additionally, many deposits of calluses were appeared at a long of the pollen tubes after self pollination. The germination of Keitt and Zebda pollen grains on Hindi khassa and Keitt stigmatic surface, respectively were higher than other combinations and such pollen tubes reached to the base of the style in 4 days after

pollination, this is an indication of high cross compatible between each two cultivars. Moreover, the percentage of pistils with pollen tubes reaching stylar base was the highest when Keitt cultivar was used as a pollinizer to Zebda (19.04 %) and was (16.66 %) when Alphonse was used as a pollinizer for Keitt, while, Ewais gave the lowest percentage of pistils with pollen tubes reaching the base of the style 7 days after self pollination. In general, selfing of either cultivar induced lower number of pollen tubes at style bases compared to crossing to other cultivars. The highest initial number of fruits per panicle was observed when Keitt cv. was crossed by Zebda pollen grains meanwhile the lowest initial number of fruits per panicle value was noticed when Ewais cv. was selfed i.e. open followed by cross pollination gave the highest initial number of fruits per panicle in all cultivars under study than after self pollination.

For PCR-RAPD analysis, 10 arbitrary (12-mer) and 12 arbitrary (10-mer) primers were used (Operon Technologies Inc., Alameda, CA). A total of 91 and 161 polymorphic bands were detected for 10 primers (12-mer) and 12 primers (10-mer) RAPD analysis, respectively.

Dendrogram tree generated across RAPD analysis demonstrated that the highest similarity was scored between Alphonse and Ewais (77.1 %), while the lowest was scored between Keitt and Hindi khassa cultivars (28.1 %)

Some RAPD markers may be linked to some flowering characteristics such as beginning of blooming (C11-1952), length of panicle (B3-270), sex ratio (C6-810) and compatibility characteristics such as best pollinizer for each cultivar i.e. (Primers A11-1589, A21-579, A9-2582, A0-3249 and A2-1264)

Key words: Mango – blooming date – sex ratio – compatibility – cross pollination – pollen tube growth – RAPD- PCR – DNA markers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, I would like to express my deepest thanks to
"Allah"

Who gave me the power, knowledge and helped me to carry out and finish this work.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Mohamed Dessoukey** Prof. Emeritus of Pomology, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University for his supervision and providing the idea, valuable advice, encouragement and continuous support for thesis requirements, continuous help during the whole work and revision of this manuscript.

I am grateful to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abdel-Salam Rashed** Prof. Emeritus of Genetics, Department of Genetics , Faculty of Agriculture , Ain Shams University for providing all facilities to carry out this work , his supervision , useful suggestions , encouragement and his continuous help during the preparation of this manuscript .

I am grateful to **Dr. Amr Mohamed Egeez** Dr. of Department of protein and nucleic acid chemistry, agent of Agricultural Genetic Engineering Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center for his supervision and sincere help during the accomplishment and preparation of this manuscript.

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES.....	
LIST OF FIGURES	
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
2.1. Horticultural studies	3
2.1.1. Time of Flowering	3
2.1.2. Panicle charactarestics	6
2.1.3 Sex ratio	7
2.1.4. Viability of pollen grains	16
2.1.5. Emasculation of flowers	21
2.1.6. Fluorescence – microscopic examination	22
2.1.7. Compatibility and or incompatibility of some mango cultivars	23
2.1.8. Fruit set	29
2.2. Biochemical Genetics studies	35
2.2.1. Use of RAPD analysis in cultivar identification	35
2.2.2. Use of RAPD analysis to identification the self – incompatibility phenomena	46
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	52
3.1. Materials	52
3.2. Methods	52
3.2.1. Horticultural studies	52
3.2.1.1. Flowering measurements.....	52
3.2.1.1.1. Dates of flowering	52
3.2.1.1.2. Length and diameter of panicle base	52
3.2.1.1.3. Sex ratio	53
3.2.1.2. Pollination studies	54
3.2.1.2.1. Pollen germination	54
3.2.1.2.2. The self and cross compatibility and /or incompatibility	

between the studied mango cultivars	54
3.2.1.2.3. Microscopic preparations	56
3.2.1.3. Fruiting attributes	56
3.2.1.3.1. Number of fruits per panicle	56
3.2.2. Molecular genetics studies	57
3.2.2.1. Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD)	57
3.2.2.1.1. DNA isolation procedure	57
DNA Extraction using CTAB buffer	57
3.2.2.1.2. Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA-PCR (RAPD-PCR) procedure	58
3.2.2.2. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) conditions	59
3.2.2.3. Gel preparation procedure	60
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	62
4.1. Horticultural studies	62
4.1.1. Flowering measurements.....	62
4.1.1.1. Dates of blooming	62
4.1.1.2. Length and diameter of panicle	63
4.1.1.3. Flowers measurements per panicle and sex ratio	64
4.1.2. Pollination studies	68
4.1.2.1. Pollen germination	68
4.1.2.2. Self and cross compatibility and / or incompatibility between some mango cultivars	70
4.1.2.3. Percentage of pistils with pollen tubes reaching the base of the style	86
4.1.3. Fruiting attributes	96
4.1.3.1. Number of fruits per panicle	96
4.2. Molecular genetics studies	99
4.2.1. Identification based on DNA analysis	99
4.2.1.1. Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis	99
4.2.1.1.1. PCR based on 12 mer primers.....	99
4.2.1.1.2. PCR based on 10 mer primers.....	114

4.2.2.	Molecular genetic markers related to some flowering traits and compatibility	132
5.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	135
6.	REFERENCES.....	141
7.	ARABIC SUMMARY.....	

LIST OF TABLES

No.		Pages
1.	Codes, sequences and G+C percentage of the 10 arbitrary 12-mer primers.	58
2.	Codes, sequences and G+C percentage of the 12 arbitrary 10-mer primers.	59
3.	Beginning of blooming date, Full bloom date, End of blooming date and Flowering duration (days) of Alphonse, Ewais, Hindi khassa, Keitt and Zebda mango cultivars in 2009 and 2010 seasons.	63
4.	Average length, diameter of panicle base (cm) and total number of branches per panicle of Alphonse, Ewais, Hindi khassa, Keitt and Zebda mango cultivars in 2009 season.	65
5.	Average length, diameter of panicle base (cm) and total number of branches per panicle of Alphonse, Ewais, Hindi khassa, Keitt and Zebda mango cultivars in 2010 season.	65
6.	Average number of total flowers, perfect flowers, pistil aborted flowers per panicle, percentage of perfect flowers and sex ratio of Alphonse, Ewais, Hindi khassa, Keitt and Zebda mango cultivars in 2009 and 2010 seasons.	67
7.	Percentage of pollen grain germination of Alphonse, Ewais, Hindi khassa, Keitt and Zebda mango cultivars in 2010 season.	69
8.	Percentage of pistils with pollen tubes reaching to the style base in Alphonse mango cultivar in 2009 and 2010 seasons.	87
9.	Percentage of pistils with pollen tubes reaching to the style base in Ewais mango cultivar in 2009 and 2010	89

	seasons .	
10.	Percentage of pistils with pollen tubes reaching to the style base in Hindi khassa mango cultivar in 2009 and 2010 seasons.	91
11.	Percentage of pistils with pollen tubes reaching to the style base in Keitt mango cultivar in 2009 and 2010 seasons.	93
12.	Percentage of pistils with pollen tubes reaching to the style base in Zebda mango cultivar in 2009 and 2010 seasons.	95
13.	Number of fruits per panicle (after three weeks from self and cross pollinations) of Alphonse, Ewais, Hindi khassa, Keitt and Zebda cultivars in 2010 season	97
14.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A0.	100
15.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A1.	101
16.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A2.	102
17.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A4.	103
18.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A9.	104
19.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A11.	105
20.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A16.	106
21.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A17.	107
22.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A21.	108
23.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five	109

	mango cultivars amplified with primer A23.	
	Number of amplified fragment and specific markers	
24.	of the five mango cultivars based on RAPD-PCR analysis using 10 primers	111
25.	Similarity indices among the five mango cultivars based on RAPD-PCR using 10 primers	113
26.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer B3	115
27.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer B12	116
28.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer C5	117
29.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer C6	118
30.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer C11	119
31.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer C13	120
32.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A6	121
33.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A14	123
34.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer C9	123
35.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer A16	124
36.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer B8	126
37.	DNA polymorphism using RAPD-PCR for the five mango cultivars amplified with primer C3	127
38.	Number of amplified fragments and specific markers of the five mango cultivars based on RAPD-PCR	128

	analysis using 12 primers	
39.	Similarity indices among the five mango cultivars based on RAPD-PCR using 12 primers	131
40.	Performance of different mango cultivars against three flowering characters.	133
41.	Performance of different mango cultivars against five best pollinizer for each cultivar (as a female parent)	134

LIST OF FIGURES

No.		Pages
1.	Stages of inflorescence development in Mango	53
2.	The opening of Mango panicles in full bloom date (a) – the end of blooming date (b).	53
3.	Pollen tube of Alphonse mango cv. grew to about 1/3 the length of their own style after self pollination (A). Ewais pollen tubes had heavy deposition of callose along of the tubes in Alphonse style (B).	71
4.	Alphonse (♀) × Hindi khassa (♂) combination: (A) Pollen grains germinated on the stigma surface. (B) Pollen tubes grew to the first 1/3 of the Alphonse style. (C) Pollen tubes reached the base of Alphonse style 5 days after pollination. Keitt pollen grains on stigma surface of Alphonse (A). Keitt pollens germinated in the upper part of Alphonse style (B). Pollen tubes of Keitt reached to the base of Alphonse style 5 days after pollination (C). Zebda pollen tubes reached the end of the Alphonse style 6 days after pollination (A). Callose plugs were showed at along the tubes of Alphonse in Ewais style (B). Ewais cultivar as a female parent after self pollination: No germination of pollen grains on the stigma surface till 3 days from pollination (A).	71
5.		72
6.		72
7.	Pollen tubes grew slowly to the end of 1/3 the length of the own style (B,C). Plugs were present in selfing pollen tubes which delays its arrival to the base of style (D).	74