



PROPOSED METHOD FOR THE SEISMIC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF CONCRETE BARRAGES IN EGYPT

By

Amr Abdelbaset Hamed Mahmoud

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

PROPOSED METHOD FOR THE SEISMIC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF CONCRETE BARRAGES IN EGYPT

By

Amr Abdelbaset Hamed Mahmoud

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sobaih

Prof. Dr. Yasser El-Hakem

.....
Professor of Structures
Structural Engineering Department
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

.....
Professor, and Deputy Director
Construction Research Institute
National Water Research Center

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

PROPOSED METHOD FOR THE SEISMIC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF CONCRETE BARRAGES IN EGYPT

By
Amr Abdelbaset Hamed Mahmoud

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

Approved by the
Examining Committee

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sobaih,

Thesis Main Advisor

Prof. Dr. Yasser El-Hakem,

Advisor

Prof. Dr. Adel Yehia Akl,

Internal Examiner

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shawky Hashad,

External Examiner

- Construction Research Institute, National Water Research Center

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, CAIRO UNIVERSITY
GIZA, EGYPT
2018

Engineer's Name: Amr Abdelbaset Hamed Mahmoud
Date of Birth: 25/07/1992
Nationality: Egyptian
E-mail: Amr.abdelbaset2014@gmail.com
Phone: 01227058434
Address: Egypt-Cairo
Registration Date: 01/03/2015.
Awarding Date:/....../2018.
Degree: Master of Science
Department: Structural Engineering Department



Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sobaih (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Yasser El-Hakem (Advisor)

Examiners:

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Shawky Hashad (External examiner)
Construction Research Institute, National Water Research Center
Prof. Dr. Adel Yehia Akl (Internal examiner)
Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sobaih (Thesis main advisor)
Prof. Dr. Yasser El-Hakem (Advisor)

Title of Thesis: **Proposed Method for the Seismic Analysis and Design of Concrete Barrages in Egypt**

Key Words:

Seismic analysis; Seismic design; barrages; response modification factor; modal pushover analysis

Summary:

There is a lack of codes and regulations for seismic design of hydraulic structures such as barrages in Egypt, and also there is no classification of these structures according to their importance. These lead to variation of the design method and evaluation of these structures in Egypt. The main objective of this study is to develop regulations for determining the peak ground acceleration (PGA) considering the life span and the importance of these facilities. A classification for concrete barrages according to their importance was suggested. Suggested methodology for the seismic design of these facilities in Egypt was developed to ensure rules for the design and evaluation stages. Comparison between the proposed method and the existing methods given in seismic codes of practice was performed. Nonlinear static analysis (Pushover analysis) and time history analysis were utilized in this study to determine the design seismic force using a suitable ground motion records. Another objective of this research is to conduct a numerical study to evaluate the effect of variation of geometric configurations of barrages on response modification factor (R).

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to everyone who has contributed towards the successful completion of this thesis. My supervisor **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Sobaih**, Professor of Structures, Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, for his valuable suggestions, guidance and the time spent in refining the manuscripts and discussing the subject with me.

I would like to express my thanks and gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Yasser El-Hakem**, Professor, and Deputy Director, Construction Research Institute, National Water Research Center, for the references he provided me and the time spent in refining the manuscripts and discussing the subject with me.

Dedication

*To my parents; my sisters and
my friends (Mohamed and Aziz).*

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	I
DEDICATION	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF TABLES.....	VI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VIII
NOMENCLATURE	XIII
ABSTRACT	XIV
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. GENERAL.....	1
1.2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM.....	2
1.3. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY	2
1.4. OUTLINE OF THESIS.....	2
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1. GENERAL.....	3
2.2. SEISMICITY OF EGYPT	3
2.3. SEISMIC REQUIREMENT LEVELS.....	3
2.4. SEISMIC ZONING OF EGYPT	5
2.5. PEAK GROUND ACCELERATION AND RETURN PERIOD	11
2.6. INTERNATIONAL PRACTICES IN CLASSIFICATION OF DAMS AND OTHER HYDRAULIC STRUCTURES.....	12
2.6.1. International committee on large dams (ICOLD)	12
2.6.2. Guidelines of China	14
2.6.3. Guidelines of Switzerland.....	15
2.6.4. Guidelines of Spain.....	16
2.6.5. Guidelines of New Zealand.....	16
2.6.6. Guidelines of United Kingdom	19
2.6.7. Guidelines of Hawaii	19
2.6.8. Guidelines of France	20
2.6.9. Guidelines of Germany	21
2.6.10. Guidelines of Italy.....	22
2.6.11. Guidelines of Australia	22
2.6.12. Guidelines of Canada.....	23
2.7. CONCEPT OF RESPONSE MODIFICATION FACTOR	25
2.8. STRESS-STRAIN RELATION OF CONCRETE & STEEL	26
2.9. STRUCTURAL MODELING	29
2.9.1. Structural Idealization	29
2.9.2. Water modeling.....	30

2.10. DYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF BARRAGES	35
2.11. MATERIAL NONLINEARITY	35
2.12. SEISMIC PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT METHODS	37
2.12.1. Linear static analysis	37
2.12.2. Linear dynamic analysis	38
2.12.3. Response spectrum analysis	38
2.12.4. Linear time history analysis	38
2.12.5. Nonlinear static analysis	38
2.12.6. Nonlinear Dynamic analysis	44
CHAPTER 3 : SUGGESTED METHODOLOGY	46
3.1. GENERAL	46
3.2. CLASSIFICATION OF BARRAGES ACCORDING TO THEIR IMPORTANCE	46
3.3. DESCRIPTION OF THE STRUCTURAL MODELS	48
3.3.1. Configuration	48
3.3.2. Geometry	48
3.4. DESCRIPTION OF THE DESIGN PROCESS	48
3.4.1. Design codes	48
3.4.2. Properties of materials	49
3.4.3. Design loads	49
3.4.4. Load combinations:	54
3.4.5. Design of sections	54
3.5. DESCRIPTION OF ANALYTICAL MODELING PROCESS	55
3.5.1. Software modeling approach	55
3.5.2. Material modeling	55
3.5.3. Finite element Model	57
3.6. STATIC NONLINEAR ANALYSIS (PUSHOVER ANALYSIS) RESULTS	57
3.6.1. Static Nonlinear Analysis procedure	57
3.6.2. Calculation of Response Modification Factor	60
3.7. NUMERICAL STUDY	61
CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS	67
4.1. GENERAL	67
4.2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS	67
4.2.1. Comparison between proposed method, ESEE and ECL2012	67
4.2.2. Comparison between Straining Actions of Static Load combinations and Seismic load combinations	83
4.2.3. Nonlinear static analysis results	95
4.2.4. Comparison between designed sections for static load combinations and seismic load combinations	137
CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSION	138
5.1. GENERAL	138
5.2. SUMMARY	138
5.3. CONCLUSIONS	138
5.4. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES	139

REFERENCES	140
APPENDIX A.....	144
A.1. General.....	144
A.2. Static Nonlinear Analysis procedure	144

List of Tables

TABLE 2.1: HAZARD POTENTIAL CLASSIFICATION [3]	4
TABLE 2.2: SEISMIC ZONES AND RELATED DESIGN GROUND ACCELERATION [4].....	5
TABLE 2.3: CLASSIFICATION OF HAZARD MAPS [6]	6
TABLE 2.4: IMPORTANCE FACTOR (I) [7]	8
TABLE 2.5: STRUCTURAL SYSTEM TYPE FACTOR (S) [7].....	8
TABLE 2.6: MATERIAL FACTOR (M) [7]	8
TABLE 2.7: RISK FACTOR (R) [7]	9
TABLE 2.8: RETURN PERIODS AND EXCEEDANCE PROBABILITIES	12
TABLE 2.9: SEISMIC HAZARD CLASS BASED ON PGA OF MCE [11]	12
TABLE 2.10: WEIGHTING FACTORS FOR RISK CLASSIFICATION OF DAMS [11].....	13
TABLE 2.11: RISK CLASSES [11].....	13
TABLE 2.12: CLASSIFICATION OF BARRAGE PROJECTS[12].....	14
TABLE 2.13: GRADING OF PERMANENT HYDRAULIC STRUCTURES[12]	14
TABLE 2.14: SAFETY EVALUATION EARTHQUAKE [13]	15
TABLE 2.15: DAM CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA [14]	16
TABLE 2.16: RETURN PERIOD OF SAFETY EVALUATION EARTHQUAKE [14].....	16
TABLE 2.17: DETERMINATION OF ASSESSED DAMAGE LEVEL [15]	17
TABLE 2.18: POTENTIAL IMPACT CLASSIFICATION [15].....	18
TABLE 2.19: SAFETY EVALUATION EARTHQUAKE (SEE) [15]	18
TABLE 2.20: WEIGHTING FACTORS FOR RISK CLASSIFICATION OF DAMS [16].....	19
TABLE 2.21: WEIGHTING FACTORS FOR RISK CLASSIFICATION OF DAMS [17].....	19
TABLE 2.22: RISK CLASSES [17].....	20
TABLE 2.23: MINIMUM CRITERIA FOR DESIGN EARTHQUAKES [17]	20
TABLE 2.24: DAMS, LEVEES, AND CHANNELS CLASSIFICATION [18].....	20
TABLE 2.25: PROTECTION DIKES CLASSES AGAINST FLOODS AND FLOODING AND DIKE CANALIZED RIVERS CLASSIFICATION [18]	21
TABLE 2.26: RETURN PERIOD OF SAFETY EVALUATION EARTHQUAKE [18].....	21
TABLE 2.27: DAM CLASSIFICATION FACTORS.....	21
TABLE 2.28: RETURN PERIOD OF SAFETY EVALUATION EARTHQUAKE	22
TABLE 2.29: RETURN PERIOD OF SAFETY EVALUATION EARTHQUAKE	22
TABLE 2.30: CONSEQUENCE CATEGORY OF A DAM BASED ON POPULATION AT RISK[21].....	22
TABLE 2.31 : RECOMMENDED DETERMINISTIC ANALYSIS SEISMIC DESIGN GROUND MOTIONS. [21]	23
TABLE 2.32: SUGGESTED ANNUAL EXCEEDANCE PROBABILITY FOR EARTHQUAKE [22]	23
TABLE 2.33: CDA DAM CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA [22]	24
TABLE 3.1: CLASSIFICATION OF BARRAGE PROJECTS ACCORDING TO SL252-2000[12]	46
TABLE 3.2: PROPOSED RETURN PERIOD FOR BARRAGE CLASSES.....	48
TABLE 3.3: MATERIALS PROPERTIES FOR DIFFERENT MODELS	49
TABLE 3.4: SOIL PROPERTIES	49
TABLE 3.5: TIME HISTORY RECORDS USED IN NLTHA OF SDOF SYSTEM	58
TABLE 3.6: MODELS OF CLASS I	61

TABLE 3.7: MODELS OF CLASS II	61
TABLE 3.8: MODELS OF CLASS III	61
TABLE 4.1: SUMMARY OF MOMENTS ACTING ON VERTICAL ELEMENTS OF MODELS OF CLASS III.....	81
TABLE 4.2: SUMMARY OF AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON VERTICAL ELEMENTS OF MODELS OF CLASS III	81
TABLE 4.3: SUMMARY OF SECTIONS DESIGN	82
TABLE 4.4: SELECTIVE MODES OF MODEL I-1.....	95
TABLE 4.5: LOOP FOR IDEALIZATION OF PUSHOVER CURVE INTO BILINEAR CURVE OF MODE 26.....	98
TABLE 4.6: DISPLACEMENT OF SDOF SYSTEMS DUE TO SCALED PGA=0.05G (M).....	100
TABLE 4.7: DISPLACEMENT OF SDOF SYSTEMS DUE TO SCALED PGA=0.10G (M).....	100
TABLE 4.8: DISPLACEMENT OF SDOF SYSTEMS DUE TO SCALED PGA=0.30G (M).....	100
TABLE 4.9: DISPLACEMENT OF SDOF SYSTEMS DUE TO SCALED PGA=0.60G (M).....	100
TABLE 4.10: DISPLACEMENT OF SDOF SYSTEMS DUE TO SCALED PGA=0.70G (M).....	101
TABLE 4.11: DISPLACEMENT OF SDOF SYSTEMS DUE TO SCALED PGA=0.73G (M).....	101
TABLE 4.12: DISPLACEMENT OF SDOF SYSTEMS DUE TO SCALED PGA=0.74G (M).....	101
TABLE 4.13: MAXIMUM DISPLACEMENT OF MODES AT DIFFERENT SCALED PGA (MM).....	101
TABLE 4.14: PUSHOVER DATA FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL I-1	102
TABLE 4.15: SELECTIVE MODES OF MODEL I-2.....	104
TABLE 4.16: PUSHOVER DATA FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL I-2	106
TABLE 4.17: SELECTIVE MODES	108
TABLE 4.18: PUSHOVER DATA FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL II-1	110
TABLE 4.19: SELECTIVE MODES	112
TABLE 4.20: PUSHOVER DATA FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL II-2	115
TABLE 4.21: SELECTIVE MODES	117
TABLE 4.22: PUSHOVER DATA FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL II-3	119
TABLE 4.23: SELECTIVE MODES	121
TABLE 4.24: PUSHOVER DATA FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL III-1	123
TABLE 4.25: SELECTIVE MODES	125
TABLE 4.26: PUSHOVER DATA FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL III-2	127
TABLE 4.27: SELECTIVE MODES	129
TABLE 4.28: PUSHOVER DATA FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL III-3.....	131
TABLE 4.29: SELECTIVE MODES	133
TABLE 4.30: PUSHOVER DATA FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL III-4	135
TABLE 4.31: COMPARISON BETWEEN DESIGNED SECTIONS FOR STATIC LOAD COMBINATIONS AND SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS	137
TABLE A.1: JOINTS MASS AND DISPLACEMENT AT MODE 1, 26 AND 96	145
TABLE A.2: TIME HISTORY RECORDS USED IN NLTHA OF SDOF SYSTEM.....	147

List of Figures

FIGURE 2.1: SEISMIC ZONES REGIONS OF EGYPT [4].....	5
FIGURE 2.2: HAZARD MAP FOR EXPOSURE PERIOD OF 100 YEARS AND NON-EXCEEDANCE PROBABILITY OF 90%[6]	6
FIGURE 2.3: HAZARD MAP FOR EXPOSURE PERIOD OF 200 YEARS AND NON-EXCEEDANCE PROBABILITY OF 90%[6]	7
FIGURE 2.4: HAZARD MAP FOR EXPOSURE PERIOD OF 500 YEARS AND NON-EXCEEDANCE PROBABILITY OF 90%[6]	7
FIGURE 2.5: COEFFICIENT OF THE STANDARDIZED RESPONSE SPECTRUM FOR AVERAGE DAMPING OF 5% [7]	9
FIGURE 2.6: MEAN PEAK GROUND ACCELERATION (CM/S^2) ON ROCK SITES WITH 10% PROBABILITY OF EXCEEDANCE IN 50 YEARS (475-YEAR RETURN PERIOD) IN EGYPT. [8]	10
FIGURE 2.7: MEAN PEAK GROUND ACCELERATION (CM/S^2) ON ROCK SITES WITH 2% PROBABILITY OF EXCEEDANCE IN 50 YEARS (2475-YEAR RETURN PERIOD) IN EGYPT. [8]	10
FIGURE 2.8: DAM CLASSES [13]	15
FIGURE 2.9: STRESS-STRAIN CURVE FOR CONCRETE [28]	27
FIGURE 2.10: STRESS-STRAIN CURVE FOR STEEL [28]	28
FIGURE 2.11: STRESS-STRAIN BEHAVIOR OF COMPRESSED CONCRETE CONFINED BY RECTANGULAR STEEL TIES- MODIFIED KENT AND PARK[29]	28
FIGURE 2.12: IMPULSIVE MASS COEFFICIENT (M_I/M), CONVECTIVE MASS COEFFICIENT (M_C/M) AND SPRING STIFFNESS (K_C) [4]	31
FIGURE 2.13: COEFFICIENT OF CONVECTIVE FUNDAMENTAL PERIOD (C_C)	31
FIGURE 2.14 EQUIVALENT DYNAMIC SYSTEM FOR A WATER TANK [32]	34
FIGURE 2.15: MULTI LAYERED SHELL ELEMENT IN SAP 2000	36
FIGURE 2.16: PUSHOVER CURVE	39
FIGURE 2.17: BILINEAR CURVE AND SDOF SYSTEM[54]	42
FIGURE 3.1: SUGGESTED CLASSIFICATION OF BARRAGES	47
FIGURE 3.2: DIFFERENCE BETWEEN NUMBER OF BARRAGES IN EACH CLASS BASED ON PROPOSED CLASSIFICATION AND MAXIMUM DISCHARGE CLASSIFICATION	47
FIGURE 3.3: DESIGN RESPONSE SPECTRUM FOR MODELS OF CLASS I BASED ON PROPOSED METHOD, ECL 2012, AND ESEE.....	52
FIGURE 3.4: DESIGN RESPONSE SPECTRUM FOR MODELS OF CLASS II BASED ON PROPOSED METHOD, ECL 2012, AND ESEE.....	53
FIGURE 3.5: DESIGN RESPONSE SPECTRUM FOR MODELS OF CLASS III BASED ON PROPOSED METHOD, ECL 2012, AND ESEE.....	54
FIGURE 3.6 : STRESS-STRAIN CURVE OF DIFFERENT GRADES OF CONCRETE	56
FIGURE 3.7 : STRESS-STRAIN CURVE OF STEEL	56
FIGURE 3.8: MASS DISTRIBUTION AND MODE SHAPE VECTOR FOR DIFFERENT MODES	57
FIGURE 3.9: ELCENTRO TIME HISTORY RECORD.....	59
FIGURE 3.10: AQABA TIME HISTORY RECORD	59
FIGURE 3.11: LOMA PREITA TIME HISTORY RECORD	59
FIGURE 3.12: BILINEAR CURVE AND SDOF SYSTEM.....	60

FIGURE 3.13: GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATION OF BARRAGE I-1.....	62
FIGURE 3.14: GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATION OF BARRAGE I-2.....	62
FIGURE 3.15: GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATION OF BARRAGE II-1.....	63
FIGURE 3.16: GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATION OF BARRAGE II-2.....	63
FIGURE 3.17: GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATION OF BARRAGE II-3.....	64
FIGURE 3.18: GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATION OF BARRAGE III-1.....	64
FIGURE 3.19: GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATION OF BARRAGE III-2.....	65
FIGURE 3.20: GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATION OF BARRAGE III-3.....	65
FIGURE 3.21: GEOMETRIC CONFIGURATION OF BARRAGE III-4.....	66
FIGURE 4.1: MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF ABUTMENT FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON PROPOSED METHOD (TON.M/M')	68
FIGURE 4.2 : MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF ABUTMENT FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ECL 2012 (TON.M/M')	68
FIGURE 4.3 : MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF ABUTMENT FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ESEE (TON.M/M')	68
FIGURE 4.4: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON ABUTMENT FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON PROPOSED METHOD (TON)	69
FIGURE 4.5: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON ABUTMENT FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ECL 2012 (TON).....	69
FIGURE 4.6: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON ABUTMENT FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ESEE (TON)	69
FIGURE 4.7: MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF PIER 1 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON PROPOSED METHOD (TON.M/M')	70
FIGURE 4.8: MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF PIER 1 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ECL 2012 (TON.M/M')	70
FIGURE 4.9: MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF PIER 1 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ESEE (TON.M/M')	70
FIGURE 4.10: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON PIER 1 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON PROPOSED METHOD (TON).....	71
FIGURE 4.11: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON PIER 1 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ECL 2012 (TON)	71
FIGURE 4.12: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON PIER 1 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ESEE (TON)	71
FIGURE 4.13: MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF PIER 2 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON PROPOSED METHOD (TON.M/M')	72
FIGURE 4.14: MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF PIER 2 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ECL 2012 (TON.M/M')	72
FIGURE 4.15: MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF PIER 2 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ESEE (TON.M/M')	72
FIGURE 4.16: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON PIER 2 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON PROPOSED METHOD (TON).....	73
FIGURE 4.17: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON PIER 2 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ECL 2012 (TON)	73
FIGURE 4.18: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON PIER 2 FOR SEISMIC LOAD COMBINATIONS BASED ON ESEE (TON)	73

FIGURE 4.19 : MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL I-1) FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE	74
FIGURE 4.20: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENT (MODEL I-1) FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE	74
FIGURE 4.21 : MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL I-2) FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE	75
FIGURE 4.22: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENT (MODEL I-2) FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE	75
FIGURE 4.23: BASE SHEAR FORCE IN Y-DIRECTION FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE.	76
FIGURE 4.24 : MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL II-1) FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE	77
FIGURE 4.25: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENT (MODEL II-1) FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE	77
FIGURE 4.26 : MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL II-2) FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE	78
FIGURE 4.27: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENT (MODEL II-2) FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE	78
FIGURE 4.28 : MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL II-3) FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE	79
FIGURE 4.29: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENT (MODEL II-3) FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE	79
FIGURE 4.30: BASE SHEAR FORCE IN Y-DIRECTION FOR THE PROPOSED METHOD, ECL2012, AND ESEE.	80
FIGURE 4.31: MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF ABUTMENT FOR STATIC LOAD COMBINATIONS (TON.M/M')	83
FIGURE 4.32: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON ABUTMENT FOR STATIC LOAD COMBINATIONS (TON)	83
FIGURE 4.33: MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF PIER 1 FOR STATIC LOAD COMBINATIONS (TON.M/M')	84
FIGURE 4.34: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON PIER 1 FOR STATIC LOAD COMBINATIONS (TON)	84
FIGURE 4.35: MOMENT ACTING ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF PIER 2 FOR STATIC LOAD COMBINATIONS (TON.M/M')	85
FIGURE 4.36: AXIAL FORCE ACTING ON PIER 2 FOR STATIC LOAD COMBINATIONS (TON)	85
FIGURE 4.37: MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL I-1) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	86
FIGURE 4.38: AXIAL FORCE OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL I-1) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	86
FIGURE 4.39: MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL I-2) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	87
FIGURE 4.40: AXIAL FORCE OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL I-2) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	87
FIGURE 4.41: MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL II-1) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	88
FIGURE 4.42: AXIAL FORCE OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL II-1) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	88
FIGURE 4.43: MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL II-2) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	89

FIGURE 4.44: AXIAL FORCE OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL II-2) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS.....	89
FIGURE 4.45: MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL II-3) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	90
FIGURE 4.46: AXIAL FORCE OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL I-1) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS.....	90
FIGURE 4.47: MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL III-1) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	91
FIGURE 4.48: AXIAL FORCE OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL III-1) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS.....	91
FIGURE 4.49: MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL III-2) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	92
FIGURE 4.50: AXIAL FORCE OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL III-2) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS.....	92
FIGURE 4.51: MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL III-3) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	93
FIGURE 4.52: AXIAL FORCE OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL III-3) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS.....	93
FIGURE 4.53: MOMENT ABOUT TRANSVERSE DIRECTION OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL III-4) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS	94
FIGURE 4.54: AXIAL FORCE OF BARRAGE VERTICAL ELEMENTS (MODEL III-4) FOR STATIC AND SEISMIC COMBINATIONS.....	94
FIGURE 4.55: MODE SHAPE OF MODE 1	95
FIGURE 4.56: MODE SHAPE OF MODE 26	95
FIGURE 4.57: MODE SHAPE OF MODE 96	96
FIGURE 4.58: MODE SHAPE OF MODE 97	96
FIGURE 4.59: MODE SHAPE OF MODE 99	96
FIGURE 4.60: PUSHOVER CURVES OF MODES 1, AND 26.....	97
FIGURE 4.61: PUSHOVER CURVES OF MODES 96, 97, AND 99.....	97
FIGURE 4.62: BILINEAR CURVES OF MODES 1, AND 26.....	98
FIGURE 4.63 BILINEAR CURVES OF MODES 96, 97, AND 99	99
FIGURE 4.64: SDOF SYSTEM OF MODE 1.....	99
FIGURE 4.65: PUSHOVER CURVE FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL I-1.....	103
FIGURE 4.66: PUSHOVER CURVES OF MODES 1, AND 27.....	104
FIGURE 4.67: PUSHOVER CURVES OF MODES (38, 70, 129, 130, 131, AND 175).....	105
FIGURE 4.68: PUSHOVER CURVE FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL I-2.....	107
FIGURE 4.69: PUSHOVER CURVES OF MODES 1, 2, AND 4.....	108
FIGURE 4.70: PUSHOVER CURVES OF REST OF SELECTIVE MODES (6, 30, 58, 63 ...ETC.).....	109
FIGURE 4.71: PUSHOVER CURVE FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL II-1.....	111
FIGURE 4.72: PUSHOVER CURVES OF MODES 1, AND 2.....	112
FIGURE 4.73: PUSHOVER CURVE OF MODE 7.....	113
FIGURE 4.74: PUSHOVER CURVES OF MODES 20, 21, AND 22.....	113
FIGURE 4.75: PUSHOVER CURVES OF REST OF SELECTIVE MODES (23, 24, 29...ETC.)	114
FIGURE 4.76: PUSHOVER CURVE FOR COMBINED MODES OF MODEL II-2.....	116
FIGURE 4.77: PUSHOVER CURVES OF MODES 1, AND 13.....	117